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First of all, my wish has come true regarding using the Gesammelte Werke text, because Zur Psychopathologie des Alltagslebens is not included in the more scholarly edition of the Studienausgabe. The advantage of the G.W. text, is that it is printed in the same format as it was in Freud's time. Aesthetically, it is more pleasing, with the double-spacing of the words (Sperdruck) used in German for italics. And in addition, or shall I say subtraction, none of the famous Strachey footnotes are included in the G.W. and one notices that the footnotes in the English Standard Edition have the effect of slightly controlling one's reading of the text, a 'Stracheyization of the text' if you will. Despite this mild criticism, I have used the Standard Edition for the English text. One thing about Freud's writings in German that I have noticed is that I have never seen anything published in German that is in the old German script, Fraktur. Even his first book, On Aphasia, published in 1891 is in the Latin script. The puzzling thing is, that there are many books published as late as just before World War II in the Fraktur script. Another peculiar thing is in the Signorelli diagram on page 5 in the German, Freud uses the word 'etc' in German, instead of 'usw' in the phrase 'Herr was ist da zu sagen etc'.

The result is that this bi-lingual contains the entire Vol. VI of the Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works of Sigmund Freud. In addition I have included a bi-lingual of Freud's earlier paper, The Psychical Mechanism of Forgetfulness which was published in 1898 and is included in Vol. III of the Standard Edition. This paper concerns chapter I of Psychopathology of Everyday Life, the forgetting of the proper name, 'Signorelli'. Only the main lines of the topic are the same in these two versions. The order and the line of argument are different. It has been speculated later by Lacan and others that the forgetting of the proper name Signorelli, was related to the first part of his own name, Sig(mund).

The title, Psychopathology of Every Day Life in itself is fascinating. One immediately imagines the opposite of this which would be something like The Normality of an Exceptional Day, or distorting it a little bit more, The Normality of the Uncanny Life. What is 'Every Day Life', if not the automaton of the normal chain of signifiers, being interrupted by a pulsation of an opening and closing of the unconscious with a 'chance' mistake in between? Perhaps the Everyday Life and the Uncanny Life are on a Moebius strip and the "Twilight Zone" is the vanishing mediator between them. Or perhaps, one of the most uncanny experiences that one can undergo on an every day basis is, precisely, a psychoanalysis, where everything that was once considered marginal, slips of the tongue, dreams, etc., are the main focus. And what's more, it is the opposite of an 'every day' interaction with some one.
One should also pay attention to the use of the German prefix “Ver” which is attached to regular verbs in German, like ‘sprechen’ (to speak,) to give the word ‘Versprechen’ meaning slip of the tongue, literally, a mis-saying or, to promise. In other words, the ‘ver’ prefix, gives the sense of an action that has gone wrong, like a mis-firing. So we have vergessen, versprechen, verlesen, verschreiben, vergreifen. To mis-get (forget), mis-speak to mis-read, to mis-write, to mis-grasp are the English equivalents. With the prefix ‘ver’ in from of each one, we have something like an intended action that has gone awry or astray. And every failed act or one that has gone astray is actually a successful act from the point of view of the unconscious. Perhaps one should question what verlieben, to fall in love, actually means. Is it a mis-firing of love, concentrating on one over-valued object? It turns out that there are actually three or four different meanings of the prefix ‘ver’ in German.

The first group having the meaning in English to go bad, to go awry, in English ‘mis’

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>German Word</th>
<th>English Meaning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>verachten</td>
<td>to despise</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>verbilden</td>
<td>to mis-educate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>verderben</td>
<td>to go bad, to spoil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sich verfahren</td>
<td>to go astray, to get lost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>verkommen</td>
<td>to go to ruin, to become run down</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>verschlaffen</td>
<td>to oversleep</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The second group having the meaning to lose, to go awry, to go out

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>German Word</th>
<th>English Meaning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>verdrängen</td>
<td>to drive out</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>verduften</td>
<td>to lose its aroma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>verlassen</td>
<td>to leave, to abandon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>verlieren</td>
<td>to lose</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The third group is the equivalent in English of ‘for’

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>German Word</th>
<th>English Meaning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>verbieten</td>
<td>to forbid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vergeben</td>
<td>to forgive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vergessen</td>
<td>to forget</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The fourth group seems like a miscellaneous catch all

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>German Word</th>
<th>English Meaning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>verbinden</td>
<td>to bandage, to link, to tie</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vergrössen</td>
<td>to enlarge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>verhaftt</td>
<td>to arrest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>versprechen</td>
<td>to promise</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
To further illustrate how this might have come about, I have added a photocopy of a page from the Historical German Grammar, Vol. I, Phonology, Word-Formation and Accidence by Joseph Wright concerning this prefix ‘ver’-. So right away, we can see that versprechen in Freud’s use means to mis-say, in addition to promise.

And one can only help but wonder that given Strachey’s early homosexual experiences (at least according to Peter Swales and Paul Roazen), that when he translated ‘Vergreifen’ as ‘Bungled Actions’, if he were really referring to ‘bung-holed actions’. Please dear reader, do not be offended with this off-color joke. Just think of Freud’s explanation of geh’n Italien as Genitalien, or his explanation of the forgetting of Ben Hur from The Interpretation of Dreams.

In the newly released translation of The Psychopathology of Everyday Life, translated by Anthea Bell under the general editorship of Adam Phillips, chapter VIII, Das Vergreifen(Bungled Actions) has been translated as ‘Inadvertent Actions’ And Fehlleistungen(missing/lacking-- performance/achievement) the word in the German dictionary actually has the definition, ‘Freudian slip’, which has been translated by Strachey as ‘Parapraxes’ is translated by Anthea Bell as ‘slips.’ A look at the table of contents of both the Strachey and Bell translations will show that neither one of them have been consistent in translating the ‘Ver’ and the ‘Fehl’ prefix. (I am tempted to classify ver/fehl as some sort of binary opposition, they have similar meanings and they are somewhat close in pronunciation, the beginning of each phoneme is pronounced the same, it’s the r/l that really makes the difference). This bi-lingual edition offers you, the unique opportunity, to see if this new translation is that much better than the Strachey translation. In some cases you will see that the new translation is not better than the Strachey translation at all.

If there are four formations of the unconscious, that is: Dreams, Jokes, Parapraxes, and Symptoms, then there is a book that Freud has devoted to each one of these formations. The books are: The Interpretation of Dreams, Jokes and Their Relation to the Unconscious, The Psychopathology of Everyday Life, and Fragments of a Case of Hysteria. These formations of the unconscious, have the same structure. Consequently, each of these formations are revealed and covered again many times in the progression of a psychoanalysis.
I am reminded of the popularity of this text. Somewhere Freud writes that when he was traveling to America, even the cabin boy on the ship was reading this book! Considering the number of editions that this book appeared in and the number of languages that this book was quickly translated into, and its over-all fame, would it be that difficult to imagine that surely Ferndinand de Saussure of Geneva had read this book and perhaps other books of Freud especially The Interpretation of Dreams? I have elsewhere maintained that by the year 1900, that there were already the main concepts of de Saussure’s structural theory in Freud’s work. That is, the concepts of the arbitrariness of the signifier, the synchronic/diachronic distinction, and the concept of difference. One only has to know how and where to look for them!

Richard G. Klein
Fall 2004
New York, New York
§ 887. ver- (oldest OHG. for-, fur-, later far-, fir-, late OHG. and MHG. ver-, Goth. faur-, the unstressed form of OHG. furi (= NHG. für), fora, Goth. faür, faúra, before. Already in the oldest OHG. three distinct, but etymologically connected particles, fell together, viz. three particles corresponding to Gothic fair-, faúr-, and frá-preserved in NHG. frucht and fressen: verbergen, verbinden, verbleiben, verderben, vorgehen, vergessen, vergraben, verheilen, verhüllen, verlassen, verlaufen, verleihen, vermeiden, vernehmen, verschließen, verschlafen, verschlingen, versprechen, versehen, versinken, versilbern, verstehen, vertreten, verwachsen; verbauen, verblühen, verbauen, verbrennen, verbrennen, verbrühen, verbrühen, verbreiten, verbreiten, verbreiten, verbraten, verbraten, verbrauchen, verbauen, verblühen, verbrühen, verbrennen, verbrennen, verbrühen, verbrühen, verbrennen, verbrennen, verbrühen, verbrennen, verbrühen, verbrennen, verbrennen, verbrühen, verbrennen, verbrennen, verbrühen, verbrennen, verbrühen, verbrennen, verbrennen, verbrühen, verbrennen, verbrühen, verbrennen, verbrühen, verbrennen, verbrühen, verbrennen, verbrühen, verbrennen, verbrühen, verbrennen, verbrühen, verbrennen, verbrühen, verbrennen, verbrühen, verbrennen, verbrühen, verbrennen, verbrühen, verbrennen, verbrühen, verbrennen, verbrühen, verbrennen, verbrühen, verbrennen, verbrühen, verbrennen, verbrühen, verbrennen, verbrühen, verbrennen, verbrühen, verbrennen, verbrühen, verbrennen, verbrühen, verbrennen, verbrühen, verbrennen, verbrühen, verbrennen, verbrühe
Dear Wilhelm,

It was no doubt time that I returned home. I have been back barely three days and all the bad humor of Vienna has already descended upon me. It is sheer misery to live here and no atmosphere in which the hope of completing something difficult can survive.

I wish you thought less of my masterly skills and I had you close by so that I could hear your criticisms more often. I am not at all in disagreement with you, not at all inclined to leave the psychology hanging in the air without an organic basis. But apart from this conviction I do not know how to go on, neither theoretically nor therapeutically, and therefore must behave as if only the psychological were under consideration. Why I cannot fit it together [the organic and the psychological] I have not even begun to fathom.

A second example of name forgetting resolved itself even more easily. I could not find the name of the renowned painter who did the Last Judgment in Orvieto, the greatest I have seen so far. Instead, Botticelli, Boltraffio occurred to me, but I was sure these were wrong. At last I found out the name, Signorelli, and immediately knew, on my own, the first name, Luca—as proof that it had been only a repression and not genuine forgetting. It is clear why Botticelli had moved into the foreground; only Signor was repressed; the Bo in both substitute names is explained by the memory responsible for the repression; it concerned something that happened in Bosnia and began with the words, "Herr [Signor, Sir], what can be done about it?" I lost the name of Signorelli during a short trip to Herzegovina, which I made from Ragusa with a lawyer from Berlin (Freyhan) with whom I got to talking about pictures. In the conversation, which aroused memories that evidently caused the repression, we talked about death and sexuality. The word Trafoi is no doubt an echo of Trafoi, which I saw on the first trip! How can I make this credible to anyone?

I am still alone, the "household," for whom I already yearn very much, returns at the end of the month. A letter from Gattel, who seeks contact, urges me to come to Berlin because of a patient he is treating. It is one of those halfway affairs that I might use as an excuse to see you (and the new daughter) again. But it cannot be reconciled with my medical dignity, and I must not provoke gods and men by further travels, but instead wait here patiently for the little sheep to gather.

I hope to hear from you soon how your daughter is behaving and what interests me especially—how Robert is reacting to his sister. I have heard here that the mother is doing very well. I wish you thought less of my masterly skills and I had you close by so that I could hear your criticisms more often. I am not at all in disagreement with you, not at all inclined to leave the psychology hanging in the air without an organic basis. But apart from this conviction I do not know how to go on, neither theoretically nor therapeutically, and therefore must behave as if only the psychological were under consideration. Why I cannot fit it together [the organic and the psychological] I have not even begun to fathom.

A second example of name forgetting resolved itself even more easily. I could not find the name of the renowned painter who did the Last Judgment in Orvieto, the greatest I have seen so far. Instead, Botticelli, Boltraffio occurred to me, but I was sure these were wrong. At last I found out the name, Signorelli, and immediately knew, on my own, the first name, Luca—as proof that it had been only a repression and not genuine forgetting. It is clear why Botticelli had moved into the foreground; only Signor was repressed; the Bo in both substitute names is explained by the memory responsible for the repression; it concerned something that happened in Bosnia and began with the words, "Herr [Signor, Sir], what can be done about it?" I lost the name of Signorelli during a short trip to Herzegovina, which I made from Ragusa with a lawyer from Berlin (Freyhan) with whom I got to talking about pictures. In the conversation, which aroused memories that evidently caused the repression, we talked about death and sexuality. The word Trafoi is no doubt an echo of Trafoi, which I saw on the first trip! How can I make this credible to anyone?

I am still alone, the "household," for whom I already yearn very much, returns at the end of the month. A letter from Gattel, who seeks contact, urges me to come to Berlin because of a patient he is treating. It is one of those halfway affairs that I might use as an excuse to see you (and the new daughter) again. But it cannot be reconciled with my medical dignity, and I must not provoke gods and men by further travels, but instead wait here patiently for the little sheep to gather.

I hope to hear from you soon how your daughter is behaving and what interests me especially—how Robert is reacting to his sister. I have heard here that the mother is doing very well.

With the most cordial greetings,

Your Sigm.

Vienna, September 22, 1898

Teurer Wilhelm!

Es war wohl Zeit, daß ich heimkehrte, ich bin aber kaum drei Tage hier, und schon hat mich der ganze Mißmut des Wienerntum ergrißt. Es ist ein Elend, hier zu leben, und keine Atmosphäre, in der die Hoffnung etwas Schweres zu Ende zu bringen, sich erhalten kann.


Mit herzlichstem Gruss

Dein Sigm.

1. Versehn in der Arbeit "Zum psychischen Mechanismus der Vergessenheit" (Freud 1896) und im 1. Kapitel der Psychopathologie des Alltagslebens (1901b, S. 5-12). (K) Vgl. auch Anm. 3 zu Brief 208. (K)

2. Freud schreibt: er.

3. Das Thema des Geschwisterhauses hat Freud damals sehr beschäftigt; vgl. bereits...
The episode which is the subject of this paper occurred during Freud's visit to the Adriatic coast in September, 1898. He sent a short account of it to Fliess on his return to Vienna in a letter dated September 22 (Freud, 1950a, Letter 96), and reported a few days later (September 27, ibid., Letter 97) that he had sent this paper off to the journal in which it appeared soon afterwards. This was the first published history of a parapraxis, and Freud made it the basis of the opening chapter of his longer work on the subject three years later (1901b); the Editor's Introduction to this (Standard Ed., 6) discusses the whole matter more fully. The present paper was only reprinted after Freud's death, more than fifty years after its first publication. It had been generally assumed, on the basis of Freud's remarks at the beginning of the first chapter of The Psychopathology of Everyday Life, ibid., 1, that what was in question was no more than a rough draft of the later version. An actual comparison of the two works now shows that only the main lines of the topic are the same, that the chain of argument is differently arranged here, and that at one or two points the material is amplified.
THE PSYCHICAL MECHANISM OF FORGETFULNESS

The phenomenon of forgetfulness, which I should like to describe and then go on to explain in this paper, has doubtless been experienced by everyone in himself or been observed by him in others. It affects in particular the use of proper names—nomina propria—and it manifests itself in the following manner. In the middle of carrying on a conversation we find ourselves obliged to confess to the person we are talking to that we cannot hit on a name we wanted to mention at that moment, and we are forced to ask for his—usually ineffectual—help. "What is his name? I know it so well. It's on the tip of my tongue. Just this minute it's escaped me." An unmistakable feeling of irritation, similar to that which accompanies motor aphasia, now has in our head only a moment before. In appropriate instances two accompanying features deserve our notice. First, an energetic deliberate concentration of the function which we call attention proves powerless, however long it is continued, to find the name. Secondly, in place of the name we are looking for, another name promptly appears, which we recognize as incorrect and reject, but which persists in coming back. Or else, instead of a substituted name, we find in our memory a single letter or syllable, which we recognize as parts of the name we are in search of. We say, for instance: 'It begins with a 'B'.' If we finally succeed, in one way or another, in discovering what the name is, we find in the great majority of cases that it does not begin with a 'B' and does not in fact contain the letter 'B' at all.

The best procedure for getting hold of the missing name is, as is generally known, 'not to think of it'—that is, to divert from the task that part of the attention over which one has voluntary control. After a while, the missing name 'shoots' into one's


Das beste Verfahren, sich des gesuchten Namens zu bemächtigen, besteht bekanntlich darin, „nicht an ihn zu denken“, d.h. den Teil der Aufmerksamkeit, über den man willkürlich verfügt, von der Aufgabe abzulenken. Nach einer Weile „schliesst“ einem dann der

---

1 [Freud enlarges a little on this in Chapter V of *The Psychopathology of Everyday Life* (1901b), Standard Ed., 6, 55.]
In a few cases which I have myself experienced of forgetting names in this way, I have succeeded, by means of psychoanalytic analysis, in accounting to myself for the chain of events; and I shall now describe in detail the simplest and clearest case of this kind.

During my summer holidays I once went for a carriage-drive from the lovely city of Ragusa to a town nearby in Herzegovina. Conversation with my companion centred, as was natural, round the condition of the two countries (Bosnia and Herzegovina) and the character of their inhabitants. I talked about the various peculiarities of the Turks living there, as I had heard them described years before by a friend and colleague who had lived among them as a doctor for many years. A little later, our conversation turned to the subject of Italy and of the artist's name escaped me and I could not recall it. I exerted my powers of recollection, made all the details of the day I spent in Orvieto pass before my memory and convinced myself that not the smallest part of it had been obliterated or become indistinct. On the contrary, I was able to conjure up the pictures with greater sensory vividness than is

3 Nor by any feeling of unpleasure one may have at being inhibited in a psychical act.
4 [On the Dalmatian coast of the Adriatic, now known as Dubrovnik. Freud's companion on the drive was a Berlin lawyer named Freyhau (Freud, 1950a, Letter 96).]
5 [Provinces adjoining Dalmatia and forming part of the Austro-Hungarian Empire before 1914.]
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usual with me. I saw before my eyes with especial sharpness the artist's self-portrait—with a serious face and folded hands—which he has put in a corner of one of the pictures, next to the portrait of his predecessor in the work, Fra Angelico da Fiesole; but the artist's name, ordinarily so familiar to me, remained obstinately in hiding, nor could my travelling companion help me out. My continued efforts met with no success beyond bringing up the names of two other artists, who I knew could not be the right ones. These were Botticelli and, in the second place, Bottraffio. The repetition of the sound 'Bo' in the two substitutive names might perhaps have led a novice to suppose that it belonged to the missing name as well, but I took good care to steer clear of that expectation.

Since I had no access to any reference books on my journey, I had for several days to put up with this lapse of memory and with the inner torment associated with it which recurred at frequent intervals each day, until I fell in with a cultivated Italian who freed me from it by telling me the name: Signorelli. I was myself able to add the artist's first name, Luca. Soon my ultra-clear memory of the master's features, as depicted in his portrait, faded away.

What influences had led me to forget the name Signorelli, which so familiar to me and which is so easily impressed on the memory? And what paths had led to its replacement by the names Botticelli and Bottraffio? A short excursion back into

1. The first of these names was very familiar to me; the second, on the other hand, I hardly knew.

2. Freud is here drawing attention to an observation that, when a memory is repressed, there often emerges into consciousness with unusual vividness an image of something which is not the repressed memory itself but which, though unimportant and irrelevant, is closely related to the repressed memory. Another instance of this is mentioned at the end of the present paper (p. 297 below), and a similar one in the paper on 'Screen Memories' (1899a) which follows this one (p. 312 f.). In a footnote to The Psychopathology of Everyday Life (1901), where the present instance reappears (Standard Ed., 6, 13), Freud suggests an explanation of this phenomenon; and other examples appear in the same volume (ibid., 267 and 41), added to the work in 1907 and 1920 respectively.

3. Der erste dieser Namen mir sehr vertraut, der zweite dagegen kaum geläufig.

Freud, S. 54
the circumstances in which the forgetting had taken place sufficed to throw a light on both questions.

Shortly before I had come to the subject of the frescoes in the cathedral at Orvieto, I had been telling my travelling-companion something I had heard from my colleague years ago about the Turks in Bosnia. They treat doctors with special respect and they show, in marked contrast to our own people, an attitude of resignation towards the dispensations of fate. If the doctor has to inform the father of a family that one of his relatives is about to die, his reply is: 'Herr [Sir], what is there to be said? If he could be saved, I know you would help him.' Another recollection lay in my memory close to this story. The same colleague had told me what overriding importance these Bosnians attached to sexual enjoyments. One of his patients said to him once: 'Herr, you must know, that if that comes to an end then life is of no value.' At the time, it seemed to the doctor and me that the two character-traits of the Bosnian people illustrated by this could be assumed to be intimately connected with each other. But when I remembered these stories on my drive into Herzegovina, I suppressed the second one, in which the subject of sexuality was touched on. It was soon after this that the name Signorelli escaped me and that the names Botticelli and Boltraffio appeared as substitutes.

The influence which had made the name Signorelli inaccessible to memory, or, as I am accustomed to say, had 'repressed' it, could only proceed from the story I had suppressed about the value set on death and sexual enjoyment. If that was so, we ought to be able to discover the intermediate ideas which had served to connect the two themes. The affinity between their content—in the one case, the Last Judgement, 'Doomsday', and in the other, death and sexuality—seems to be very slight; and since the matter concerned the repression from memory of a name, it was on the face of it probable that the connection was between one name and another. Now, 'Signor' means 'Herr [Sir]', and the 'Herr' is also present in the name 'Herzegovina'. Moreover it was certainly not without relevance that both the patients' remarks which I was to recall contained a 'Herr' as a form of address to the doctor. The translation of 'Signor' into 'Herr' was therefore the means by which the story that I had suppressed had drawn after it into repression the name I was versetzung in die Umstände, unter denen das Vergessen vor sich ging, reichte hin, beides aufzuklären.
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looking for. The whole process was clearly made easier by the fact that during the last few days in Ragusa I had been speaking Italian continually—that is, that I had become accustomed to translating German into Italian in my head.¹

When I tried to recover the name of the artist, to bring it back out of repression, the influence of the tie which the name had entered into in the meantime inevitably made itself felt. I did find an artist's name, but not the right one. It was a displaced name, and the line of displacement was laid down by the names that were contained in the repressed topic. 'Botticelli' contains the same final syllables as 'Signorelli'; the final syllables—which, unlike the first part of the word, 'Signor', could not make a direct connection with the name 'Herzegovina'—had therefore returned; but the influence of the name 'Bosnia', which is regularly associated with the name 'Herzegovina', had shown itself by directing the substitution to two artists' names which began with the same syllable 'Bo': 'Botticelli' and then 'Boltraffio'. The finding of the name 'Signorelli' is thus seen to have been interfered with by the topic which lay behind it, in which the names 'Bosnia' and 'Herzegovina' appear.

For this topic to have been able to produce such effects it is not enough that I should have suppressed it once in conversation—an event brought about by chance motives. We must assume rather that the topic itself was also intimately bound up with trains of thought which were in a state of repression in me—that is, with trains of thought which, in spite of the intensity of the interest taken in them, were meeting with a resistance that was keeping them from being worked over by a particular psychical agency and thus from becoming conscious.

¹'A far-fetched, forced explanation', it will be said. This impression is bound to arise because the suppressed topic struggles by every possible means to establish a connection with what is not suppressed; and for this purpose it does not scorn even the path of external association. There is the same 'forced' situation when rhymes have to be made. [The stress under which rhyming verse has to be constructed was described by Freud in Section D of Chapter VI of The Interpretation of Dreams (1900a), Standard Ed., 5, 340.—By an 'external association' is meant a superficial one, e.g. by similarity of sound without any connection of meaning.]

²[The two provinces were constantly mentioned together owing to their geographical and historical links.]
That this was really true at that time of the topic of 'death and sexuality' I have plenty of evidence, which I need not bring up here, derived from my own self-investigation. But I may draw attention to one consequence of these repressed thoughts. Experience has taught me to require that every psychical product shall be fully elucidated and even overdetermined. Accordingly, it seemed to me that the second substitutive name, 'Boltraffio', called for a further determination; for so far only its initial letters had been accounted for, by their assonance with 'Bosnia'. I now recollected that these repressed thoughts had never engrossed me more than they had a few weeks before, after I had received a certain piece of news. The place where the news reached me was called 'Trafoi' and this name is too much like the second half of the name 'Boltraffio' not to have had a determining effect on my choice of the latter. In the following small schematic diagram [Fig. 1], I have attempted to reproduce the relations which have now been brought to light.

**Fig. 1.**

It is perhaps not without interest for its own sake to be able to...

1 [The news of the suicide of one of his patients 'on account of an incurable sexual complaint'. See _The Psychopathology of Everyday Life, Standard Ed., 6_, 3. Trafoi is a village in the Tyrol.]
see into the history of a psychical event of this kind, which is among the most trivial disturbances that can affect the control of the psychical apparatus and which is compatible with an otherwise untroubled state of psychical health. But the example elucidated here receives an immensely added interest when we learn that it may serve as nothing more nor less than a model for the pathological processes to which the psychical symptoms of the psychoneuroses—hysteria, obsessions and paranoia—owe their origin. In both cases we find the same elements and the same play of forces between those elements. In the same manner as here and by means of similar superficial associations, a repressed train of thought takes possession of the mind and this it draws down with itself into repression. The same mechanism which causes the substitute names 'Botticelli' and 'Boltraffio' to emerge from 'Signorelli' (a substitution by means of intermediate or compromise ideas) also governs the formation of obsessional thoughts and paranoiac paramnesias. Again, we have seen that such cases of forgetfulness have the characteristic of liberating continuous unpleasure till the moment the problem is solved—a characteristic which is unintelligible apart from this, and something which [in the instance I have quoted] was in fact unintelligible to the person I was talking to [p. 290]; but there is a complete analogy to it in the way in which collections of repressed thoughts attach their capacity for producing affect to some symptom whose psychical content seems to our judgement totally unsuited to such a liberation of affect. Finally, the resolution of the whole tension by a communication of the correct name from an external quarter is itself a good example of the efficacy of psychoanalytic therapy, which aims at correcting the repressions and displacements and which removes the symptoms by re-instating the genuine psychical object.¹

Among the various factors, therefore, which contribute to a failure in recollection or a loss of memory, the part played by repression must not be overlooked; and it can be demonstrated not only in neurotics but (in a manner that is qualitatively the same) in normal people as well. It may be asserted quite

¹ [The comparison between the mechanism of parapraxes and of neurotic symptoms had been very briefly indicated in a letter from Freud to Fliess of August 26, 1896 (Freud 1950a, Letter 94).]
generally that the case (and ultimately the faithfulness, too) with which a given impression is awakened in the memory depends not only on the psychical constitution of the individual, the strength of the impression when it was fresh, the interest directed towards it at the time, the psychical constellation at the present time, the interest that is now devoted to its awakening, the connections into which the impression has been drawn, and so on—not only on such things but also on the favourable or unfavourable attitude of a particular psychical factor which refuses to reproduce anything that might liberate unpleasant, or that might subsequently lead to the liberation of unpleasant. Thus the function of memory, which we like to regard as an archive open to anyone who is curious, is in this way subjected to restriction by a trend of the will, just as is any part of our activity directed to the external world. Half the secret of hysterical amnesia is uncovered when we say that hysterical people do not know what they do not want to know; and psycho-analytic treatment, which endeavours to fill up such gaps of memory in the course of its work, leads us to the discovery that the bringing back of those lost memories is opposed by a certain resistance which has to be counterbalanced by work proportionate to its magnitude. In the case of psychical processes which are on the whole normal, it cannot, of course, be claimed that the influence of this one-sided factor in the revival of memories in any way regularly overcomes all the other factors that must be taken into account.  

In connection with the tendentious nature of our remembering and forgetting, I not long ago experienced an instructive example—instructive because of what it betrayed—of which I 1 It would be a mistake to believe that the mechanism which I have brought to light in these pages only operates in rare cases. It is, on the contrary, a very common one. On one occasion, for instance, when I was meaning to describe the same small incident to a colleague of mine, the name of my authority for the stories about Bosnia suddenly escaped me. The reason for this was as follows. Just before, I had been playing cards. My [Bosnian] authority was called Pick. Now 'Pick' and 'Herz' ['Spades' and 'Hearts'] are two of the four suits in the pack. Moreover the two words were connected by an anecdote in which this same person pointed to himself and said: 'I'm not called 'Herz', but 'Pick', 'Herz' appears in the name 'Herzogina' and the heart itself, as a sick bodily organ, played a part in the thoughts I have described as having been repressed.

Von der tendenziösen Natur unseres Erinnerns und Vergessens habe ich unlängst ein lehrreiches, weil verräterisches, Beispiel erlebt.

should like to add an account here. I was intending to pay a
twenty-four-hour visit to a friend of mine who unfortunately
lives very far away, and I was full of the things I was going to
tell him. But before this I found myself under an obligation to call on
a family of my acquaintance in Vienna, one of whose members
had moved to the town in question, so as to take their greetings and
messages with me to the absent relative. They told me the
name of the pension in which he lived, and also the name of the
street and the number of the house, and, in view of my bad
memory, wrote the address on a card, which I put in my wallet.
The next day, when I had arrived at my friend's, I began: 'I've
only one duty to carry out that may interfere with our being
gether; it's a call, and it shall be the first thing I do. The
address is in my wallet.' To my astonishment, however, it was
not to be found there. So now I had to fall back on my memory,
after all. My memory for names is not particularly good, but
it is incomparably better than for figures and numbers. I may
have been paying medical visits at a certain house for a year on
end, and yet, if I should have to be driven there by a cab-
driver, I should have difficulty in remembering the number of
the house. But in this case I had taken special note of the house-
number; it was ultra-clear,\(^1\) as if to jeer at me—for no trace
remained in my recollection of the name of the pension or the
street. I had forgotten all the data in the address which
might have served as a starting-point for discovering the pension; and,
quite against my usual habit, I had retained the number of the
house, which was useless for the purpose. In consequence, I was
unable to make the call. I was consoled remarkably quickly,
and I devoted myself entirely to my friend. When I was back
again in Vienna and standing in front of my writing desk, I
knew without a moment's hesitation where it was that, in my
'starting-point', I had put the card with the address on it.
In my unconscious hiding of the thing the same intention had
been operative as in my curiously modified act of forgetting.\(^2\)

\(^1\) [See footnote 1, p. 291 above.]

\(^2\) [This anecdote is told much more shortly in a footnote to Chapter II
of The Psychopathology of Everyday Life, Standard Ed., 6, 13.]
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ZUR PSYCHOPATHOLOGIE DES ALLTAGSLEBENS
(ÜBER VERGESSEN, VERSPRECHEN, VERGREIFEN, ABERGLAUBE UND IRRTUM)

Nun ist die Luft von solchem Spuk so voll,
Dass niemand weiss, wie er ihn meiden soll.
_Faust, Part II, Act V, Scene 5_

Now fills the air so many a haunting shape,
That no one knows how best he may escape.
(Bayard Taylor’s translation)
EDITOR'S INTRODUCTION

ZUR PSYCHOPATHOLOGIE DES ALLTAGSLEBEN
(Uber Vergessen, Versprechen, Vergreifen, Aberglaube und Irrtum)
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1920 7. weiter vermehrte Auflage im Int. Psychol. Verlag, Leipzig,
Wien, Zürich.
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In englischer Sprache:
1938 "The Basic Writings of Freud." The Modern Library, Random
1938 In *The Basic Writings of Sigmund Freud*, New York: Modern Library. Pp. 35-178. (Same trans.)
1949 London: Ernest Benn. Pp. vii + 239. (Same trans.)

The present, entirely new, translation is by Alan Tyson.

Only one other of Freud's works, the *Introductory Lectures* (1916-17), rivals this one in the number of German editions it has passed through and the number of foreign languages into which it has been translated. In almost every one of its numerous editions fresh material was included in the book, and in this respect it might be thought to resemble *The Interpretation of Dreams* and the *Three Essays on the Theory of Sexuality*, to both of which Freud made constant additions throughout his life. But the cases have in fact no similarity. In these other two books the fresh material consisted for the most part of important enlargements or corrections of clinical findings and theoretical conclusions. In *The Psychopathology of Everyday Life* almost the whole of the basic explanations and theories were already present in the earliest editions; the great mass of what was added later consisted merely in extra examples and illustrations (partly produced by Freud himself but largely by his friends and pupils) to throw further light upon what he had already discussed. No doubt he felt particular pleasure both in the anecdotes themselves and in being presented with such widespread confirmation of his views. But the reader cannot help feeling sometimes that the wealth of new examples interrupts and even confuses the main stream of the underlying argument. (See, for instance, pp. 67-80 and 194 n.)

Here, as in the case of Freud's books on dreams and on jokes but perhaps to a still greater degree, the translator has to face

---

1 Besides the English version of 1914, *The Psychopathology of Everyday Life* was during Freud's lifetime translated into Russian (1910), Polish (1912), Dutch (1915), French (1922), Spanish (1922), Hungarian (1923), Japanese (1930, two versions), Serbo-Croat (1937), Czech (1938), as well as Portuguese and Swedish (dates unspecified).

2 A few new points of theory were discussed in the later editions of the last chapter of the book.
the fact that a large proportion of the material to be dealt with depends on a play upon words which is totally untranslatable. In the previous version the problem was dealt with in a drastic fashion by Brill; he omitted every example which involved terms that could not be rendered into English and inserted a certain number of examples of his own which illustrated similar points to the omitted ones. This was no doubt an entirely justifiable procedure in the circumstances. At the date at which Brill made his version, Freud's work was almost unknown in English-speaking countries, and it was important not to put up unnecessary obstacles to the circulation of this book which had been designed by Freud himself expressly for the general reader (cf. p. 272, footnote). How well Brill succeeded in this aim is shown by the fact that by 1935 sixteen printings of his translation had been issued, and many more were to follow. His own examples, too, were for the most part excellent and two or three of them were included by Freud in later editions of the German original. Nevertheless there are obvious objections to perpetuating this situation, especially in any edition intended for more serious students of Freud's writings. In some instances, for example, the omission of a piece of Freud's illustrative material inevitably brought with it the omission of some important or interesting piece of theoretical comment. Moreover, though Brill announced in his preface his intention “to modify or substitute some of the author's cases”, in the text itself these substitutions are not as a rule explicitly indicated and the reader may sometimes be uncertain whether he is reading Freud or Brill. Brill's translation, it must be added, was made from the German edition of 1912 and has remained unaltered in all the later reprints. Thus it entirely passes over the very numerous additions to the text made by Freud during the ten or more subsequent years. The total effect of the omissions due to these different causes is a startling one. Of the 305 pages of text of the latest edition, as printed in the Gesammelte Werke, between 90 and 100 (almost one third of the book, that is) have never hitherto appeared in English. The completeness of the present translation must, therefore, be weighed against the undoubted loss of readability caused by the Standard Edition policy of dealing with play upon words by the pedestrian method of giving the original German phrases
and explaining their point with the help of square brackets and footnotes.

We find the first mention by Freud of a parapraxis in a letter to Fliess of August 26, 1898 (Freud, 1950a, Letter 94). He there speaks of having 'at last grasped a little thing that I have long suspected'—the way in which a name sometimes escapes one and a quite wrong substitute occurs to one in its place. A month later, on September 22 (ibid., Letter 96), he gives Fliess another example, this time the familiar one of 'Signorelli', which he published that same year in a preliminary form in the *Monatsschrift für Psychiatrie und Neurologie* (1898b) and subsequently used for the first chapter of the present work. In the following year the same periodical published a paper by Freud on screen memories (1899a), a subject which he further discussed on rather different lines in Chapter IV below. But his time was fully occupied by the completion of *The Interpretation of Dreams* (1900a) and the preparation of his shorter study *On Dreams* (1901a) and it was not until late in 1900 that he took up *The Psychopathology of Everyday Life* seriously. In October of that year (Freud, 1950a, Letter 139) he asks leave from Fliess to use for the motto of his work the quotation from *Faust* which in fact appeared on its title-page. On January 30, 1901 (Letter 141) he reports that it is 'at a standstill, half-finished, but will soon be continued', and on February 15

1 In German 'Fehlleistung', faulty function. It is a curious fact that before Freud wrote this book the general concept seems not to have existed in psychology, and in English a new word had to be invented to cover it.

8 Since Freud never used the example elsewhere, it may perhaps be repeated here, though its explanation is not given: 'This happened to me not long ago with the name of the author of Andreas Hofer ("Zu Mainna in Banden . . "). It must, I felt sure, be something ending in "au"—Lindau, Feldau. The man was, of course, Julius Mosen [1803–1867, dramatist and poet]; the "Julius" had not slipped my memory. I was able to show: (1) that I had repressed the name Mosen because of certain connections it had, (2) that infantile material played a part in this repression and (3) that the substitute names which had been interpolated had arisen, like symptoms, from the two groups of material. The analysis was perfectly complete, but unfortunately I cannot make it public any more than my big dream. . . .'

8 He had spent January in preparing the 'Dora' case history, though this was not in fact published for another four years (1905a).
(Letter 142) announces that he will finish it during the next few days. It actually appeared in July and August in two issues of the same Berlin periodical as the preliminary studies.

Three years later, in 1904, the work was for the first time issued as a separate volume, with scarcely any alterations, but thereafter additions were made almost continuously over the next twenty years. In 1901 and 1904 it was in ten chapters. Two more (what are now Chapters III and XI) were first added in 1907. An interleaved copy of the 1904 edition was found in Freud's library, in which he had made rough notes of further examples. The majority of these were incorporated in later editions; others, so far as they seem to be of interest, have been included here as footnotes at the appropriate point.

The special affection with which Freud regarded parapraxes was no doubt due to the fact that they, along with dreams, were what enabled him to extend to normal mental life the discoveries he had first made in connection with neuroses. For the same reason he regularly used them as the best preliminary material for introducing non-medical enquirers into the findings of psycho-analysis. This material was both simple and, on the surface at least, unobjectionable, as well as being concerned with phenomena which every normal person had experienced. In his expository writings he sometimes gave parapraxes a preference even over dreams, which involved more complicated mechanisms and tended to lead rapidly into deeper waters. Thus it was that he opened his great series of Introductory Lectures of 1916–17 with three devoted to parapraxes—in which, incidentally, many of the examples in the following pages make their reappearance; and he gave parapraxes similar priority in his contributions to Scientia (1913) and to Marcuse's encyclopaedia (1923a). But though these phenomena were simple and easily explained, it was possible for Freud to demonstrate on them what was, after all, the fundamental thesis established in The Interpretation of Dreams—the existence of two distinct modes of mental functioning, what he described as the primary and secondary processes. Moreover, there was another fundamental belief of Freud's which could be convincingly supported by the examination of parapraxes—his belief in the universal application of determinism to mental
events. This is the truth which he insists upon in the final chapter of the book: it should be possible in theory to discover the psychical determinants of every smallest detail of the processes of the mind. And perhaps the fact that this aim seemed more nearly attainable in the case of parapraxes was another reason why they had a peculiar attraction for Freud. Indeed it was to this very point that he recurred in the short paper on 'The Subtleties of a Faulty Action' (1935b), which was one of his latest writings.
CHAPTER I

THE FORGETTING OF PROPER NAMES

In the 1898 volume of the Monatsschrift für Psychiatrie und Neurologie I published under the title of 'The Psychical Mechanism of Forgetfulness' [Freud, 1898a] a short paper the substance of which I shall recapitulate here and take as the starting-point for more extensive discussions. In it I applied psychological analysis to the frequent circumstance of proper names being temporarily forgotten, by exploring a highly suggestive example drawn from my self-observation; and I reached the conclusion that this particular instance (admittedly commonplace and without much practical significance), in which a psychical function—the memory—refuses to operate, admits of an explanation much more far-reaching than that which the phenomenon is ordinarily made to yield.

If a psychologist were asked to explain why it is that on so many occasions a proper name which we think we know perfectly well fails to enter our heads, he would, unless I am much mistaken, be satisfied with answering that proper names succumb more easily to the process of being forgotten than other kinds of memory-content. He would bring forward the plausible reasons why proper names should thus be singled out for special treatment, but would not suspect that any other conditions played their part in such occurrences.

My close preoccupation with the phenomenon of names being temporarily forgotten arose out of my observation of certain characteristics which could be recognized sufficiently clearly in individual cases, though not, it is true, in all of them. These are cases in which a name is in fact not only forgotten, but wrongly remembered. In the course of our efforts to recover the name that has dropped out, other ones—substitute names—enter our consciousness; we recognize them at once, indeed, as incorrect, but they keep on returning and force themselves on

---

Notes:

3 [Apart from the very few alterations recorded below, the whole of this chapter dates back to 1901.]
us with great persistence. The process that should lead to the reproduction of the missing name has been so to speak displaced and has therefore led to an incorrect substitute. My hypothesis is that this displacement is not left to arbitrary psychical choice but follows paths which can be predicted and which conform to laws. In other words, I suspect that the name or names which are substituted are connected in a discoverable way with the missing name: and I hope, if I am successful in demonstrating this connection, to proceed to throw light on the circumstances in which names are forgotten.

The name that I tried without success to recall in the example I chose for analysis in 1898 was that of the artist who painted the magnificent frescoes of the 'Four Last Things' in Orvieto cathedral. Instead of the name I was looking for—Signorelli—the names of two other painters—Botticelli and Boltraffio—thrust themselves on me, though they were immediately and decisively rejected by my judgement as incorrect. When I learnt the correct name from someone else, I recognized it at once and without hesitation. The investigation into the influences and the associative paths by which the reproducing of the name had been displaced in this way from Signorelli to Botticelli and Boltraffio led to the following results:

(a) The reason why the name Signorelli was lost is not to be found in anything special about the name itself or in any psychological characteristic of the context into which it was introduced. The name I had forgotten was just as familiar to me as one of the substitute names—Botticelli—and much more familiar than the other substitute name—Boltraffio—about whose owner I could scarcely produce any information other than that he belonged to the Milanese school. Moreover the context in which the name was forgotten seemed to me harmless and did not enlighten me further. I was driving in the company of a stranger from Ragusa in Dalmatia to a place in Herzegovina: our conversation turned to the subject of travel in Italy, and I asked my companion whether he had ever been to Orvieto and looked at the famous frescoes there, painted by ... .

(b) Light was only thrown on the forgetting of the name when I recalled the topic we had been discussing directly before,
and it was revealed as a case in which a topic that has just been raised is disturbed by the preceding topic. Shortly before I put the question to my travelling companion whether he had ever been to Orvieto, we had been talking about the customs of the Turks living in Bosnia and Herzegovina. I had told him what I had heard from a colleague practising among those people—that they are accustomed to show great confidence and great resignation to fate. If one has to inform them that they are not to be saved, I know you would have saved him. In these sentences we for the first time meet with the words and names Bosnia, Herzegovina and Herr, which can be inserted into an associative series between Signorelli and Botticelli—Boltraffio.

e) I assume that the series of thoughts about the customs of the Turks in Bosnia, etc., acquired the capacity to disturb the next succeeding thought from the fact that I had withdrawn my attention from that series before it was brought to an end. I recall in fact wanting to tell a second anecdote which lay close to the first in my memory. These Turks place a higher value on sexual enjoyment than on anything else, and in the event of sexual disorders they are plunged in a despair which contrasts strangely with their resignation towards the threat of death. One of my colleague's patients once said to him: 'Herr, you must know that if that comes to an end then life is of no value.' I suppressed my account of this characteristic trait, since I did not want to allude to the topic1 in a conversation with a stranger. But I did more: I also diverted my attention from pursuing thoughts which might have arisen in my mind from the topic of 'death and sexuality'. On this occasion I was still under the influence of a piece of news which had reached me a few weeks before while I was making a brief stay at Trafoi.2 A patient over whom I had taken a great deal of trouble had put an end to his life on account of an incurable sexual disorder. I know for certain that this melancholy event and everything related to it was not recalled to my conscious memory during my journey to Herzegovina. But the similarity between 'Trafoi' and 'Boltraffio' forces me to assume that this

1 [In all the editions before 1924 this read 'the delicate topic'.]
2 [A hamlet in the Tyrol.]

I. Vergessn von Eigennamen

erinnere, und gibt sich als eine Störung des neu auftauchenden Themas durch das vorhergehende zu erkennen. Kurz ehe ich an meinen Reisegesprächen die Frage stellte, ob er schon in Orvieto gewesen, hatten wir uns über die Sitten der in Bosniens und in der Herzegowina lebenden Türken unterhalten. Ich hatte erzählt, was ich von einem unter diesen Leuten praktizierenden Kollegen gehört hatte, daß sie sich voll Vertrauen in den Arzt und voll Ergebung in das Schicksal zu zeigen pflegten. Wenn man ihnen ankündigten muß, daß es für den Kranken keine Hilfe gibt, so antworteten sie: 'Herr, was ist da zu sagen? Ich weiß, wenn er zu retten wäre, hättest du ihn gerettet!' — Erst in diesen Sätzen finden sich die Worte und Namen: Bosnien, Herzegovina, Herr vor, welche sich in eine Assoziationsreihe zwischen Signorelli und Botticelli—Boltraffio einschalten lassen.

reminiscence, in spite of my attention being deliberately diverted from it, was brought into operation in me at the time [of the conversation].

(d) It is no longer possible for me to take the forgetting of the name Signorelli as a chance event. I am forced to recognize the influence of a motive in the process. It was a motive which caused me to interrupt myself while recounting what was in my mind (concerning the customs of the Turks, etc.), and it was a motive which further influenced me so that I debarred the thoughts connected with them, the thoughts which had led to the news at Trafoi, from becoming conscious in my mind. I wanted, therefore, to forget something; I had repressed something. What I wanted to forget was not, it is true, the name of the artist at Orvieto but something else—something, however, which contrived to place itself in an associative connection with his name, so that my act of will missed its target and I forgot the one thing against my will, while I wanted to forget the other thing intentionally. The disinclination to remember was aimed against one content; the inability to remember emerged in another. It would obviously be a simpler case if disinclination and inability to remember related to the same content. Moreover the substitute names no longer strike me as so entirely unjustified as they did before the matter was elucidated: by a sort of compromise they remind me just as much of what I wanted to forget as of what I wanted to remember; and they show me that my intention to forget something was neither a complete success nor a complete failure.¹

(e) The way in which the missing name and the repressed topic (the topic of death and sexuality, etc., in which the names of Bosnia, Herzegovina and Trafoi appeared) became linked is very striking. The schematic diagram which I have inserted at this point, and which is repeated from the 1898 paper [Fig. 1], aims at giving a clear picture of this.

The name Signorelli has undergone a division into two pieces. One of the pairs of syllables (elli) recurs without alteration in one of the substitute names; while the other, by means of the translation of Signor into Herr, has acquired a numerous and miscellaneous set of relations to the names contained in the

¹ [In 1901 only, the sentence ended: 'my intention to forget something was not a complete success.']
FORGETTING OF PROPER NAMES

repressed topic, but for this reason it is not available for [conscious] reproduction. The substitute for it [for Signor] has been arrived at in a way that suggests that a displacement along the connected names of ‘Herzegovina and Bosnia’ had taken place, without consideration for the sense or for the acoustic demarcation of the syllables. Thus the names have been treated in this process like the pictograms in a sentence which has had to be converted into a picture-puzzle (or rebus). Of the whole course of events that have in ways like these produced the substitute names instead of the name Signorelli no information has been given to consciousness. At first sight it seems impossible to discover any relation between the topic in which the name Signorelli occurred and the repressed topic which preceded it in time, apart from this recurrence of the same syllables (or rather sequence of letters).

Perhaps it is not superfluous to remark that the conditions which psychologists assume to be necessary for reproducing and for forgetting, and which they look for in certain relations and dispositions, are not inconsistent with the above explanation.

1 [These two portions of the Austro-Hungarian monarchy used to be habitually spoken of together, almost as though they formed a single word.]

2 [I.e. ‘mental traces’. See Stout, 1938, 21.]
All we have done is, in certain cases, to add a *motiv* to the factors that have been recognized all along as being able to bring about the forgetting of a name; and, in addition, we have elucidated the mechanism of false recollection (paramnesia). These dispositions are indispensable to our case as well, in order to make it possible for the repressed element to get hold of the missing name by association and draw it with itself into repression. In the case of another name with more favourable conditions for reproduction this perhaps would not happen. It is probable indeed that a suppressed element always strives to assert itself elsewhere, but is successful in this only when suitable conditions meet it half way. At other times the suppression succeeds without any functional disturbance, or, as we can justly say, without any *symptom*.

The conditions necessary for forgetting a name, when forgetting it is accompanied by paramnesia, may then be summarized as follows: (1) a certain disposition for forgetting the name, (2) a process of suppression carried out shortly before, (3) the possibility of establishing an *external* association between the name in question and the element previously suppressed. The difficulty of fulfilling the last condition need probably not be rated very high, since, considering the low standards expected of an association of this kind, one could be established in the great majority of cases. There is, however, the profounder question whether an external association like this can really be a sufficient condition for the repressed element's disturbing the reproduction of the lost name—whether some more intimate connection between the two topics is not required. On a superficial consideration one would be inclined to reject the latter demand, and accept as sufficient a temporal contiguity between the two, even if the contents are completely different. On close enquiry, however, one finds more and more frequently that the two elements which are joined by an external association (the repressed element and the new one) possess in addition some connection of content; and such a connection is in fact demonstrable in the *Signorelli* example.1

The value of the insight that we have gained in analysing the *Signorelli* example naturally depends on whether we want to

1 [See the footnote below, p. 13.]

Der Wert der Einsicht, die wir bei der Analyse des Beispiels *Signorelli* gewonnen haben, hängt natürlich davon ab, ob wir
prone to that instance as typical or as an isolated occurrence. I must affirm, then, that the forgetting of names, accompanied by paramnesia, takes place with uncommon frequency in the way in which we have explained it in the Signorelli case. In almost every instance in which I could observe this phenomenon in myself, I have also been able to explain it in the way described above, i.e. as motivated by repression. I must also draw attention to another consideration which supports the typical nature of our analysis. I think there is no justification for making a theoretical separation between those cases in which the forgetting of names is accompanied by paramnesia and the sort where incorrect substitute names have not presented themselves. 1 These substitute names occur spontaneously in a number of cases; in others, where they have not emerged spontaneously, it is possible to force them to emerge by an effort of attention; and they then show the same relation to the repressed element and to the missing name as they would if they had appeared spontaneously. Two factors seem to be decisive in bringing the substitute names to consciousness: first, the effort of attention, and secondly, an inner condition that attaches to the psychical material. We might look for the latter in the greater or lesser facility with which the necessary external association between the two elements establishes itself. A good portion of the cases of name-forgetting without paramnesia can thus be added to the cases in which substitute names are formed -to which the mechanism of the Signorelli example applies. I shall however certainly not venture to affirm that all cases of name-forgetting are to be classed in the same group. There is no question that instances of it exist which are much simpler. We shall, I think, have stated the facts of the case with sufficient caution 2 if we affirm: By the side of simple cases where proper names are forgotten there is a type of forgetting which is motivated by repression.

1 [Freud returns to this question in the next chapter, p. 12.]
2 [In 1901 only, ‘correctly’ appears instead of ‘with sufficient caution’.]
3 A short account of the Signorelli example was given by Freud in a letter to Flies of September 22, 1898 (Freud, 1950a, Letter 96), immediately on his return to Vienna from the trip along the Dalmatian coast during which the episode occurred.

I. Vergessen von Eigennamen

CHAPTER II
THE FORGETTING OF FOREIGN WORDS

The current vocabulary of our own language, when it is confined to the range of normal usage, seems to be protected against being forgotten. With the vocabulary of a foreign language it is notoriously otherwise. The disposition to forget it extends to all parts of speech, and an early stage in functional disturbance is revealed by the fluctuations in the control we have over our stock of foreign words—according to the general condition of our health and to the degree of our tiredness. In a number of cases this kind of forgetting exhibits the same mechanism disclosed to us by the Signorelli example. In proof of this I shall give only a single analysis, one which is distinguished, however, by some useful characteristics: it concerns the forgetting of a non-substantival word in a Latin quotation. Perhaps I may be allowed to present a full and clear account of this small incident.

Last summer— it was once again on a holiday trip—I renewed my acquaintance with a certain young man of

2 [Apart from the change recorded in the next footnote, a new footnote on p. 11, and a short addition to the footnote on pp. 12-13, the whole of this chapter dates back to 1901.]

2 [In 1901 and 1904 there was at this point a long footnote. It began: 'I am doubtful whether frequency of use can by itself account for this protection. I have at any rate observed that first names, which do not have the same restricted application that proper names [i.e. surnames] have, are just as liable to be forgotten as the latter.' This was followed by the example now to be found in Chapter III, p. 23 (forgetting the first name of a woman patient's brother). The footnote continued: 'A suppressed thought about oneself or one's own family frequently provides the motive for forgetting a name, as if one were constantly making comparisons between oneself and other people. [Cf. p. 24.] The most curious instance of this sort was reported to me by a Herr Lederer . . .' The example now to be found in Chapter III, p. 25, was then cited. In 1907 a new chapter, on the forgetting of names and sets of words, was added to the book (the present Chapter III); these two examples were transferred to it, and the rest of the footnote disappeared.]

CHAPTER II
VERGESSEN VON FREMDSPRACHIGEN WORTEN


Im letzten Sommer erneuerte ich — wiederum auf der Ferienreise — die Bekanntschaft eines jungen Mannes von aca-
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academic background. I soon found that he was familiar with some of my psychological publications. We had fallen into conversation—how I have now forgotten—about the social status of the race to which we both belonged; and ambitious feelings prompted him to give vent to a regret that his generation was doomed (as he expressed it) to atrophy, and could not develop its talents or satisfy its needs. He ended a speech of impassioned fervour with the well-known line of Virgil’s, which the unhappy Dido commits to posterity her vengeance on Aeneas: ‘Exoriar(e) . . .’ Or rather, he wanted to end it in this way, for he could not get hold of the quotation and tried to conceal an obvious gap in what he remembered by changing the order of the words: ‘Exoriar(e) ex nostris ossibus ultor.’ At last he said irritably: ‘Please don’t look so scornful: you seem as if you were gloating over my embarrassment. Why not help me? There’s something missing in the line; how does the whole thing really go?’

‘I’ll help you with pleasure,’ I replied, and gave the quotation in its correct form: ‘Exoriar(e) ALIQUIS nostris ex ossibus ultor.’

‘How stupid to forget a word like that! By the way, you should be very curious to learn how I came to forget the indefinite pronoun “aliquis” in this case.

I took up this challenge most readily, for I was hoping for a contribution to my collection. So I said: ‘That should not take us long. I must only ask you to tell me, candidly and unvarnished, whatever comes into your mind if you direct your attention to the forgotten word without any definite aim.’

‘Good. There springs to my mind, then, the ridiculous notion of dividing up the word like this: a and liquis.

‘What does that mean?’ ‘I don’t know.’ ‘And what occurs to you next?’ ‘What comes next is Reliquia [relics], liquefying, fluidity, fluid. Have you discovered anything so far?’

‘No. Not by any means yet. But go on.’

‘I am thinking’, he went on with a scornful laugh, ‘of Simon

[Virgil, Aeneid, IV, 625. Literally: ‘Let someone (aliquis) arise from my bones as an avenger!’]

This is the general method of introducing concealed ideational elements to consciousness. Cf. my Interpretation of Dreams, Standard Ed., 4, 101.
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demischer Bildung, der, wie ich bald merkte, mit einigen meiner psychologischen Publikationen vertraut war. Wir waren im Gespräch — ich weiß nicht mehr wie — auf die soziale Lage des Volksstammes gekommen, dem wir beide angehörten; und er, der Ehrgeizige, erging sich in Bedauern darüber, daß seine Generation, wie er sich äußerte, zur Verkümmerung bestimmt sei, ihre Talente nicht entwickeln und ihre Bedürfnisse nicht befriedigen könne. Er schloß seine leidenschaftlich bewegte Rede mit dem bekannten Vergil’schen Vers, in dem die unglückliche Dido ihre Rache an Aeneas der Nachwelt überträgt: Exoriar(e) . . . vielmehr er wollte so schließen, denn er brachte das Zitat nicht zustande und suchte eine offenkundige Lücke der Erinnerung durch Umstellung von Worten zu verdecken: Exoriar(e) ex nostris ossibus ultor! Endlich sagte er gequält: „Bitte, machen Sie nicht ein so spöttisches Gesicht, als ob Sie sich an meiner Verlegenheit weiden würden, und helfen Sie mir lieber. An dem Vers fehlt etwas. Wie heißt er eigentlich vollständig?”

Gerne, erwiderte ich und zitierte, wie es richtig lautet:

Exoriar(e) aliquis nostris ex ossibus ultor!


Ich nahm diese Herausforderung bereitwilligst an, da ich einen Beitrag zu meiner Sammlung erhoffte. Ich sagte also: Das können wir gleich haben. Ich muß Sie nur bitten, mir aufschlußreich und kritiklos alles mitzuteilen, was Ihnen einfällt, wenn Sie ohne bestimmte Absicht Ihre Aufmerksamkeit auf das vergessene Wort richten.

„Gut, da komme ich auch auf den lachlichen Klar, mir das Wort in folgender Art zu zerteilen: a und liquis.”


Nein, noch lange nicht. Aber fahren Sie fort.

„Ich denke”, führ er höhnisch lauchend fort, „an Simon von
of Trent, whose relics I saw two years ago in a church at Trent. I am thinking of the accusation of ritual blood-sacrifice which is being brought against the Jews again just now, and of Klein­
paul's book [1892] in which he regards all these supposed victims as incarnations, one might say new editions, of the Saviour. 'The notion is not entirely unrelated to the subject we were discussing before the Latin word slipped your memory.'

'True. My next thoughts are about an article that I read lately in an Italian newspaper. Its title, I think, was "What St. Augustine says about Women". What do you make of that?"

'I am waiting.'

'And now comes something that is quite clearly unconnected with our subject.'

'Please refrain from any criticism and—'

'Yes, I understand. I am thinking of a fine old gentleman I met on my travels last week. He was a real original, with all the appearance of a huge bird of prey. His name was Benedict, if it's of interest to you.'

'Anyhow, here are a row of saints and Fathers of the Church: St. Simon, St. Augustine, St. Benedict. There was, I think, a Church Father called Origen. Moreover, three of these names are also first names, like Paul in Klein­paul.'

'Now it's St. Januarius and the miracle of his blood that comes into my mind—my thoughts seem to me to be running on mechanically.'

'Just a moment: St. Januarius and St. Augustine both have to do with the calendar. But won't you remind me about the miracle of his blood?'

'Surely you must have heard of that? They keep the blood of St. Januarius in a phial inside a church at Naples, and on a particular holy day it miraculously liquefies. The people attach great importance to this miracle and get very excited if it's delayed, as happened once at a time when the French were occupying the town. So the general in command—or have I got it wrong? was it Garibaldi?—took the reverend gentleman aside and gave him to understand, with an unmistakable gesture towards the soldiers posted outside, that he hoped the miracle would take place very soon. And in fact it did take place . . .'

'Well, go on. Why do you pause?'

Trient, dessen Reliquien ich vor zwei Jahren in einer Kirche in Trient gesehen habe. Ich denke an die "Blutbeschuldigung, die gerade jetzt wieder gegen die Juden erhoben wird, und an die Schrift von Klein­paul, der in all diesen angeblichen Opfern Inkarnationen, sozusagen Neuauflagen des Heilands sieht."

Der Einfall ist nicht ganz ohne Zusammenhang mit dem Thema, über das wir uns unterhielten, ehe Ihnen das latei­nische Wort entfiel.

"Richtig. Ich denke ferner an einen Zeitungsartikel in einem italienischen Journal, den ich kürzlich gelesen. Ich glaube, er war überschrieben: Was der hl. Augustinus über die Frauen sagt. Was machen Sie damit?"

Ich warte.

"Also jetzt kommt etwas, was ganz gewiß außer Zusammen­hang mit unserem Thema steht."

Enthalten Sie sich, gefälligst jeder Kritik und——


"Jetzt fällt mir der heilige Januarius ein und sein Blut­wunder — ich finde, das geht mechanisch so weiter."

lassen Sie das; der heilige Januarius und der heilige Augustinus habe beide mit dem Kalender zu tun. Wollen Sie mich nicht an das Blutwunder erinnern?

"Das werden Sie doch kennen! In einer Kirche zu Neapel wird in einer Phiole das Blut des heiligen Januar­ius aufbewahrt, welches durch ein Wunder an einem bestimmten Festtag wieder flüssig wird. Das Volk hält viel auf dieses Wunder und wird sehr aufgeregt, wenn es sich verzögert, wie es einmal zur Zeit einer französischen Besetzung geschah. Da nahm der kom­mandierende General — oder irre ich mich? war es Garibaldi?— den geistlichen Herrn beiseite und bedeutete ihm mit einer sehr verständlichen Gebärde auf die draußen aufgestellten Soldaten, er hoffe, das Wunder werde sich sehr bald vollziehen. Und es vollzog sich wirklich . . ."

Nun und weiter? Warum stocken Sie?
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"Well, something has come into my mind . . . but it's too intimate to pass on . . . Besides, I don't see any connection, or any necessity for saying it."

"You can leave the connection to me. Of course I can't force you to talk about something that you find distasteful; but then you mustn't insist on learning from me how you came to forget your aliquis."

"Really? Is that what you think? Well then, I've suddenly thought of a lady from whom I might easily hear a piece of news that would be very awkward for both of us."

"That her periods have stopped?"

"How could you guess that?"

"That's not difficult any longer; you've prepared the way sufficiently. Think of the calendar saints, the blood that starts to flow on a particular day, the disturbance when the event fails to take place, the open threats that the miracle must be vouchsafed, or else . . . In fact you've made use of the miracle of St. Januarius to manufacture a brilliant allusion to women's periods."

"Without being aware of it. And you really mean to say that it was this anxious expectation that made me unable to produce an unimportant word like aliquis?"

"It seems to me undeniable. You need only recall the division you made into a-liquis, and your associations: relics, liquefying, fluid. St. Simon was sacrificed as a child—shall I go on and show how he comes in? You were led on to him by the subject of relics."

"No, I'd much rather you didn't. I hope you don't take these thoughts of mine too seriously, if indeed I really had them. In return I will confess to you that the lady is Italian and that I went to Naples with her. But mayn't all this just be a matter of chance?"

"I must leave it to your own judgement to decide whether you can explain all these connections by the assumption that they are matters of chance. I can however tell you that every case like this that you care to analyse will lead you to "matters of chance" that are just as striking."

I have several reasons for valuing this brief analysis; and my

[Footnote added 1924: This short analysis has received much attention in the literature of the subject and has provoked lively discussion. Basing himself directly on it, Bleuler (1919) has attempted to determine]
thanks are due to my former travelling-companion who presented me with it. In the first place, this is because I was in this instance allowed to draw on a source that is ordinarily denied to me. For the examples collected here of disturbances of a psychical function in daily life I have to fall back mainly on self-observation. I am anxious to steer clear of the much richer material provided by my neurotic patients, since it might otherwise be objected that the phenomena in question are merely consequences and manifestations of neurosis. My purpose is therefore particularly well served when a person other than myself, not suffering from nervous illness, offers himself as the object of such an investigation. This analysis is significant in a further respect: it throws light on the case of a word being forgotten without a substitute for it appearing in the memory. It thus confirms my earlier assertion [p. 7] that the appearance or non-appearance in the memory of incorrect substitutes cannot be made the basis for any radical distinction.

Mathematically the credibility of psychoanalytic interpretations, and has come to the conclusion that it has a higher probability value than thousands of medical 'truths' which have gone unchallenged, and that it owes its exceptional position only to the fact that we are not yet accustomed to take psychological probabilities into consideration in science.

1 [Cf. Freud's similar remarks on the subject of his choice of dreams for analysis in his preface to the first edition of the Interpretation of Dreams (Standard Ed., 4, xxii).]

2 Closer scrutiny somewhat diminishes the contrast between the analyses of Signorelli and of aliquis in regard to substitutive memories. In the latter example too it appears that the forgetting was accompanied by a substitutive formation. When subsequently I asked my companion whether in the course of his efforts to recall the missing word no substitute whatever came into his mind, he reported that at first he had felt a temptation to introduce an ab into the line (perhaps the detached portion of a liquidus) — nontris ab osibus; and he went on to say that the exoriare had thrust itself on him with peculiar clarity and obstinacy, 'evidently,' he added with his characteristic scepticism, 'because it was the first word in the line.' When I asked him to attend all the same to the associations starting from exoriare, he produced exorum. I can therefore very well believe that the intensification of exoriare when it was reproduced actually had the value of a substitutive formation of this sort. This substitute would have been arrived at from the names of the saints vid the association 'exorcism.' These however are refinements to which one need attach no importance. (The next two sentences were added in 1924.) On the other hand Wilson, 1922, stresses the fact that
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The chief importance however of the *aliquis* example lies in another of the ways in which it differs from the Signorelli specimen. In the latter, the reproducing of a name was disturbed by the after-effect of a train of thought begun just before and then broken off, whose content, however, had no clear connection with the new topic containing the name of Signorelli. Contiguity in time furnished the only relation between the repressed topic and the topic of the forgotten name; but this was enough to enable the two topics to find a connection in an external association. Nothing on the other hand can be seen in the *aliquis* example of an independent repressed topic of this sort, which had engaged conscious thinking directly before and then left its echoes in a disturbance. The disturbance in reproduction occurred in this instance from the very nature of the

The intensification of *exoräre* is of great significance to the understanding of the case, since exorcism would be the best symbolic substitute for repressed thoughts about getting rid of the unwanted child by abortion. I gratefully accept this correction, which does not weaken the validity of the analysis. It seems possible, however, that the appearance of any kind of substitute memory is a constant sign—even though perhaps only a characteristic and revealing sign—of tendentious forgetfulness which is motivated by repression. It would seem that substitutive formation occurs even in cases not marked by the appearance of incorrect names as substitutes, and that in these it lies in the intensification of an element that is closely related to the forgotten name. For example, in the Signorelli case, so long as the painter’s name remained inaccessible, the visual memory that I had of the series of frescoes and of the self-portrait which is introduced into the corner of one of the pictures was ultra-clear—at any rate much more intense than visual memory-traces normally appear to me. In another case, also described in my 1898 paper, which concerned a visit which I was very reluctant to pay to an address in a strange town, I had forgotten the name of the street beyond all hope of recovery, but my memory of the house number, as if in derision, was ultra-clear, whereas normally I have the greatest difficulty in remembering numbers. [Cf. pp. 41 and 267, below.]

1 I am not entirely convinced of the absence of any internal connection between the two groups of thoughts in the Signorelli case. After all, if the repressed thoughts on the topic of death and sexual life are carefully followed up, one will be brought face to face with an idea that is by no means remote from the topic of the frescoes at Orvieto.—[Dr. Richard Karpe has suggested that there may be a connection here with the visit to an Etruscan tomb near Orvieto mentioned in *The Interpretation of Dreams* (1900a), Standard Ed., 5, 454–5. See also Freud’s earlier paper (1898).]

II. Vergessen von fremdsprachigen Worten


1 Ich möchte für das Fehlen eines inneren Zusammenhangs zwischen den beiden Gedankengängen im Falle Signorelli nicht mit voller Bestimmung einstehen. Bei sorgfältiger Verfolgung der verdrängten Gedanken über das Thema von Tod und Sexualleben stützt man doch auf eine Idee, die sich mit dem Thema der Fresken von Orvieto nahe berührt.
topic hit upon in the quotation, since opposition unconsciously arose to the wishful idea expressed in it. The circumstances must be construed as follows. The speaker had been deploring the fact that the present generation of his people was deprived of its full rights; a new generation, he prophesied like Dido, would inflict vengeance on the oppressors. He had in this way expressed his wish for descendants. At this moment a contrary thought intruded. 'Have you really so keen a wish for descendants? That is not so. How embarrassed you would be if you were to get news just now that you were to expect descendants from the quarter you know of. No: no descendants—however much we need them for vengeance.'

This contradiction then asserts itself by exactly the same means as in the Signorelli example—by setting up an external association between one of its ideational elements and an element in the wish that has been repudiated; this time, indeed, it does so in a most arbitrary fashion by making use of a roundabout associative path which has every appearance of artificiality. A second essential in which the present case agrees with the Signorelli instance is that the contradiction has its roots in repressed sources and derives from thoughts that would lead to a diversion of attention.

So much for the dissimilarity and the inner affinity between these two typical specimens of the forgetting of words. We have got to know a second mechanism of forgetting—the disturbance of a thought by an internal contradiction which arises from the repressed. Of the two processes this is, I think, the easier to understand; and we shall repeatedly come across it again in the course of this discussion.

1 [Freud writes 'Namenvorgessen'—'the forgetting of names'; but this is doubtless an oversight.]
CHAPTER III

THE FORGETTING OF NAMES AND SETS OF WORDS

Observations such as those mentioned above [Chapter II], of what happens when a portion of a set of words in a foreign tongue is forgotten, may make us curious to know whether the forgetting of sets of words in our own language demands an essentially different explanation. We are not usually surprised, it is true, if a formula learnt by heart, or a poem, can be reproduced only inaccurately some time later, with alterations and omissions. Since, however, this forgetting does not have a uniform effect on what has been learnt as a whole but seems on the contrary to break off isolated portions of it, it may be worth the trouble to submit to analytic investigation a few instances of such faulty reproduction.

A younger colleague of mine told me in conversation that he thought it likely that the forgetting of poetry in one's own language could very well have motives similar to the forgetting of single elements from a set of words in a foreign tongue. At the same time he offered to be the subject of an experiment. I asked him on what poem he would like to make the test, and he chose 'Die Braut von Korinth', a poem of which he was very fond and of which he thought he knew at least some stanzas by heart. At the beginning of his reproduction he was overcome by a rather remarkable uncertainty. 'Does it run "Travelling from Corinth to Athens" he asked, 'or "Travelling to Corinth from Athens"? I also had a moment's hesitation, until I laughingly observed that the title of the poem 'The Bride of Corinth' left no doubt which way the young man was travelling. The reproduction of the first stanza then proceeded smoothly or at any rate without any striking falsifications. My colleague seemed to search for a while for

---

1 [This chapter was added to the book in 1907. Much new material was included in it later, as will be found specified below. The earlier portion, up to p. 19, dates from 1907.]

2 ['The Bride of Corinth', Goethe's ballad.]
the first line of the second stanza; he soon continued, and recited as follows:

Aber wir'd er auch willkommen scheinen,
Jetzt, wo jeder Tag was Neues bringt? 
Denn er ist noch Heide mit den Seinen
Und sie sind Christen und — getauft. 1

Before he reached this point I had already pricked up my ears in surprise; and after the end of the last line we were both in agreement that some distortion had occurred here. But as we did not succeed in correcting it, we hurried to the bookcase to get hold of Goethe’s poems, and found to our surprise that the second line of the stanza had a completely different wording, which had, as it were, been expelled from my colleague’s memory and replaced by something that did not seem to belong. The correct version runs:

Aber wird er auch willkommen scheinen,
Wenn er teuer nicht die Gunst erkauft? 2

‘Getauft’ [‘baptized’, two lines below] rhymes with ‘erkauft’, and it struck me as singular that the connected group of ‘heathen’, ‘Christian’ and ‘baptized’ should have given him so little help in restoring the text.

‘Can you explain,’ I asked my colleague, ‘how you have so completely expunged a line in a poem that you claim you know so well, and have you any notion from what context you can have taken the substitute?’

He was in a position to provide an explanation, though obviously with some reluctance. ‘The line “Jetzt, wo jeder Tag was Neues bringt” seems familiar to me; I must have used the

1 [Literally: ‘But will he in fact seem welcome,
Now, when every day brings something new?
For he is still a heathen with his kindred
And they are Christians and baptized.’

In addition to the introduction of the completely alien second line, which is discussed in the next paragraph, the third and fourth lines have been slightly misquoted. They should run:

‘Er ist noch ein Heide mit den Seinen
Und sie sind schon Christen und getauft.’

(‘He is still a heathen with his kindred
And they are already Christians and baptized.’)]

2 [‘But will he in fact seem welcome if he does not buy the favour dearly?’]
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words a short time ago in referring to my practice—as you know, I am highly satisfied with its progress at the present time. But how does the sentence fit in here? I could think of a connection. The line "Wenn er teuer nicht die Gunst erkauft" was obviously one which I found disagreeable. It is connected with a proposal of marriage which was turned down on the first occasion, and which, in view of the great improvement in my material position, I am now thinking of repeating. I cannot tell you any more, but if I am accepted now, it certainly cannot be enjoyable for me to reflect that some sort of calculation tipped the scale both then and now.  

This struck me as intelligible, even without my needing to know further particulars. But I continued with my questions: 'How in any case have you and your private affairs become involved in the text of the "Bride of Corinth"? Is yours perhaps a case that involves differences in religious belief like those that play an important part in the poem?'

(Kemt ein Glaube neu,  
Wird oft Lieb' und Treu  
Wie ein böses Unkraut ausgerauft.)

My guess was wrong; but it was curious to see how a single well-aimed question gave him a sudden perspicacity, so that he was able to bring me as an answer something of which he had certainly been unaware up to that time. He gave me a pained, even an indignant look, muttered a later passage from the poem:

Sich sie an genaul  
Morgen ist sie grau.  

and added shortly: 'She is rather older than I am.' To avoid

1) "When a faith is newly sprung up, love and truth are often torn out like an evil weed."  
2) "Look on her carefully. Tomorrow she will be grey." My colleague has incidentally made changes in this beautiful passage from the poem, somewhat altering both the wording and what the words refer to. The ghostly maiden says to her bridegroom:

'Meine Kette hab' ich dir gegeben;  
Deine Locke nahm' ich mit mir fort.  
Sich sie an genaul  
Morgen bist du grau,  
Und nur braun erscheinst du wieder dort.'

['My necklace I have given thee; your lock of hair I take away with

III. Vergessen von Namen und Wortfolgen


(Kemt ein Glaube neu,  
Wird oft Lieb' und Treu  
wie ein böses Unkraut ausgerauft.)

Ich hatte nicht richtig geraten, aber es war merkwürdig zu erfahren, wie die eine wohlgemachte Frage den Mann plötzlich hellsehend machte, so daß er mir als Antwort bringen konnte, was ihm sicherlich bis dahin selbst unbekannt geblieben war. Er sah mich mit einem gequälten und auch unwilligen Blick an, marmelte eine spätere Stelle des Gedichtes vor sich hin:

Sieh sie an genau!  
Morgen ist sie grau.

und fügte kurz hinzu: Sie ist etwas älter als ich. Um ihm nicht

1) Der Kollege hat übrigens die schöne Stelle des Gedichtes sowohl in ihrem Wortlaut wie nach ihrer Anwendung etwas abgeändert. Das gespenstische Mädchen sagte seinem Bräutigam:

Meine Kette hab' ich dir gegeben;  
Deine Locke nahm' ich mit mir fort.  
Sich sie an genau!  
Morgen bist du grau,  
Und nur braun erscheinst du wieder dort.
distressing him further I broke off the enquiry. The explanation struck me as sufficient. But it was certainly surprising that the attempt to trace a harmless failure of memory back to its cause should have had to come up against matters in the subject’s private life that were so remote and intimate, and that were cathexed with such distressing affect.

Here is another instance, given by Jung (1907, 64), of the forgetting of a set of words in a well-known poem. I shall quote the author’s own words.

“A man was trying to recite the well-known poem that begins “Ein Fichtenbaum steht einsam . . .” In the line beginning “Ich schläfert” he became hopelessly stuck; he had completely forgotten the words “mit weisser Decke” [with a white sheet]. Forgetting something in so familiar a verse struck me as surprising, and I therefore made him reproduce what occurred to him in connection with “mit weisser Decke”. He had the following train of associations: “A white sheet makes one think of a shroud—a linen sheet to cover a dead body”—(pause)—“now a close friend occurs to me—his brother died recently quite suddenly—he is supposed to have died of a heart attack—he was also very stout—my friend is also stout, and I have thought before now that it might also happen to him—probably he takes too little exercise—when I heard of his brother’s death I suddenly became anxious that it might also happen to me; for in our family we have in any case a tendency to fatness, and my grandfather, too, died of a heart attack; I have noticed that I too am over-stout and I have therefore begun a course of slimming recently.”

“Thus,” comments Jung, “the man had, unconsciously, identified himself. Look on it carefully. Tomorrow you will be grey, and you will appear brown again only there.” (The context shows that ‘sic’ (‘it’ or ‘her’) in the third line refers to the lock of hair. In a different context the line could mean: ‘Look on her carefully.’)

1) [A fir-tree stands alone.] Heine, Lyrisches Intermesio, XXXIII.

1) [The relevant lines are:]

Ihn schlafert; mit weisser Decke
Umhaufen ihn Eis und Schnee.

He slumbers; with a white sheet
Ice and snow cover him.

noch mehr Pein zu bereiten, brach ich die Erkundigung ab. Die Aufklärung erschien mir zureichend. Aber es war gewiß überraschend, daß die Bemühung, eine harmlose Fehlleistung des Gedichtnisses auf ihren Grund zurückzuführen, an so fern liegende, intime und mit peinlichem Affekt besetzte Angelegenheiten des Untersuchten rühmen mußte.

Ein anderes Beispiel von Vergessen in der Wortfolge eines bekannten Gedichtes will ich nach C. G. Jung und mit den Worten des Autors aufführen.


1) C. G. Jung, Über die Psychologie der Dementia praecox, 1907, Seite 64.
fied himself at once with the fir-tree wrapped in the white shroud."

The following example\(^1\) of the forgetting of a set of words which I owe to my friend\(^2\) Sándor Ferenczi of Budapest, differs from the preceding ones in that it concerns a phrase coined by the subject himself and not a sentence taken from a writer. It may also present us with the somewhat unusual case in which the forgetting ranges itself on the side of our good sense, when the latter threatens to succumb to a momentary desire. The parapraxis thus comes to serve a useful function. When we have sobered down once more we appreciate the rightness of this internal current, which had previously only been able to express itself in a failure to function—a forgetting, a psychical impotence.

At a social gathering someone quoted "*Tout comprendre c'est tout pardonner*". I made the comment that the first part of the sentence was enough; "pardonning" was a piece of arrogance: it should be left to God and the priests. One of those present thought this observation very good, and this emboldened me to say—probably with the intention of securing the good opinion of the benevolent critic—that I had recently thought of something better. But when I tried to repeat it I found it had escaped me. I immediately withdrew from the company and wrote down the screen-associations [i.e. the substitute ideas]. There first occurred to me the names of the friend and of the street in Budapest that witnessed the birth of the idea I was looking for; next came the name of another friend, Max, whom we usually call Maxi. This led me to the word "maxim" and to the recollection that what we were after was, like my original remark, a variation on a well-known maxim. Strangely enough my next thought was not a maxim but the following sentence: "God created man in His own image" and the same idea in reverse: "Man created God in his." Thereupon the memory of what I was looking for immediately appeared. On that occasion my friend had said to me in Andrassy Street: "Nothing human is foreign to me", whereupon I had answered, in allusion to the discoveries of psycho-analysis: "You ought to

---

\(^1\) [This paragraph and the four following ones were added in 1910.]

\(^2\) [In 1910 only, the word used was 'colleague'.]
have gone further and have admitted that nothing animal is foreign to you."

But after I had at last remembered what I wanted, I was less than ever able to repeat it in the company I happened to be in. The young wife of the friend whom I had reminded of the animal nature of the unconscious was among those present, and I had to recognize that she was by no means prepared to receive such disagreeable truths. My forgetting spared me a number of unpleasant questions from her and a pointless discussion. This and nothing else must have been the motive for my "temporary amnesia".

"It is interesting that a screen-association was provided by a sentence in which the Deity is debased to the status of a human invention, while in the missing sentence there is an allusion to the animal in man. *Capitis diminutio* [i.e. deprivation of one's status] is therefore the element common to both. The whole subject is clearly only the continuation of the train of thought about understanding and forgiving which the conversation had instigated.

"The fact that what I was looking for in this case was so quick in presenting itself may perhaps be due also to my immediate withdrawal from the company where it was censored to an empty room."

I have since 1 undertaken numerous other analyses where forgetting or faulty reproduction of a set of words took place, and the consistent result of these investigations has inclined me to assume that the mechanism of forgetting demonstrated above in the instances of *alisliquis* [p. 8] and 'The Bride of Corinth' [p. 15] has an almost universal validity. It is generally a little awkward to give an account of such analyses since, like those just mentioned, they constantly lead to matters which are of an intimate sort and are distressing to the person analysed. I shall therefore not give any further examples. What is common to all these cases, irrespective of the material, is the fact that the forgotten or distorted matter is brought by some associative path into connection with an unconscious thought-content

1 [What follows from this point until p. 26 dates from 1907, with the exception of the two passages on pp. 23-4 and 25.]
— a thought-content which is the source of the effect manifested in the form of forgetting.

I now return to the forgetting of names. So far we have not exhaustively considered either the case-material or the motives behind it. As this is exactly the kind of paraphrase that I can from time to time observe abundantly in myself, I am at no loss for examples. The mild attacks of migraine from which I still suffer usually announce themselves hours in advance by my forgetting names, and at the height of these attacks, during which I am not forced to abandon my work, it frequently happens that all proper names go out of my head. Now it is precisely cases like mine which could furnish the grounds for an objection on principle to our analytic efforts. Should it not necessarily be concluded from such observations that the cause of forgetfulness, and in particular of the forgetting of names, lies in circulatory and general functional disturbances of the cerebrum, and should we not therefore spare ourselves the search for psychological explanations of these phenomena? Not at all, in my view; that would be to confuse the mechanism of a process, which is of the same kind in all cases, with the factors favouring the process, which are variable and not necessarily essential. Instead of a discussion, however, I shall bring forward an analogy to deal with the objection.

Let us suppose that I have been imprudent enough to go for a walk at night in a deserted quarter of the city, and have been attacked and robbed of my watch and purse. I report the matter at the nearest police station in the following words: 'I was in such a place and such a street, and there loneliness and darkness took away my watch and purse.' Although I should not have said anything in this statement that was not true, the wording of my report would put me in danger of being thought not quite right in the head. The state of affairs could only be described correctly by saying that favoured by the loneliness of the place and under the shield of darkness unknown malefactors robbed me of my valuables. Now the state of affairs in the forgetting of names need not be any different; favoured by tiredness, circulatory disturbances and intoxication, an unknown

\[\text{[Freud suffered from migraine throughout his life. Cf. Jones, 1953, 399.]}\]
psychical force robs me of my access to the proper names belonging to my memory—a force which can in other cases bring about the same failure of memory at a time of perfect health and unimpaired efficiency.

If I analyse the cases of the forgetting of names that I observe in myself, I almost always find that the name which is withheld from me is related to a topic of close personal importance to me, and one which is capable of evoking in me strong and often distressing affects. In accordance with the convenient and commendable practice of the Zurich school (Bleuler, Jung, Riklin) I can also formulate this fact as follows: The lost name has touched on a 'personal complex' in me. The relation of the name to myself is one that I should not have expected and is usually arrived at through superficial associations (such as verbal ambiguity or similarity in sound); it can be characterized quite generally as an oblique relation. Its nature will best be illustrated by some simple examples.

1 A patient asked me to recommend him a health resort on the Riviera. I knew of such a resort quite close to Genoa, and I also remembered the name of a German colleague of mine who practised there; but the name of the resort itself escaped me, well as I thought I knew that too. There was nothing left for me but to ask the patient to wait while I hurriedly consulted the ladies of my family. "What on earth did I forget the name. The place is called Nervi." I must admit I have plenty to do with nerves. 8

2) Another patient was talking about a neighbouring summer resort, and declared that besides its two well-known inns there was a third one there with which a certain memory of his was connected; he would tell me the name in a moment. I

---

1 [This analogy was quoted by Freud in the third of his Introductory Lectures (1916–17).]
2 [A year before the publication of this chapter, Freud had already made much use of the Zurich term in a paper on legal proceedings (1906). Some remarks on his adoption of it will be found in an Editor's Note to that paper, Standard Ed., 9, 100 ff.]
3 ['Nervi' means 'nerves' in Latin (and in Italian).]
disputed the existence of this third inn, and appealed to the fact that I had spent seven summers at the place and must therefore know it better than he did. But under the provocation of my contradiction he had already got hold of the name. The inn was called the 'Hochwartner'. At this point I was obliged to give in and I even had to confess that I had lived for seven whole summers close by the inn whose existence I had denied. Why in this instance should I have forgotten both the name and the thing? I believe it was because the name was only too similar in sound to that of a colleague, a specialist in Vienna, and, once again, had touched upon the 'professional complex'.

(3) On another occasion, as I was on the point of booking a ticket at Reichenhall railway station, the name of the next main station would not come into my mind. It was perfectly familiar to me, and I had passed through it very frequently. I had actually to look it up in the time-table. It was 'Rosenheim'. But I then knew at once owing to what association I had lost it. An hour before, I had paid a visit to my sister at her home close to Reichenhall; as my sister's name is Rosa this was also a 'Rosenheim' ['Rose-home']. The 'family complex' had robbed me of this name.

(4) I have a whole quantity of examples to illustrate further the positively predatory activities of the 'family complex'.

There came to my consulting-room one day a young man who was the younger brother of a woman patient.1 I had seen him countless times and used to refer to him by his first name. When I wanted to speak about his visit I found I had forgotten his first name (which was, I knew, not at all an unusual one), and nothing could help me to recover it. I thereupon went out into the street to read the names over the shops, and recognized his name the first time I ran across it. The analysis of the episode showed me that I had drawn a parallel between the visitor and my own brother, a parallel which was trying to come to a head in the repressed question: 'Would my brother in the same circumstances have behaved in a similar way, or...
would he have done the opposite?

The external link between the thoughts concerned with my own and with the other family was made possible by the chance fact that in both cases the mothers had the same first name of Amalia. Later in retrospect I also understood the substitute names, Daniel and Franz, which had forced themselves on me without making me any wiser. These, like Amalia too, are names from Schiller's [play] Die Räuber which were the subject of a jest made by Daniel Spitzer, the 'Vienna walker'.

(5) Another time I was unable to recall a patient's name; it belonged to associations from my youth. My analysis followed a very devious path before it provided me with the name I was looking for. The patient had expressed a fear of losing his sight; this awoke the memory of a young man who had been blinded by a gunshot; and this in turn was connected with the figure of yet another youth, who had injured himself by shooting. This last person had the same name as the first patient, though he was not related to him. However, I did not find the name until I had become conscious that an anxious expectation was being transferred by me from these two young men who had been injured to a member of my own family.

There thus runs through my thoughts a continuous current of 'personal reference', of which I generally have no inkling, but which betrays itself by such instances of my forgetting names. It is as if I were obliged to compare everything I hear about other people with myself; as if my personal complexes were put on the alert whenever another person is brought to my notice. This cannot possibly be an individual peculiarity of my own; it must rather contain an indication of the way in which

---

1 [In 1901 and 1904 only, this sentence ran: 'Would my brother in the same circumstances have behaved in a similar way towards a sister who was ill?']

2 [Daniel Spitzer (1835-1893) was a well-known journalist who made regular contributions to the papers under the title of 'Walks in Vienna'. He is quoted several times in Section 3 of Chapter II of Freud's book on Jokes (1905c), Standard Ed., 8, 33 and 40. The reference here is to Spitzer's account of a conversation with a romantic widow, happily under the impression that various characters in Schiller's dramas had been named after members of her family. Cf. Spitzer, 1912, 134 ff.]
we understand ‘something other than ourself’ in general. I have reasons for supposing that other people are in this respect very similar to me.

The neatest instance of this sort was reported to me by a Herr Lederer, who had experienced it himself. While he was on his honeymoon in Venice he came across a gentleman with whom he was superficially acquainted and whom he had to introduce to his young wife. Since however he had forgotten the stranger’s name, he helped himself out the first time by means of an unintelligible mumble. On meeting the gentleman a second time, as he was bound to do in Venice, he drew him aside and asked him to save him from embarrassment by telling him his name, which he had unfortunately forgotten. The stranger’s reply gave evidence of an unusual knowledge of human nature. ‘I can readily imagine your failing to remember my name. I have the same name as you—Lederer!’—One cannot help having a slightly disagreeable feeling when one comes across one’s own name in a stranger. Recently I was very sharply aware of it when a Herr S. Freud presented himself to me in my consulting hour. (However, I must record the assurance of one of my critics that in this respect his feelings are the opposite of mine.)

(6) The effects that can be produced by personal reference can also be seen in the following example, reported by Jung (1907, 52):

‘A Herr Y. fell in love with a lady; but he met with no success, and shortly afterwards she married a Herr X. Thereafter, Herr Y., in spite of having known Herr X. for a long time and even having business dealings with him, forgot his name over and over again, so that several times he had to enquire what it was from other people when he wanted to correspond with Herr X.’

The motivation of the forgetting is however more transparent in this case than in the preceding ones that fall within the constellation of personal reference. Here the forgetting seems a direct consequence of Herr Y.’s antipathy to his more

[A Herr Y. fell in love with a lady; but he met with no success, and shortly afterwards she married a Herr X. Thereafter, Herr Y., in spite of having known Herr X. for a long time and even having business dealings with him, forgot his name over and over again, so that several times he had to enquire what it was from other people when he wanted to correspond with Herr X.]

The motivation of the forgetting is however more transparent in this case than in the preceding ones that fall within the constellation of personal reference. Here the forgetting seems a direct consequence of Herr Y.’s antipathy to his more

[In 1901 and 1904 this example was to be found in a footnote to the first sentence of Chapter II. See above, p. 8, n. 2. It was transferred to its present position in 1907.]

[This comment was added in 1907.]
fortunate rival; he wants to know nothing about him: 'never thought of shall he be.' 1

(7) The motive for forgetting a name may also be a more refined one; it may consist in what might be called a 'sublimated' grudge against the bearer of it. A Fräulein I. von K. writes from Budapest as follows:

'I have propounded a little theory of my own. I have noticed that people who have a talent for painting have no feeling for music, and vice versa. Some time ago I had a conversation with someone on this point, in which I remarked: 'So far my observation has always held good, with the exception of only one person.' When I wanted to recall that person's name, I found it had been irretrievably forgotten, even though I knew one; it may consist in what might be called a refined grudge against the bearer of it. A

refined person." I unconsciously bore against him from that, I knew so well.'

When I heard the name mentioned quite by chance a few days later, I knew that the owner of it was one of my closest friends. When I heard the name being forgotten. Its analysis associated to each name in turn.

I could not recall the name of the psychiatrist Jung. 3

Then looked very well for her

'A lady, who had heard something about psycho-analysis, could not recall the name of the psychiatrist Jung.'

The following names came to her mind instead: Kl—
(a name), Wilde, Nietzsche, Hauptmann.

'I did not tell her the name and invited her to give free associations to each name in turn.

'Starting from Kl— she immediately thought of Frau Kl—, and of how she was a prim and affected person, but looked very well for her age. "She's not ageing." As a common characterization of Wilde and Nietzsche she named "insanity". Then she said chaffingly: "You Freudians will go on looking

er will nichts von ihm wissen; "nicht gedacht soll seiner werden".

7) Das Motiv zum Vergessen eines Namens kann auch ein feineres sein, in einem sozusagen "sublimierten" Groll gegen dessen Träger bestehen. So schreibt ein Fräulein I. v. K. aus Budapest:


8) Auf etwas anderem Wege führte die Eigenbeziehung zum Vergessen eines Namens in dem folgenden von Ferenczi mitgeteilten Falle, dessen Analyse besonders durch die Aufklärung der Ersatzfallen (wie Botticelli—Boltraffio zu Signorelli) lehrreich wird.

"Einer Dame, die etwas von Psychoanalyse gehört hat, will der Name des Psychiater J u n g nicht einfallen." Dafür stellen sich folgende Einfälle ein: Kl (ein Name) — Wilde — Nietzsche — Hauptmann." Ich sagte ihr den Namen nicht und fordere sie auf, an jedem einzelnen Einfalls frei zu assoziiieren."

"Bei Kl. denkt sie sofort an Frau Kl., und daß sie eine gezierte, affektierter Person sei, die aber für ihr Alter sehr gut aussehe. "Sie wird nicht alt." Als gemeinsamen Oberbegriff von Wilde und Nietzsche nennt sie "Geisteskrankheit". Dann sagt sie spöttisch: "Sie Freudianer werden so lange die

1 [This apparent quotation has not been traced. The last example is also used in the third lecture in the Introductory Lectures. The following one was added in 1920.] 8 [This example was added in 1910.]

8 ['Jung' is also the German for 'young'.]
for the causes of insanity till you’re insane yourselves.” Then: “I can’t bear Wilde and Nietzsche. I don’t understand them. I hear they were both homosexuals; Wilde had dealings with young people.” (In spite of having uttered the correct name—in Hungarian, it is true—in this sentence, she was still unable to recall it.)

‘Starting from Hauptmann, first “Halbe” and then “Jugend” occurred to her; and it was then for the first time, after I had drawn her attention to the word “Jugend”, that she realized she had been in search of the name Jung.

‘This lady had lost her husband when she was thirty-nine and had no prospect of marrying again. Thus she had certainly reason enough to avoid recalling anything that reminded her of youth or age. It is striking that the ideas screening the missing name were associated entirely with its content and that associations with its sound were absent.

(9) Here is an example of name-forgetting with yet another and a very subtle motivation, which the subject of it has explained himself:

‘When I was being examined in philosophy as a subsidiary subject I was questioned by the examiner about the teachings of Epicurus, and after that I was asked if I knew who had taken up his theories in later centuries. I answered with the name of Pierre Gassendi, whom I had heard described as a disciple of Epicurus while I was sitting in a café only a couple of days before. To the surprised question how I knew that, I boldly answered that I had long been interested in Gassendi. The result of this was a certificate magna cum laude [with distinction], but also unfortunately a subsequent obstinate tendency to forget the name Gassendi. My guilty conscience is, I think, to blame for my inability to remember the name in spite of all my efforts; for I really ought not to have known it on that occasion either.

In order to appreciate the intensity of our informant’s aversion to recalling this examination episode, the reader would have to know the high value he sets on his doctorate and for how many other things it has to serve as a substitute.

1 [Hauptmann and Halbe were both celebrated German dramatists. One of Halbe’s best-known plays was Jugend (‘Youth’).]

2 [This example dates from 1907.]
At this point I shall insert another example of the name of a town being forgotten. It is not perhaps as simple as the ones given above [pp. 22 and 23], but it will strike anyone who is fairly well versed in investigations of this nature as authentic and valuable. The name of a town in Italy escaped the subject's memory as a consequence of its great similarity in sound to a woman's first name, with which a number of memories charged with affect were connected, which are doubtless not here reported in full. Sándor Ferenczi of Budapest, who observed this case of forgetting in himself, has treated it in the way in which one analyses a dream or a neurotic idea—a procedure which is fully justified.

'To-day I was with a family that I know, and the conversation turned to cities of North Italy. Someone observed that they still showed traces of Austrian influence. A few of these cities were mentioned, and I wanted to give the name of one too, but it escaped me, although I knew I had spent two very pleasant days there—a fact which did not agree very well with Freud's theory of forgetting. In place of the name I was looking for, the following associations forced themselves on me: Capua, Brescia, The Lion of Brescia.

'The picture that I had of this "Lion" took the form of a marble statue standing before my eyes like a solid object; I noticed at once, however, that it had less of a resemblance to the lion on the Monument to Freedom at Brescia (of which I have only seen illustrations) than to the other celebrated marble lion which I have seen on the monument to the dead at Lucerne—the monument to the Swiss guards who fell in the Tuileries, and of which I have a miniature replica on my bookcase. And now at last the missing name came back to me: it was Verona.

'At the same time I knew at once who was to blame for my amnesia. It was no other than a former servant of the family whose guest I was at the time. Her name was Veronika (Verona in Hungarian), and I had a strong antipathy to her because of her repulsive looks, her shrill, raucous voice and her insufferable assertiveness, to which she believed herself entitled by her length of service. At the same time the tyrannical way in which she used to treat the children of the house was intolerable to me. I now also understood the meaning of the substitute associations.

1 [This example was added in 1910.]
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My immediate association to Capua was caput mortuum [death's head]. I very often compared Veronika's head to a death's head. The Hungarian word "kapózsi" (avaricious) doubtless provided an additional determinant for the displacement. I also, of course, found the much more direct associative paths which connect Capua and Verona as geographical ideas and as Italian words that have the same rhythm.

'The same is true for Brescia; but here too there were winding by-paths in the linkage of ideas.

'My antipathy was at one time so violent that I found Veronika positively nauseating, and I had more than once expressed my astonishment that all the same it was possible for her to have an erotic life and be loved by someone. "Why," I said, "to kiss her would make one feel sick!"  Nevertheless, she could certainly long since have been brought into connection with the idea of the fallen Swiss Guards.

'Brescia is very often mentioned, at any rate here in Hungary, in connection not with the lion but with another wild animal. The most hated name in this country, and in the north of Italy too, is that of General Haynau, commonly known as the "Hyäna von Brescia". Thus one thread in my thoughts ran from the hated tyrant Haynau vid Brescia to the town of Verona, while the other led, vid the idea of the animal with the raucous voice that haunts the graves of the dead (which helped to determine the emergence in my mind of a monument to the dead), to the death's head and to the disagreeable voice of Veronika—the victim of such gross abuse by my unconscious—who in her time had acted almost as tyrannically in this house as had the Austrian general after the Hungarian and Italian struggles for freedom.

'Luzerne is connected with the thought of the summer which Veronika spent with her employers in the neighbourhood of the town of Luzerne, on the lake of that name. The Swiss Guard in turn recalls that she knew how to play the tyrant not only to the children but also to the grown-up members of the family, and fancied herself in the part of a "Garde-Dame [duenna, literally, 'guard of ladies']".

'I must expressly remark that this antipathy of mine towards

1 [The first half of the German word for 'nausea' ('Brechreiz') has a sound similar to the first syllable of 'Brescia'.]
Veronika is—consciously—something that has long been surmounted. Since those times both her appearance and her manner have changed, greatly to her advantage, and I can meet her, though I have in fact little occasion for doing so, with genuinely warm feelings. As usual, my unconscious clings more tenaciously to my [earlier] impressions: it is “retrospective” and resentful.

'The Tuileries are an allusion to another person, an elderly French lady, who on many occasions actually “guarded” the women of the house; she was respected by everyone, young and old—and no doubt somewhat feared as well. For a while I was her élève [pupil] for French conversation. The word élève further recalls that when I was on a visit to the brother-in-law of my present host, in northern Bohemia, I was very much amused because the local country-people called the élèves at the school of forestry there by the name of “Löwen” [lions]. This entertaining memory may also have played a part in the displacement from the hyaena to the lion.'

(11) The next example, too, shows how a personal complex which is dominating someone at the time may cause a name to be forgotten in some very remote connection.

'Two men, an older and a younger one, who six months before had made a trip together in Sicily, were exchanging recollections of those pleasant and memorable days. “Let’s see,” said the younger, “what was the name of the place where we spent the night before making our trip to Selinunte? Wasn’t it Calatafimi?” The older one rejected it: “No, it certainly wasn’t, but I’ve forgotten the name too, though I recall most clearly all the details of our stay there. I only need to find someone else has forgotten a name, and it at once makes me forget it too. [Cf. below, p. 40 f.] Let’s look for the name. But the only thing that occurs to me is Caltanissetta, which certainly isn’t right.” “No,” said the younger man, “the name...

[In German: ‘nachträglich’ und nachtragend]’

[The dialect pronunciation of the first syllable of this word would resemble that of the second syllable of ‘élève.’]

[This example was added in 1912. It had previously appeared as a separate paper under the title ‘A Contribution to the Forgetting of Proper Names’ in June, 1911. (Freud, 1911i.) The episode is autobiographical, and relates to Freud’s visit to Sicily in the autumn of 1910 in the company of Ferenczi.]
begins with a 'w' or has a 'w' in it.” “But there’s no ‘w’ in Italian,” objected the older. “I really meant a ‘v’, and I only said ‘w’ because I’m so used to it in my own language.” The older man still opposed the “‘v’”. “As a matter of fact,” he declared, “I believe I’ve forgotten a lot of the Sicilian names already; this would be a good time to make some experiments. For example, what was the name of the place on a hill that was called Enna in antiquity? Oh, I know—Castrogiovanni.” The next moment the younger man had recalled the lost name as “Castelvetrano,” he exclaimed, and was pleased at being able to point to the “‘v’” he had insisted on. For a short while the older one had no sense of recognition; but after he had accepted the name it was for him to explain why he had forgotten it. “Obviously,” he said, “because the second half, ‘-vetrano’, sounds like ‘veteran’. I know I don’t much like to think about growing old, and I have strange reactions when I’m reminded of it. For instance, I recently charged a very dear friend of mine in the strangest terms with having ‘left his youth far behind him’, for the reason that once before, in the middle of the most flattering remarks about me, he had added that I was ‘no longer a young man’. Another sign that my resistance was directed against the second half of the name Castelvetrano is that the initial sound recurred in the substitute name Caltanisetta.” “What about the name Caltanisetta itself?” asked the younger man. “That,” confessed the older one, “has always seemed to me like a pet name for a young woman.”

Some time later he added: “Of course the name for Enna was also a substitute name. And it occurs to me now that Castrogiovanni—a name that forced its way to the front with the help of a rationalization—sounds like ‘giovane’ (young) in exactly the same way as the lost name Castelvetrano sounds like ‘veteran’ (old).”

The older man believed that in this way he had accounted for his forgetting the name. No investigation was made of

---

1 [The friend was J. J. Putnam, and Freud’s remark occurs in a footnote to a translation which he made of a paper of Putnam’s (1910). This footnote (Freud, 1911) is given in full in Standard Ed., 17, 271–2. The phrase quoted from Putnam will be found in another paper of his (1909). The whole episode is discussed in Jones, 1955, 82–3.]
the motive for the similar failure of the younger man's memory.'

Not only the motives, but also the mechanism governing the forgetting of names, deserve our interest. In a large number of cases a name is forgotten not because the name itself arouses such motives, but because—owing to similarity in sound and to assonance—it touches upon another name against which these motives do operate. If the determinants are relaxed in this way, the occurrence of the phenomenon will obviously be made very much easier, as the following examples show.

(12) Reported by Dr. Eduard Hitschmann (1913a): 'Herr N. wanted to give someone the name of the firm of [Vienna] book-sellers Gilhofer and Ranschburg. But however much he thought over it, only the name Ranschburg occurred to him, though he knew the firm perfectly well. He returned home feeling somewhat dissatisfied, and thought it sufficiently important to ask his brother (who was apparently already asleep) what the first half of the firm's name was. His brother gave him the name without hesitation. Thereupon the word "Gallhof" immediately sprang to Herr N.'s mind as an association to "Gilhofer". Gallhof was the place where a few months before he had gone for a memorable walk with an attractive young lady. As a memento the lady had given him a present which was inscribed "A souvenir of the happy hours at Gallhof ['Gallhofer Stunden', lit. 'Gallhof hours']". In the course of the days just before the name was forgotten, this present had been badly damaged, seemingly by accident, through N.'s shutting a drawer too hastily. He noticed this with a certain sense of guilt, for he was familiar with the meaning of symptomatic acts. [See Chapter IX.] At the time his feelings towards the lady were somewhat ambivalent: he certainly loved her, but he felt hesitation in the face of her desire that they should get married.'

(13) Reported by Dr. Hanns Sachs: 'In a conversation about Genoa and its immediate surroundings, a young man wanted to mention the place called Pegli, but could only recall the name with an effort after racking his brains. On the way home he

1 [This paragraph and the examples from 12 to 17 inclusive (i.e. down to p. 39) were added in 1917.]
thought of the distressing manner in which so familiar a name had slipped away, and in doing so was led to a word sounding very similar: *Peli*. He knew that there was a South Sea island of that name, whose inhabitants still retained a few remarkable customs. He had read about them recently in an ethnological work and had at the time made up his mind to use the information in support of a hypothesis of his own. It then occurred to him that *Peli* was also the setting of a novel which he had read with interest and enjoyment—namely *Van Zanten's glücklichste Zeit* [Van Zanten's *Happiest Time*] by Laurids Bruun. The thoughts that had occupied his mind almost incessantly during the day centred round a letter he had received that same morning from a lady he was very fond of. This letter gave him reason to fear that he would have to forgo a meeting that had been arranged. After being in a very bad mood all day, he had gone out in the evening resolved not to plague himself any longer with the tiresome thought but to enjoy the social occasion in front of him, on which he in fact set an extremely high value, longer with the tiresome thought but to enjoy the social occasion which he in fact set an extremely high value, and therefore the fears and anxieties as well as which he had nursed all day long. It is characteristic that this simple explanation only became clear after a second letter from his friend had transformed his doubts into the happy certainty of seeing her again soon.

This example may recall what might be described as its geographical neighbour, in which the name of the town of Nervi could not be remembered (Example 1 [p. 22]). Thus we see how a pair of words that are similar in sound can have the same effect as a single word that has two meanings.

(14) When war broke out with Italy in 1915 I was able to make the observation upon myself that a whole quantity of Italian place-names which at ordinary times were readily available to me had suddenly been withdrawn from my memory. Like so many other Germans I had made it my habit to spend a part of my holidays on Italian soil, and I could not doubt that this large-scale forgetting of names was the
expression of an understandable hostility to Italy which had now replaced my former partiality. In addition to this directly-motivated forgetting of names, however, an indirect amnesia could also be detected, which it was possible to trace back to the same influence. I showed a tendency to forget non-Italian place-names as well; and on investigating the incidents I found that these names were in some way connected by means of remote similarities of sound with the proscribed enemy names. Thus I tormented myself one day in trying to recall the name of the Moravian town of Bisenz. When it finally came to my mind I at once recognized that this act of forgetting was to be laid to the charge of the Palazzo Bisenzi at Orvieto. The Hotel Belle Arti, where I had stayed on all my visits to Orvieto, is located in this palazzo. The most precious memories had naturally been the most severely damaged by the change in my emotional attitude.

Some examples may also help to remind us of the variety of purposes that can be served by the parapraxis of name-forgetting.

(15) Reported by A. J. Storfer (1914): 'One morning a lady who lived in Basle received news that a friend of her youth, Selma X. of Berlin, who was just then on her honeymoon, was passing through Basle, but staying only one day. The Basle lady hurried straight away to her hotel. When the friends separated, they made an arrangement to meet again in the afternoon and to be with each other up to the time of the Berlin lady's departure.

In the afternoon the Basle lady forgot about the rendezvous. I do not know what determined her forgetting it, yet in this particular situation (a meeting with a school-friend who has just married) various typical constellations are possible which could determine an inhibition against the repetition of the meeting. The point of interest in this case lies in a further parapraxis, which represents an unconscious safeguarding of the first one. At the time when she was to have met her friend from Berlin the Basle lady happened to be in company at another place. The recent marriage of the Viennese opera singer Kurz came

1 [The present example is briefly reported by Freud in the fourth of his Introductory Lectures (1916-17).]

4 [The celebrated coloratura soprano.]
FORGETTING OF SETS OF WORDS

up in conversation; the Basle lady gave vent to some critical remarks (!) about this marriage, but when she wanted to mention the singer by name, she found to her very great embarrassment that she could not think of her first name. (There is, as is well known, a particular tendency to give the first name also, precisely in cases where the surname is a monosyllable.) The Basle lady was all the more put out by her lapse of memory since she had often heard Kurs sing and ordinarily knew her (whole) name perfectly well. Before anyone had mentioned the missing first name, the conversation took another direction.

"In the evening of the same day, our Basle lady was among a number of people, some of whom were the same as those to whom she had been with in the afternoon. By a coincidence the conversation again turned to the marriage of the Viennese singer; and without any difficulty the lady produced the name "Selma Kurz". "Oh dear!" she at once exclaimed, "it's just struck me—I've completely forgotten I had an appointment with my friend Selma this afternoon." A glance at the clock showed that her friend must have left already.'

We are perhaps not ready yet to appreciate all the aspects of this pretty example. The following is a simpler specimen, though here it was not a name but a foreign word that was forgotten, from a motive arising out of the situation. (We can already see that we are dealing with the same processes, whether they apply to proper names, first names, foreign words or sets of words.) Here it was a case of a young man forgetting the English word for 'Gold'—which is identical with the German word—so as to find an opportunity for carrying out an action he desired.

(16) Reported by Dr. Hanns Sachs: 'A young man became acquainted in a pension with an English lady, whom he took a liking to. On the first evening of their acquaintance he was having a conversation with her in her native language, which he knew fairly well; and in the course of it he wanted to use the English word for "Gold". In spite of strenuous efforts the word would not come to him. Instead, the French or, the Latin aurum and the Greek chrysoς obstinately forced themselves on him as substitutes, so that it needed quite an effort to reject them, though he knew for certain that they were not related at all to the word.
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...
all to the word he was looking for. In the end the only way he could find of making himself understood was by touching a gold ring on the lady's hand; and he was very much abashed in learning from her that the long-lost word for gold was exactly the same as the German one, namely "gold". The great value of this touching, for which the forgetting gave an opportunity, did not lie merely in the unobjectionable satisfaction of the instinct for laying hold or touching—for there are other opportunities for this which are eagerly exploited by lovers. It lay much more in the way in which it assisted in clarifying the prospects of the courtship. The lady's unconscious would divine the erotic aim of the forgetting, hidden by its mask of innocence, especially if her unconscious was sympathetically drawn to the man she was talking with. The manner in which she treated his touching of her and accepted its motivation could in this way become a means—unconscious for both of them, yet full of significance—of reaching an understanding on the chances of the flirtation just begun.*

(17) From J. Stärcke (1916) I report another interesting observation that concerns the forgetting and subsequent recovery of a proper name. This case is distinguished by the fact that the forgetting of the name was connected with the misquoting of a set of words from a poem, as in the example of the 'Bride of Corinth' [p. 15].

"Z., an old jurist and philologist, was describing in company how in his student days in Germany he had known a quite exceptionally stupid student, and had some anecdotes to tell of his stupidity. He could not, however, recall the student's name; he believed it began with a "W", but later took back the idea. He recalled that the stupid student later became a wine merchant. He then told another anecdote about the student's stupidity, and once again expressed surprise that his name did not come back to him. "He was such an ass," he then remarked, "that I still don't understand how I succeeded in drumming Latin into his head." A moment later he remembered that the name he was looking for ended in "... man". At this point we asked him if any other name ending in "man" occurred to him, and he gave "Erdmann [Earthman]". "Who is that?" "That was another student of those days." His daughter, however, observed that there was also a Professor

Zur Psychopathologie des Alltagslebens

mit dem gesuchten Worte

keine Verwandtschaft haben. Er findet schließlich keinen anderen Weg, sich verständlich zu machen, als den, einen goldenen Ring, den die Dame an der Hand trägt, zu berühren; sehr beschämmt erhebt er nun von ihr, daß das langgesuchte Wort für Gold genau so laute wie das deutsche, nämlich: gold.
Der hohe Wert einer solchen, durch das Vergessen herbeigeführten Berührung liegt nicht bloß in der unstößlichen Befriedigung des Erschwingungs- oder Berührungstriebes, die ja auch bei anderen, von Verliebten eifrig ausgenutzten Anlässen möglich ist, sondern noch viel mehr darin, daß sie eine Aufklärung über die Aussichten der Bewerbung ermöglicht. Das Unbewußte der Dame wird, besonders wenn es dem Gesprächspartner gegenüber sympathisch eingestellt ist, den hinter der harmlosen Masken verborgenen erotischen Zweck des Vergessens erraten; die Art und Weise, wie sie die Berührung aufnimmt und die Motivierung gelten läßt, kann so ein beiden Teilen unbewußtes, aber sehr bedeutungsvolles Mittel der Verständigung über die Chancen des eben begonnenen Flirts werden."
Erdmann. Some closer questioning revealed that this Professor Erdmann, who was the editor of a periodical, had recently refused to accept a piece of work submitted by Z., with which he partly disagreed, except in a shortened form; and Z. had been considerably put out. (In addition, I later discovered that, years before, Z. had very probably expected to become professor in the same department in which Professor Erdmann now lectured. This, then, may have been another reason why the name touched on a sensitive spot.)

At this point the stupid student's name suddenly came back to him: "Lindeman." Since he had already recalled that the name ended in "man", it was "Linde [linden, lime-tree]" that had remained repressed for longer. When he was asked what came to his mind when he thought of "Linde", he at first said: "Absolutely nothing." When I urged that something connected with this word would no doubt occur to him, he remarked with an upward gaze and a gesture of his hand in the air: "A linden—well, a linden is a beautiful tree." Nothing further would come to his mind. No one spoke and everyone went on with their reading or other activity, till a few moments later Z. quoted the following passage in a dreamy voice:

Steh er mit festen
Gefügigen Knochen
Auf der Erde,
So reicht er nicht auf
Nur mit der Linde
Oder der Rebe
Sich zu vergleichen.1

'I gave a cry of triumph. "There's our Erdmann [earthman]," I said. "The man who 'stands on the earth', that is to say the earthman or Erdmann, cannot reach up far enough to bear comparison with the linden (Lindeman) or the vine (wine merchant). In other words, our Lindeman, the stupid student, who later became a wine merchant, was certainly an ass, but our Erdmann is a much greater ass than that, and cannot even be compared with this Lindeman."—Such derisive or abusive language in the unconscious is quite usual; so it seemed to me

1 [Literally: 'If he stands with firm, pliant bones on the earth, he does not reach up far enough to bear comparison even with the linden or the vine.']
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genauerer Erörterung zeigt sich, daß dieser Professor Erdmann vor kurzem eine von Z. eingesandte Arbeit nur in verkürzter Form in eine von ihm redigierte Zeitschrift aufgenommen lassen und zum Teil damit nicht einverstanden war, usw., und daß Z. das als ziemlich unangenehm empfunden hat. Überdies vernahm ich später, daß Z. in früheren Jahren wohl einmal die Aussicht gehabt hat, Professor in demselben Fache zu werden, worin jetzt Professor E. doziert, und daß dieser Name also auch in dieser Hinsicht vielleicht eine empfindliche Stelle berührt.)

Jetzt fällt ihm plötzlich der Name des dummen Studenten ein: "Lindeman!" Weil er sich schon früher erinnert hatte, daß der Name auf ... man ausgeht, war also Linde noch länger verdrängt geblieben. Auf die Frage, was ihm bei Linde einfällt, sagt er zuerst: 'Dabei fällt mir gar nichts ein.' Auf mein Drängen, daß ihm bei diesem Worte doch wohl etwas einfallen wird, sagt er, indem er aufwärts blickt und mit der Hand eine Gebärd in der Luft macht: 'Nun ja, eine Linde, das ist ein schöner Baum.' Weiter will ihm dabei nichts einfallen. Alle schweigen und jedermann verfolgt seine Lektüre und andere Beschäftigung, bis Z. einige Augenblicke später in träumerischem Tone folgendes zitiert:

Steh er mit festen
Gefügigen Knochen
Auf der Erde,
So reicht er nicht auf
Nur mit der Linde
Oder der Rebe
Sich zu vergleichen.

Ich stieß einen Triumphschrei aus: 'Da haben wir den Erdmann,' sagte ich. 'Jener Mann, der 'auf der Erde steht', das ist also der Erde-Mann oder Erdmann, kann nicht aufreichen, sich mit der Linde (Lindeman) oder der Rebe (Weinhändler) zu vergleichen. Mit anderen Worten: jener Lindeman, der dumme Student, der später Wein händler geworden ist, war schon ein Esel, aber der Erdmann ist ein noch viel größerer Esel, kann sich mit diesem Lindeman noch nicht vergleichen.'—Eine solche im Unbewußten gehaltene Hohn- oder Schmährede ist etwas sehr Gewöhnliches, darum kam es mir vor, daß
PSYCHOPATHOLOGY OF EVERYDAY LIFE

that the chief cause of the name being forgotten had probably now been found.

'I now asked what the poem was from which the lines were quoted. Z. said it was a poem by Goethe, which he thought began:

Edel sei der Mensch
Hilfreich und gut!

and which later contained the lines:

Und hebt er sich aufwärts,
So spielen mit ihm die Winde.

The next day I looked up this poem of Goethe's, and it turned out that the case was even prettier (though also more complex) than it had seemed at first.

(a) 'The first lines that he quoted run (cf. above):

Steht er mit festen
Markigen Knochen...

"Gefügige Knochen [pliant bones]" would be a rather peculiar combination; but I shall not go further into this point.

(b) 'The next lines of this stanza run (cf. above):

... Auf der wohlgegründeten
Dauernden Erde,
Reicht er nicht auf,
Nur mit der Eiche
Oder der Rebe
Sich zu vergleichen.

So in the whole poem there is no mention of a linden. The change of "oak" into "linden" had taken place (in his unconscious) only in order to make the play on the words "earth—linden—vine" possible.

(c) 'This poem is called "Grenzen der Menschheit [The Limits of Mankind]" and compares the omnipotence of the gods with man's puny strength. But the poem beginning:

Edel sei der Mensch,
Hilfreich und gut!

1 ['Let Man be noble, helpful and good.]
2 ['And if he raises himself upwards the winds play with him.]
3 ['If he stands with firm, sturdy bones . . .']
4 ["... on the firmly-based, enduring earth, he does not reach up far enough to bear comparison even with the oak or the vine."]
is a different one, appearing some pages further on. Its title is "Das Göttliche [The Divine Nature]", and it too contains thoughts about gods and men. As the matter was not gone into further I can at the most offer an opinion that thoughts about life and death, the temporal and the eternal, and the subject's own frail life and future death also played a part in bringing about the occurrence of this case.

In some of these examples all the subtleties of psychoanalytic technique have to be called upon in order to explain the forgetting of a name. Anyone who wishes to learn more about such work may be referred to a paper by Ernest Jones of London (1911a). It has been translated into German.1

(18) Ferenczi has observed that forgetting a name may also make its appearance as a hysterical symptom. In this situation it displays a very different mechanism from that of a paraphraxis. The nature of this distinction may be seen from what he says:

"At the moment I am treating a patient, a spinster getting on in years, in whose mind the most familiar and best-known proper names fail to appear, although her memory is otherwise good. In the course of the analysis it has become clear that this symptom is intended by her as a documentation of her ignorance. This demonstrative parade of her ignorance is, however, really a reproach against her parents, who did not let her have any higher education. Her tormenting obsession to clean things ("housewife's psychosis") also comes in part from the same source. What she means by this is something like: "You have turned me into a housemaid.""

I could cite further instances of the forgetting of names and explore the matter much more fully if I were not reluctant to anticipate at this first stage almost all the points of view that will come up for discussion under later topics. But I may perhaps allow myself to summarize in a few sentences the conclusions to be drawn from the analyses that have been reported here:

The mechanism of names being forgotten (or, to be more

1 [This paragraph was added in 1912. The following example (18) was added in 1920.]

2 [What follows almost to the bottom of the next page dates back to 1907.]
accurate, the mechanism of names escaping the memory, of being temporarily forgotten) consists in the interference with the intended reproduction of the name by an alien train of thought which is not at the time conscious. Between the name interfered with and the interfering complex either a connection exists from the outset, or else such a connection has established itself, often in ways that appear artificial, via superficial (external) associations.

Among the interfering complexes those of personal reference (i.e. the personal, family and professional complexes) prove to have the greatest effect. A name which has more than one meaning and consequently belongs to more than one group of thoughts (complexes) is frequently interfered with in its connection with one train of thought owing to its participation in another, stronger complex. Among the motives for these interferences the purpose of avoiding arousing unpleasantness by remembering thought owing to its participation in another, stronger complex. A name which has more than one meaning and consequently belongs to more than one group of thoughts (complexes) prove to have the greatest effect. A name which has more than one meaning and consequently belongs to more than one group of thoughts (complexes) prove to have the greatest effect. A name which has more than one meaning and consequently belongs to more than one group of thoughts (complexes) prove to have the greatest effect. A name which has more than one meaning and consequently belongs to more than one group of thoughts (complexes) prove to have the greatest effect.

In general two main types of name-forgetting may be distinguished: those cases where the name itself touches on something unpleasant, and those where it is brought into connection with another name which has that effect. Thus names can have their reproduction interfered with on their own account, or because of their closer or remoter associative relations.

A survey of these general propositions shows us why the temporary forgetting of names is the most frequently to be observed of all our parapraxes.

(19) We are however far from having outlined all the characteristics of this phenomenon. There is a further point I wish to make. The forgetting of names is highly contagious. In a conversation between two people it is often sufficient for one of them merely to mention that he has forgotten such and such a name, and the result will be that it slips the other's mind as well. In cases like these, however, where the forgetting is induced, the forgotten name returns more readily. — This 'collective' forgetting, strictly speaking a phenomenon of group psychology, has not yet been made the subject of psychoanalytic study. In a single instance (but an especially neat

"[CE pp. 30 and 62.—The rest of the chapter, with the exception of the last paragraph, was added in 1920.]"
one) Reik (1920) has been able to offer a good explanation of this curious phenomenon.

"In a small gathering of university people, which included two women students of philosophy, there was a discussion on the numerous questions raised in the fields of religious studies and the history of civilization by the origin of Christianity. One of the young ladies who took part in the conversation recalled that in an English novel she had read recently she had found an interesting picture of the many religious currents by which that age had been stirred. She added that the novel portrayed the whole of Christ's life from his birth up to his death; but the name of the work refused to come to her mind. (The visual memory she had of the cover of the book and the appearance of the lettering in the title was excessively clear [cf. p. 13 n.]). Three of the men who were present also said that they knew the novel, and they remarked that—strange to them—the name of the work refused to come to their minds.

The young lady was the only one to subject herself to analysis in order to discover why this name was forgotten. The title of the book was "Ben Hur," by Lewis Wallace. The ideas that had occurred to her as substitutes for it had been: "Ecce homo"—"Homo sum"—"Quo vadis?" The girl herself realized that she had forgotten the name 'because it contains an expression that I (like any other girl) do not care to use—especially in the company of young men.' In the light of the very interesting analysis, this explanation took on a profounder significance. In the context already alluded to, the translation of 'homo' (man) also has a disreputable meaning. Reik's conclusion is as follows: 'The young lady treated the word as though by uttering the questionable title in front of young men she would have been acknowledging the wishes which she had rejected as out of keeping with her character and distressing to her. More briefly: saying the words "Ben Hur" was unconsciously equated by her with a sexual offer, and her forgetting accordingly corresponded to the fending-off of an unconscious temptation of that kind. We have reason for supposing that similarly unconscious processes had determined the young men's forgetting.

[1] "[Hure] is the German for 'whore'.
[2] The German words 'Bin Hure' ('I am a whore') sound not unlike 'Ben Hur'.

III. Vergessen von Namen und Wortfolgen

one good explanation of this merkwürdigen Vorkommens geben können":

"In einer kleinen Gesellschaft von Akademikern, in der sich auch zwei Studentinnen der Philosophie befanden, sprach man von den zahlreichen Fragen, welche der Ursprung des Christentums der Kulturgeschichte und Religionswissenschaft aufgiebt. Die eine der jungen Damen, welche sich am Gespräch beteiligte, erinnerte sich, in einem englischen Roman, den sie kürzlich gelesen hatte, ein anziehendes Bild der vielen religiösen Strömungen, welche jene Zeit bewegten, gefunden zu haben. Sie fügte hinzu, in dem Roman werde das ganze Leben Christi von der Geburt bis zu seinem Tode geschildert, doch wollte ihr der Name der Dichtung nicht einfallen (die visuelle Erinnerung an den Umschlag des Buches und an das typographische Bild des Titels war überdeutlich). Auch drei von den anwesenden Herren behaupteten, den Roman zu kennen, und bemerkten, daß auch ihnen sonderbarerweise der Name nicht zur Verfügung steh ... ."

Their unconscious understood the real significance of the girl’s forgetting and, so to speak, interpreted it. The men’s forgetting shows respect for this modest behaviour. . . . It is as if the girl who was talking with them had by her sudden lapse of memory given a clear sign, which the men had unconsciously understood well enough.  

A type of continued forgetting of names occurs also, in which whole chains of names are withdrawn from the memory. If in the attempt to recover a lost name other names closely connected with it are pursued, it frequently happens that these new names, which were to serve as stepping stones to the other one, disappear in just the same way. The forgetting thus jumps from one name to another, as if to prove the existence of an obstacle which cannot easily be surmounted.  

[This paragraph dates from 1907.]
CHAPTER IV

CHILDHOOD MEMORIES AND SCREEN MEMORIES\(^1\)

In a second paper, which was published in the *Monatsschrift für Psychiatrie und Neurologie* (1899a), I was in a position to demonstrate at an unexpected point the tendentious nature of the workings of our memory. I started from the striking fact that a person's earliest childhood memories seem frequently to have preserved what is indifferent and unimportant, whereas (fre­quently, though certainly not universally) no trace is found in an adult's memory of impressions dating from that time which are important, impressive and rich in affect. It might be assumed from this—since it is known that the memory makes a selection from among the impressions offered to it—that in childhood the selection is conducted on entirely different principles from those which apply at the time of intellectual maturity. Careful investigation nevertheless shows that such an assumption is unnecessary. The indifferent memories of childhood owe their existence to a process of displacement: they are substitutes, in [mnemic] reproduction, for other impressions which are really significant. The memory of these significant impressions can be developed out of the indifferent ones by means of psychical analysis, but a resistance prevents them from being directly reproduced. As the indifferent memories owe their preservation not to their own content but to an associative relation between their content and another which is repressed, they have some claim to be called 'screen memories', the name by which I have described them.

In the paper which I have mentioned I only touched on and in no way exhausted the multiplicity of the relations and meanings of screen memories. In the example quoted there, of

\(^1\) [In 1901 and 1904 the title of this chapter was simply 'Screen Memories' and it consisted of the first four paragraphs only. The whole of the remainder was added in 1907, except for one paragraph (on p. 49) which dates from 1920, and the final footnote.]
which I gave a detailed analysis, I laid special stress on a peculiarity of the chronological relation between the screen memory and the content which is screened off by it. In that example the content of the screen memory belonged to one of the earliest years of childhood, while the mental experiences which were replaced by it in the memory and which had remained almost unconscious occurred in the subject’s later life. I described this sort of displacement as a retro-active or regressive one. The opposite relation is found perhaps still more frequently: an indifferent impression of recent date establishes itself in the memory as a screen memory, although it owes that privilege merely to its connection with an earlier experience which resistances prevent from being reproduced directly. These would be screen memories that have pushed ahead or been displaced forward. Here the essential thing with which the memory is occupied precedes the screen memory in time. Finally, we find yet a third possibility, in which the screen memory is connected with the impression that it screens not only by its content but also by contiguity in time: these are contemporary or contiguous screen memories.

How large a part of our store of memory falls into the category of screen memories, and what role they play in various neurotic thought-processes, are problems whose significance I neither discussed in my earlier paper nor shall enter into here. My only concern is to emphasize the similarity between the forgetting of proper names accompanied by amnesia, and the formation of screen memories.

At first sight the differences between the two phenomena are much more striking than any analogies that may be found. The former phenomenon relates to proper names; the latter to whole impressions, things experienced either in reality or in thought. In the former we have a manifest failure of the function of memory; in the latter, an act of memory that strikes us as strange. In the former it is a case of momentary disturbance—for the name that has just been forgotten may have been pro-

1 [This example, like the one at the end of the present chapter, was in fact derived from Freud’s own experience. See the Editor’s Note to the paper in question (Standard Ed., 3).]

2 [I.e. the displacement in their case would have been in a forward direction.]
The subject of childhood memories seems to me to be of such significance and interest that I should like to devote to it a few additional observations which go beyond the views that I have so far expressed.

How far back into childhood do our memories extend? I am

1 [In the 1901 and 1904 editions the chapter ended at this point. See footnote, p. 43.]
familiar with a few investigations into this question, such as those by V. and C. Henri (1897) and by Potwin (1901). They show that great individual differences exist among the persons examined: a few assign their earliest memories to the sixth month of life, while others remember nothing of their lives up to the end of their sixth or even eighth year. But with what are these differences in retaining childhood memories connected, and what significance attaches to them? Clearly it is not sufficient to assemble the material for answering these points by means of a questionnaire; what is required in addition is that it should be worked over—a process in which the person supplying the information must participate.

In my opinion we take the fact of infantile amnesia—the loss, that is, of the memories of the first years of our life—much too easily; and we fail to look upon it as a strange riddle. We forget how high are the intellectual achievements and how complicated the emotional impulses of which a child of some four years is capable, and we ought to be positively astonished that the memory of later years has as a rule preserved so little of these mental processes, especially as we have every reason to suppose that these same forgotten childhood achievements have not, as might be thought, slipped away without leaving their mark on the subject's development, but have exercised a determining influence for the whole of his later life. And in spite of this unique efficacy they have been forgotten! This suggests that there are conditions for remembering (in the sense of conscious reproducing) of a quite special kind, which have evaded recognition by us up to now. It may very well be that the forgetting of childhood can supply us with the key to the understanding of those amnesias which lie, according to our more recent discoveries, at the basis of the formation of all neurotic symptoms.1

Of the childhood memories that have been retained a few strike us as perfectly understandable, while others seem odd or unintelligible. It is not difficult to correct certain errors regarding both sorts. If the memories that a person has retained are subjected to an analytic enquiry, it is easy to establish that

1 [Freud had asserted a connection between infantile amnesia and the psychoneuroses in a letter to Fliess of March 10, 1896 (Freud, 1950a, Letter 84). He had discussed the question more fully in the second of his Three Essays (1905a), Standard Ed., 7, 174–6.]
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there is no guarantee of their accuracy. Some of the mnemonic images are certainly falsified, incomplete or displaced in time and place. Any such statement by the subjects of the enquiry as that their first recollection comes from about their second year is clearly not to be trusted. Moreover, motives can soon be discovered which make the distortion and displacement of the experience intelligible, but which show at the same time that these mistakes in recollection cannot be caused simply by a treacherous memory. Strong forces from later life have been at work on the capacity of childhood experiences for being remembered—probably the same forces which are responsible for our having become so far removed in general from understanding our years of childhood.

Remembering in adults, as is well known, makes use of a variety of psychical material. Some people remember in visual images; their memories have a visual character. Other people can scarcely reproduce in their memory even the scantiest [visual] outlines of what they have experienced. Following Charcot's proposal, such people are called auditifs and moteurs in contrast to the visuels. In dreams these distinctions disappear: we all dream predominantly in visual images. But this development is similarly reversed in the case of childhood memories: they are plastically visual even in people whose later function of memory has to do without any visual element. Visual memory accordingly preserves the type of infantile memory. In my own case the earliest childhood memories are the only ones of a visual character: they are regular scenes worked out in plastic form, comparable only to representations on the stage. In these scenes of childhood, whether in fact they prove to be true or falsified, what one sees invariably includes oneself as a child, with a child's shape and clothes. This circumstance must cause surprise: in their recollections of later experiences adult visuals no longer see themselves. Furthermore it contradicts all that we have learnt to suppose that in his experiences a child's attention is directed to himself instead of exclusively to impressions from outside. One is thus forced by various considerations to suspect that in the so-called earliest childhood memories we possess not the

3 [I.e. the development of the distinctions proposed by Charcot.]
4 This statement is based on a number of enquiries I have made.


Das Erinnern der Erwachsenen geht bekanntlich an verschiedenen psychischen Material vor sich. Die einen erinnern in Gesichtsbildern, ihre Erinnerungen haben visuellen Charakter, andere Individuen können kaum die därtigsten Umrisse des Erlebten in der Erinnerung reproduzieren; man nennt solche Personen Auditifs und Moteurs im Gegensatz zu den Visuels nach Charcots Vorschlag. Im Traum verschwinden diese Unterschiede, wir träumen alle vorwiegend in Gesichtsbildern. Aber ebenso bildet sich diese Entwicklung für die Kindheitserinnerungen zurück; diese sind plastisch visuell auch bei jenen Personen, deren spätere Erinnerung des visuellen Elements entbehrte muß. Das visuelle Erinnern bewahrt somit den Typus des infantilen Erinnerns. Bei mir sind die frühesten Kindheitserinnerungen die einzigen von visuellem Charakter; es sind geradezu plastisch herausgearbeitete Szenen, nur den Darstellungen auf der Bühne vergleichbar. In diesen Szenen aber der Kindheit, ob sie sich nun als wahr oder als verfälscht erweisen, sieht man regelmäßig auch die eigene kindliche Person in ihren Umrisse und mit ihrer Kleidung. Dieser Umstand muß Beobenden erwecken; erwachsene Visuelle sehen nicht mehr ihre Person in ihren Erinnerungen an spätere Erlebnisse. Es widerspricht auch allen unseren Erfahrungen annehmen, daß die Aufmerksamkeit des Kindes bei seinen Erlebnissen auf sich selbst anstatt ausschließlich auf die äußeren Eindrücke gerichtet wäre. Man wird so von verschiedenen Seiten her zur Vermutung gedrängt, daß wir in den sogenannten frühesten Kindheitserinnerungen nicht die wirkliche Erinnerungs-

1 Ich behaupte dies nach einigen von mir eingehaltenen Erkundigungen.
genuine memory-trace but a later revision of it, a revision which may have been subjected to the influences of a variety of later psychical forces. Thus the 'childhood memories' of individuals come in general to acquire the significance of 'screen memories' and in doing so offer a remarkable analogy with the childhood memories that a nation preserves in its store of legends and myths.1

Anyone who has investigated a number of people psychologically by the method of psycho-analysis will in the course of his work have collected numerous examples of every kind of screen memory. However, the reporting of these examples is made extraordinarily difficult owing to the nature of the relations, which I have just discussed, between childhood memories and later life. In order to show that a childhood memory is to be regarded as a screen memory, it would often be necessary to present the complete life history of the person in question. Only rarely is it possible to lift a single screen memory out of its context in order to give an account of it, as in the following good example.

A man of twenty-four has preserved the following picture from his fifth year. He is sitting in the garden of a summer villa, on a small chair beside his aunt, who is trying to teach him the letters of the alphabet. He is in difficulties over the difference between $m$ and $n$ and he asks his aunt to tell him how to know one from the other. His aunt points out to him that the $m$ has a whole piece more than the $n$—the third stroke. There appeared to be no reason for challenging the trustworthiness of this childhood memory; it had, however, only acquired its meaning at a later date, when it showed itself suited to represent symbolically another of the boy's curiosities. For just as at that time he wanted to know the difference between $m$ and $n$, so later he was anxious to find out the difference between boys and girls, and would have been very willing for this particular aunt to be the one to teach him. He also discovered then that the difference was a similar one—that a boy, too, has a whole piece more than a girl; and at the time when he acquired this

1 This analogy between the childhood memories of an individual and the myths and legends of a nation relating to its prehistoric past is developed by Freud in Chapter II of his essay on Leonardo da Vinci (1910c), Standard Ed., 11, 63–4. See also below, p. 146.]
piece of knowledge he called up the recollection of the parallel curiosity of his childhood.

Here is another example, from the later years of childhood. A man who is severely inhibited in his erotic life, and who is now over forty, is the eldest of nine children. At the time that the youngest of his brothers and sisters was born he was fifteen, yet he maintains firmly and obstinately that he had never noticed any of his mother’s pregnancies. Under pressure from my scepticism a memory presented itself to him: once at the age of eleven or twelve he had seen her skirt in front of the mirror. He now added of his own accord of my scepticism a memory presented itself to him: once at the Hindung'.

The skirt was a screen memory for the confinement she had come back for consciousness. Memories are

'entwined with meaning can acquire one as a result of being worked over by analysis.' When I began in my forty-third year to direct my interest to what was left of my memory of my own childhood there came to my mind a scene which had for a long while back (from the remotest past, as it seemed to me) come into consciousness from time to time, and which I had good evidence for assigning to a date before the end of my third year. I saw

1 [This paragraph was added in 1920.]

2 [See below, pp. 109 and 274.—In Freud's interleaved copy of the 1904 edition (cf. Editor's Introduction, p. xiii) the following notes on screen memories are to be found. 'Dr. B— showed very neatly one Wednesday [i.e. at a meeting of the "Vienna Psycho-Analytical Society" (cf. Jones, 1955, 9)] that fairy tales can be made use of as screen memories in the same kind of way that empty shells are used as a home by the hermit crab. These fairy tales then become favourites, without the reason being known.'—'From a dream of P.'s it appears that ice is in fact a symbol by antithesis for an erection: i.e. something that becomes hard in the cold instead of—like a penis—in heat (in excitation). The two antithetical concepts of sexuality and death are frequently linked through the idea that death makes things stiff. One of the Henri's informants instanced a piece of ice as a screen memory for his grandmother's death. See my paper on "Screen Memories" [1899a, where the paper by V. and C. Henri (1897) is more fully discussed.]]

3 [The important part played by this screen memory in Freud's self-analysis, and the progressive stages in its elucidation, can be followed in wecke er die Erinnerung an die entsprechende kindliche Wissbegierde.


An einem einzigen Beispiel möchte ich noch zeigen, welchen Sinn eine Kindheitserinnerung durch analytische Bearbeitung gewinnen kann, die vorher keinen Sinn zu enthalten schien. Als ich in meinem dreiviertzigsten Jahr begann, mein Interesse den Resten der Erinnerung an die eigene Kindheit zuzuwenden, fiel mir eine Szene auf, die mir seit langem—wie ich meinte, seit jeher.—von Zeit zu Zeit zum Bewußtsein gekommen war, und die nach guten Merkzeichen vor das vollendete dritte Lebensjahr verlegt werden durfte. Ich sah
myself standing in front of a cupboard ['Kasten'] demanding something and screaming, while my half-brother, my senior by twenty years, held it open. Then suddenly my mother, looking beautiful and slim, walked into the room, as if she had come in from the street. These were the words in which I described the scene, of which I had a plastic picture, but I did not know what more I could make of it. Whether my brother wanted to open or shut the cupboard—in my first translation of the picture I called it a ‘wardrobe’ ['Schrank']—why I was crying, and what the arrival of my mother had to do with it—all this was obscure to me. The explanation I was tempted to give myself was that what was in question was a memory of being teased by my elder brother and of my mother putting a stop to it. Such misunderstandings of a childhood scene which is preserved in the memory are by no means rare: a situation is recalled, but it is not clear what its central point is, and one does not know on which of its elements the psychical accent is to be placed. Analytic effort led me to take a quite unexpected view of the picture. I had missed my mother, and had come to suspect that she was shut up in this wardrobe or cupboard; and it was for that reason that I was demanding that my brother should open the cupboard. When he did what I asked and I had made certain that my mother was not in the cupboard, I began to scream. This is the moment that my memory has held fast; and it was followed at once by the appearance of my mother, which alloyed my anxiety or longing. But how did the child get the idea of looking for his absent mother in the cupboard? Dreams which I had at the same time [as the analysis of this memory] contained obscure allusions to a nurse of whom I had other recollections, such as, for example, that she used to insist on my dutifully handing over to her the small coins I received as presents—a detail which can itself claim to have the value of a screen memory for later experiences.¹ I accordingly resolved that this time I would make the problem of interpretation easier.

¹ [More details about this will be found in the two letters to Fliess referred to in the last footnote.]
for myself and would ask my mother, who was by then grown old, about the nurse. I learned a variety of details, among them that this clever but dishonest person had carried out considerable thefts in the house during my mother’s confinement and had been taken to court on a charge preferred by my half-brother. This information threw a flood of light on the childhood scene, and so enabled me to understand it. The sudden disappearance of the nurse had not been a matter of indifference to me: the reason why I had turned in particular to this brother, and had asked him where she was, was probably because I had noticed that he played a part in her disappearance; and he had answered in the elusive and punning fashion that was characteristic of him: “She’s “boxed up” [“eingekehrelt”]. At the time, I understood this answer in a child’s way [i.e. literally], but I stopped asking any more questions as there was nothing more to learn. When my mother left me a short while later, I suspected that my naughty brother had done the same thing to her that he had done to the nurse and I forced him to open the cupboard [“Kasten”] for me. I now understand, too, why in the translation of this visual childhood scene my mother’s slimness was emphasized: it must have struck me as having just been restored to her. I am two and a half years older than the sister who was born at that time, and when I was three years old my half-brother and I ceased living in the same place.  

3 [In the editions of 1907, 1910 and 1912: ‘that is even to-day’] 

Anyone who is interested in the mental life of these years of childhood will find it easy to guess the deeper determinant of the demand made on the big brother. The child of not yet three had understood that the little sister who had recently arrived had grown inside his mother. He was very far from approving of this addition to the family, and was full of mistrust and anxiety that his mother’s inside might conceal still more children. The wardrobe or cupboard was a symbol for him of his mother’s inside. So he insisted on looking into this cupboard, and turned for this to his big brother, who (as is clear from other material) had taken his father’s place as the child’s rival. Besides the well-founded suspicion that this brother had had the lost nurse “boxed up”, there was a further suspicion against him—namely that he had in some way introduced the recently born baby into his mother’s inside. The affect of disappointment when the cupboard was found to be empty derived, therefore, from the superficial motivation for the child’s demand. As regards the deeper trend of thought, the affect was
in the wrong place. On the other hand, his great satisfaction over his mother's slimness on her return can only be fully understood in the light of this deeper layer.—[Freud returned repeatedly to the subject of childhood memories. In his study on Leonardo da Vinci (1910c) and his paper on a memory of Goethe's (1917b) he applied his clinical observations to historical characters.—Some discussion of the processes of 'normal' forgetting will be found on pp. 134–5 and in a footnote on p. 274 below.]
CHAPTER V

SLIPS OF THE TONGUE

The ordinary [linguistic] material which we use for talking in our native language appears to be protected against being forgotten; but it succumbs all the more frequently to another disturbance, which is known as a 'slip of the tongue'. The slips of the tongue that we observe in normal people give an impression of being the preliminary stages of the so-called 'paraphasias' that appear under pathological conditions.

This is a subject on which I find myself in the exceptional position of being able to acknowledge the value of a previous work. In 1895 Meringer and C. Mayer published a study on 'Slips in Speaking and Reading'. Their lines of approach differ widely from my own. One of the authors, who acts as spokesman in the text, is in fact a philologist, and it was his linguistic interests which led him to attempt to discover the rules that govern the making of slips of the tongue. He hoped to be able to conclude from these rules that there exists 'a certain mental mechanism, in which the sounds of a word, or of a sentence, and the [whole] words as well, are mutually linked and connected in a quite peculiar way' (10).3

The examples of slips of the tongue collected by the authors are first grouped by them in purely descriptive categories. They are classed as transpositions (e.g. 'the Milo of Venus' instead of 'the Venus of Milo'); pre-sonances or anticipations (e.g. 'es war mir

1 [With the exception of two paragraphs on p. 55, and Example 8 on p. 64, the whole of the earlier portion of this chapter (up to p. 67) dates back to 1901.]

2 [Freud had discussed 'paraphasia' as a symptom of organic brain disorders in his book on aphasia (1891b, 13 ff.); but he had also pointed out there that the symptom of paraphasia in such disorders 'does not differ from the incorrect use and the distortion of words which the healthy person can observe in himself in states of fatigue or divided attention or under the influence of disturbing affects.]

3 [Page references in this chapter, unless otherwise specified, are to Meringer and Mayer (1895.)]
auf der Schwest . . . auf der Brust so schwer'); *post-sonances* or *perseverations* (e.g. 'ich fordere Sie auf, auf das Wohl unseres Chefs anzustossen' instead of 'anzustossen'); *contaminations* (e.g. 'er setzt sich auf den Hinterkopf', combined from 'er setzt sich einen Kopf auf' and 'er stellt sich auf die Hinterbeine'); and *substitutions* (e.g. 'Ich gebe die Präparate in den Briefkasten' instead of 'Brütkasten').

There are in addition to these main categories a few others which are less important (or less significant from our own point of view). In the above arrangement into groups it makes no difference whether the transposition, distortion, amalgamation, etc., is concerned with single sounds in a word, with syllables, or with complete words forming part of the intended sentence.

To explain the various kinds of slips of the tongue he had observed, Meringer postulates that different spoken sounds have a different psychical valency. When we innervate the first sound in a word or the first word in a sentence, the excitatory process already extends to the later sounds and the following words, and in so far as these innervations are simultaneous with one another they can exercise a modifying influence on one another. The excitation of the sound that is psychically more intense anticipates other excitations or perseverates after them, and in this way disturbs the less valent process of innervation. The question has therefore to be decided which sounds in a word have the highest valency. Here is Meringer's view: 'If we want to know which sound in a word has the highest intensity, we must observe ourselves when we are searching for a forgotten word, e.g. for a name. Whichever [sound] is the first to come back into consciousness is in every case the one that had the greatest intensity before the word was forgotten' (160). 'The sounds which are of high valency are the initial sound in the

1 The intended phrase was: 'it lay so heavily on my breast (Breast).' The substituted 'Schwest' is a non-existent word. This instance is further discussed and explained below, p. 81.
2 ['I call on you to becough to the health of our Principal' instead of 'drink to'. This instance is referred to again below, p. 82.]
3 ['He stands on the back of his head' (a meaningless phrase) combined from 'He is obstinate' (literally, 'he puts on a head') and 'He gets on his hind legs'.]
4 ['I put the preparation into the letter-box' instead of 'incubator', literally 'hatching-box'.]
root syllable, and the initial sound in the word, and the accentuated vowel or vowels' (162).

I cannot help contradicting him here. Whether the initial sound of the name is one of the elements of highest valency in a word or not, it is certainly untrue that in a forgotten word it is the first to return to consciousness. The rule stated above is therefore inapplicable. If we observe ourselves while searching for a forgotten name, we are comparatively often obliged to express a conviction that it begins with a particular letter. This conviction proves to be unfounded just as often as not. Indeed, I should like to assert that in the majority of cases the initial sound which we announce is a wrong one. In our example of 'Signorelli' [p. 2], in fact, the substitute names had lost the initial sound and the essential syllables: it was precisely the less valent pair of syllables—elli—which returned to memory in the substitute name Botticelli.

How little attention is paid by the substitute names to the initial sound of the missing name may be learned, for instance, from the following case:

One day I found it impossible to recall the name of the small country of which Monte Carlo is the chief town. The substitute names for it ran: Piedmont, Albania, Montevideo, Colico. Albania was soon replaced in my mind by Montenegro; and it then occurred to me that the syllable 'Mont' (pronounced 'Mon') was found in all the substitute names except the last. Thus it was easy for me, starting from the name of Prince Albert [the ruling Prince], to find the forgotten name Monaco. Colico gives a pretty close imitation of the sequence of syllables and the rhythm of the forgotten name.

If we allow ourselves to suppose that a mechanism similar to that which has been demonstrated for the forgetting of names could also play a part in the phenomena of slips of the tongue, we are led to form a more deeply based judgement of instances

---

1 [In the editions before 1924: 'to consciousness'.]
2 [This paragraph and the next were added in 1907.]
3 [This example was used later by Freud in the sixth of his Introductory Lectures (1916-17). He states there (in a slightly different and perhaps more lucid account of the episode) that the replacement of Albania by Montenegro was probably due to the contrast between black and white; and that it was thoughts connected with Munich—which is also Monaco in Italian—which had caused him to forget the name.]
4 [p. 2]
5 [In the editions before 1924: 'to consciousness'.]
of the latter. The disturbance in speaking which is manifested in a slip of the tongue can in the first place be caused by the influence of another component of the same speech—by an anticipatory sound, that is, or by a perseveration—or by another formulation of the ideas contained within the sentence or context that it is one's intention to utter. This is the type to which all the above examples borrowed from Meringer and Mayer belong. The disturbance could, however, be of a second kind, analogous to the process in the Signorelli case; it could result from influences outside this word, sentence or context, and arise out of elements which are not intended to be uttered and of whose excitation we only learn precisely through the actual disturbance. What these two ways in which slips of the tongue arise have in common would be the simultaneity of the interfering excitation; what differentiates them would be the position of the excitation inside or outside the sentence or context. The difference does not at first appear great in so far as it concerns certain deductions that can be made from the symptomatology of slips of the tongue. It is clear, however, that only in the former case is there any prospect of drawing conclusions from the phenomena of slips of the tongue about a mechanism which links sounds and words with one another so that they mutually influence their articulation—conclusions, that is, such as the philologist hoped to arrive at from studying slips of the tongue. In the case of interference from influences outside the same sentence or context of what is being said, it would be above all a matter of getting to know what the interfering elements are—after which the question would arise whether the mechanism of this disturbance, too, can reveal the supposed laws of speech formation.

Meringer and Mayer cannot be said to have overlooked the possibility that disturbances of speech may be the result of 'complicated psychical influences', of elements outside the same word, sentence or sequence of spoken words. They were bound to observe that the theory which asserts that sounds are of unequal psychical valency is strictly speaking only adequate for explaining sound-disturbances, together with sound-anticipations and perseverations. Where word-disturbances cannot be reduced to sound-disturbances (as, for instance, in substitutions and contaminations of words), they have not hesitated from the slip of the tongue as, for instance, in the Vorklingen oder Nachhallen, or by the anticipation of another word, sentence or sequence of spoken words. They were bound to observe that the theory which asserts that sounds are of unequal psychical valency is strictly speaking only adequate for explaining sound-disturbances, together with sound-anticipations and perseverations. Where word-disturbances cannot be reduced to sound-disturbances (as, for instance, in substitutions and contaminations of words), they have not hesitated from the slip of the tongue as, for instance, in the Vorklingen oder Nachhallen, or by the anticipation of another word, sentence or sequence of spoken words. They were bound to observe that the theory which asserts that sounds are of unequal psychical valency is strictly speaking only adequate for explaining sound-disturbances, together with sound-anticipations and perseverations. Where word-disturbances cannot be reduced to sound-disturbances (as, for instance, in substitutions and contaminations of words), they have not hesitated

von Versprechen geführt. Die Störung in der Rede, welche sich als Versprechen kundgibt, kann erstens verursacht sein durch den Einfluß eines anderen Bestandteils derselben Rede, also durch das Vorklingen oder Nachhallen, oder durch eine zweite Fassung innerhalb des Satzes oder des Zusammenhanges, den auszusprechen man intendiert — hierher gehören alle oben Meringer und Mayer entlehnten Beispiele — zweitens aber könnte die Störung analog dem Vorgang im Falle Signorellis zustande kommen durch Einflüsse außerhalb dieses Wortes, Satzes oder Zusammenhanges, von Elementen her, die auszusprechen man nicht intendiert und von deren Erregung man erst durch eben die Störung Kenntnis erhält. In der Gleichzeitigkeit der Erregung äußert das Gemeinsame, in der Stellung innerhalb oder außerhalb desselben Satzes oder Zusammenhanges das Unterscheidende für die beiden Entstehungsarten des Versprechens. Der Unterschied erscheint zunächst nicht so groß, als er für gewisse Folgerungen aus der Symptomatologie des Versprechens in Betracht kommt. Es ist aber klar, daß man nur im ersteren Falle Aussicht hat, aus den Erscheinungen des Versprechens Schlüsse auf einen Mechanismus zu ziehen, der Laute und Worte zur gegenseitigen Beeinflussung ihrer Artikulation miteinander verknüpfte, also Schlüsse, wie sie der Sprachforscher aus dem Studium des Versprechens zu gewinnen hoffte. Im Falle der Störung durch Einflüsse außerhalb des nämlichen Satzes oder Rede­zusammenhanges würde es sich vor allem darum handeln, die störenden Elemente kennen zu lernen, und dann erststünde die Frage, ob auch der Mechanismus dieser Störung die zu vermutenden Gesetze der Sprachbildung verraten kann.

Max darf nicht behaupten, daß Meringer und Mayer die Möglichkeit der Sprechstörung durch „komplizierte psychische Einflüsse“ durch Elemente außerhalb desselben Wortes, Satzes oder derselben Redefolge übersehen haben. Sie mußten ja bemerken, daß die Theorie der psychischen Ungleichwertigkeit der Laute streng genommen nur für die Aufklärung der Lautstörungen, sowie der Vor- und Nachklangle ausreicht. Wo sich die Wortstörungen nicht auf Lautstörungen reduzieren lassen, z. B. bei den Substitutionen und Kontaminationen von Worten, haben
to look outside the intended context for the cause of the slip—a procedure which they justify by some good examples. I quote the following passages:

"Ru. was speaking of occurrences which, within himself, he pronounced to be "Schweinereien [disgusting, literally, pig-gish]'. He tried, however, to express himself mildly, and began: "But then facts came to 'Vorschein'..." Mayer and I were present and Ru. confirmed his having thought "Schweinereien". The fact of this word which he thought being betrayed in "Vorschein" and suddenly becoming operative is sufficiently explained by the similarity of the words." (62)

"Just as in contaminations, so also—and probably to a much higher degree—in substitutions an important role is played by "floating" or "wandering" speech images. Even if they are beneath the threshold of consciousness they are still near enough to be operative, and can easily be brought into play by any resemblance they may have to the complex that is to be spoken. When this is so they cause a deviation in the train of words or cut across it. "Floating" or "wandering" speech images are often, as we have said, stragglers following after speech processes which have recently terminated (perseverations)." (73)

"Resemblance can also cause a deviation when another, similar word lies a short way below the threshold of consciousness, without a decision to speak it having been reached. This is the case with substitutions.—Thus I hope that my rules will of necessity be confirmed when they are tested. But for this it is necessary (if the speaker is someone else) that we should obtain a clear notion of everything that was in the speaker's thoughts." Here is an instructive case. Li., a schoolmaster, said in our presence: "Die Frau würde mir Furcht einlagen." I was taken aback, for the l struck me as inexplicable. I ventured to draw the speaker's attention to his slip in saying "einlagen" for "ein­ jagen", upon which he at once replied: "Yes, the reason was that I thought: I should not be 'in der Lage [in a position]', etc."

1) [Ru. intended to say 'came to "light' and should have used the word 'Vorschein'. Instead he used the meaningless word 'Vorschein'.]

2) [He intended to say: 'The lady would strike (einjagen) terror into me.' But instead of 'einzagen' he said 'einlagen', which is a non-existent verb—though 'Lage' is a familiar noun meaning 'position'.]
Here is another case. I asked R. von Schid. how his sick horse was getting on. He replied: "Ja, das draut ... dauert vielleicht noch einen Monat." 1 I could not understand the "draut", with an r, for the r in "dauert" could not possibly have had this result. So I drew his attention to it, whereupon he explained that his thought had been: "das ist eine traurige Geschichte [it's a sad story]." Thus the speaker had two answers in his mind and they had been intermixed. (97)

It is pretty obvious that the consideration of 'wandering' speech images which lie below the threshold of consciousness and are not intended to be spoken, and the demand for information about everything that had been in the speaker's mind, are procedures which constitute a very close approach to the state of affairs in our 'analyses'. We too are looking for unconscious material; and we even look for it along the same path, except that, in proceeding from the ideas that enter the mind of the person who is being questioned to the discovery of the disturbing element, we have to follow a longer path, through a complicated series of associations.

I shall dwell for a moment on another interesting process, to which Meringer's examples bear witness. The author himself holds that it is some sort of similarity between a word in the sentence intended to be spoken and another word not so intended which permits the latter to make itself felt in consciousness by bringing about a distortion, a composite figure, or a compromise-formation (contamination):

jagen, dauert, Vorschein
lagen, traurig, ... schwein.

Now in my Interpretation of Dreams (1900a) I have demonstrated the part played by the work of condensation in forming what is called the manifest dream-content out of the latent dream-thoughts. A similarity of any sort between two elements of the unconscious material—a similarity between the things themselves or between their verbal presentations—is taken as an opportunity for creating a third, which is a composite or

1) What he intended to say was: 'Well, it will last (dauert) another month perhaps.' Instead of 'dauert' he used the meaningless word 'draut'.}
SLIPS OF THE TONGUE

compromise idea. In the dream-content this third element represents both its components; and it is as a consequence of its originating in this way that it so frequently has various contradictory characteristics. The formation of substitutions and contaminations which occurs in slips of the tongue is accordingly a beginning of the work of condensation which we find taking a most vigorous share in the construction of dreams,¹

In a short essay designed for a wider circle of readers Meringer (1900) has claimed that a special practical significance attaches to particular cases in which one word is put for another—viz. to those cases in which a word is replaced by another that has the opposite meaning. 'You probably still recall', he writes, 'the way in which the President of the Lower House of the Austrian Parliament opened the sitting a short while ago: “Gentlemen: I take notice that a full quorum of members is present and herewith declare the sitting closed!” His attention was only drawn by the general merriment and he corrected his mistake.² In this particular case the explanation no doubt was that the President secretly wished he was already in a position to close the sitting, from which little good was to be expected. But this accompanying idea, as frequently happens, broke through, at least partially, and the result was “closed” instead of “open”—the opposite, that is, of what was intended to be expressed. Now extensive observations have taught me that words with opposite meanings are, quite generally, very often interchanged; they are already associated in our linguistic consciousness, they lie very close to each other and it is easy for the wrong one to be evoked.³

It cannot be said that in all cases where words are replaced by their opposites it is as easy as in this instance of the President to show the probability of the slip being a consequence of a contradiction arising in the speaker’s mind against the uttered sentence. We found an analogous mechanism in our analysis of

¹ [Cf. Section A of Chapter VI of The Interpretation of Dreams (1900a), Standard Ed., 4, 479 ff.]
² [This example was later quoted by Freud in the second of his Introductory Lectures (1916-17) and again in his posthumously published paper, 'Some Elementary Lessons in Psycho-Analysis' (1940), which was written in 1938.]
³ [Cf. Freud’s own later paper on 'The Antithetical Meaning of Primal Words' (1910a).]

III. Vergessen von Namen und Wortfolgen

Kompromißvorstellung zu schaffen, welche im Trauminhalt ihre beiden Komponenten vertritt, und die infolge dieses Ursprungs so häufig mit widersprechenden Einzelbestimmungen ausgestattet ist. Die Bildung von Substitutionen und Kontaminationen beim Versprechen ist somit ein Beginn jener Verdichtungsarbeit, die wir in eifrigster Tätigkeit am Aufbau des Traumes beteiligt finden.

In einem kleinen, für weitere Kreise bestimmten Aufsatz (''Neue Freie Presse'' vom 25. August 1900: ''Wie man sich versprechen kann'') hat Meringer eine besondere praktische Bedeutung für gewisse Fälle von Wortvertauschungen in Anspruch genommen, für solche namentlich, in denen man ein Wort durch sein Gegenteil dem Sinne nach ersetzt. ''Man erinnert sich wohl noch der Art, wie vor einiger Zeit der Präsident des österreichischen Abgeordnetenhauses die Sitzung eröffnete: ''Hohes Haus! Ich konstatiere die Anwesenheit von soundsoviel Herren und erkläre somit die Sitzung für geschlossen!'' Die allgemeine Heiterkeit machte ihn erst aufmerksam und er verbesserte den Fehler. Im vorliegenden Falle wird die Erklärung wohl diese sein, daß der Präsident sich wünschte, er wäre schon in der Lage, die Sitzung, von der wenig Gutes zu erwarten stand, zu schließen, aber — eine häufige Erscheinung — der Nebengedanke setzte sich wenigstens teilweise durch und das Resultat war ''geschlossen'' für eröffnet'', also das Gegenteil dessen, was zu sprechen beabsichtigt war. Aber vielfältige Beobachtung hat mich beilehrt, daß man gegenständliche Worte überhaupt sehr häufig miteinander vertauscht; sie sind eben schon in unserem Sprachbewußtsein assoziiert, liegen hart nebeneinander und werden leicht irtmäßigen aufgerufen.⁴

Nicht in allen Fällen von Gegensatzvertauschung wird es so leicht, wie hier im Beispiel des Präsidenten, wahrnehmlich zu machen, daß das Versprechen infolge eines Widerspruchs geschieht, der sich im Inneren des Redners gegen den geäußerten Satz erhobt. Wir haben den analogen Mechanismus in der Analyse
the *aliquis* example [p. 8]. There the internal contradiction expressed itself in a word being forgotten, instead of its being replaced by its opposite. But in order to soften the distinction we may note that the word *aliquis* is in fact incapable of having an opposite like 'to close' and 'to open', and that 'to open' is a word that cannot be forgotten as it is too familiar a part of our vocabulary.

If the last examples of Meringer and Mayer show that the disturbance of speech can arise on the one hand from the influence of anticipatory or perseverating sounds and words of the same sentence which are intended to be spoken, and on the other hand from the effect of words outside the intended sentence whose excitation would not otherwise have been revealed, the first thing we shall want to know is whether the two classes of slips of the tongue can be sharply divided, and how an example of one class can be distinguished from a case of the other. At this point in the discussion one must however bear in mind the views expressed by Wundt, who deals with the phenomena of slips of the tongue in the course of his comprehensive discussion of the laws of the development of speech.

According to him, a feature that is never missing from these and other related phenomena is the activity of certain psychical influences. First of all they have a positive determinant in the form of the uninhibited stream of sound-associations and word-associations evoked by the spoken sounds. In addition there is a negative factor in the form of the suppression or relaxation of the inhibitory effects of the will on this current, and of the attention which is also active here as a function of the will. Whether this play of association manifests itself by a coming sound being anticipated, or by the preceding sounds being reproduced, or by a habitually practiced sound being intercalated between others, or finally by quite different words, which stand in an associative relation to the sounds that are spoken, having an effect upon them—all these indicate only differences in the direction and at the most in the scope of the associations taking place, and not differences in their general nature. In some cases, too, it may be doubtful to which form a certain disturbance is to be assigned, or whether it would not be more justifiable, in accordance with the principle of the complication of

---

2 [This word is italicized by Wundt (1900, 380).]
causes,\(^1\) to trace it back to a concurrence of several motive forces.\(^2\) (Wundt, 1900, 380-1.) [Cf. below, p. 81.]

I consider these observations of Wundt's fully justified and very instructive. Perhaps it would be possible to emphasize more definitely than Wundt does that the positive factor favouring the slip of the tongue (the uninhibited stream of associations) and the negative factor (the relaxation of the inhibiting attention) invariably achieve their effect in combination, so that the two factors become merely different ways of regarding the same process. What happens is that, with the relaxation of the inhibiting attention—-in still plainer terms, as a result of this relaxation—the uninhibited stream of associations comes into action.

Among the slips of the tongue that I have collected myself, I can find hardly one in which I should be obliged to trace the disturbance of speech simply and solely to what Wundt [1900, 392] calls the 'contact effect of sounds'. I almost invariably discover a disturbing influence in addition which comes from something outside the intended utterance; and the disturbing element is either a single thought that has remained uncon­scious, which manifests itself in the slip of the tongue and which can often be brought to consciousness only by means of searching analysis, or it is a more general psychical motive force which is directed against the entire utterance.

(1) My daughter had made an ugly face when she took a bite at an apple, and I wanted to quote to her:

*Der Affe gar possierlich ist,*

Zumal wenn er vom Apfel frißt.\(^3\)

But I began: 'Der Apfe...' [a non-existent word]. This looks like a contamination of 'Affe [ape]' and 'Apfel [apple]' (a compromise-formation), or it might be regarded as an anticipation of the word 'Apfel' that was in preparation. The circumstances were, however, more precisely as follows. I had already begun the quotation once before and had not made a slip of the tongue

\(^1\) My italics. [Freud was no doubt regarding this as equivalent to his own concept of 'overdetermination'. (Cf. 1895d, Standard Ed., 2, 212 n.)]

\(^2\) [The ape's a very comic sight
When from an apple he takes a bite.]

\(^3\) [The ape's a very comic sight
When from an apple he takes a bite.]
the first time. I only made a slip when I repeated it. The repetition was necessary because the person I was addressing had had her attention distracted from another quarter and she had not been listening to me. I must include the fact of the repetition, together with my impatience to have done with my sentence, among the motives of the slip which made its appearance as a product of condensation.

2) My daughter said: 'I am writing to Frau Schlesinger,' etc. The lady's name is Schlesinger. This slip of the tongue is probably connected with a trend towards making articulation easier, for an initial l is difficult to pronounce after a repeated r. I must add, however, that my daughter made this slip a few minutes after I had said 'Affe' for 'Affe'. Now slips of the tongue are in a high degree contagious, like the forgetting of names and facts which Meringer and Mayer have noticed in the case of the latter. I cannot suggest any reason for this psychical contagiousness.

3) 'I shut up like a Tassenmesser [non-existent word]—I mean Taschenmesser [pocket-knife]', said a woman patient at the start of the hour of treatment. Here again a difficulty in articulation (cf. 'Wiener Weiβer Wäscherinnen waschen weisse Wäsche' [Viennese washer-women wash white washing], 'Fischflosse' [fish-fin] and similar tongue-twisters) could serve as an excuse for her interchanging the sounds. When her attention was drawn to her slip, she promptly replied: 'Yes, that's only because you said "Ernst" to-day.' I had in fact received her with the remark: 'To-day we shall really be in earnest "Ernst"' (because it was going to be the last session before the holidays), and had jokingly broadened 'Ernst' into 'Ernacht'. In the course of the hour she repeatedly made further slips of the tongue, and I finally observed that she was not merely imitating me but had a special reason for dwelling in her unconscious on the word 'Ernst' in its capacity as a name 'Ernest'.

1) [An uneducated way of pronouncing the word.]

2) In fact she turned out to be under the influence of unconscious thoughts about pregnancy and contraception. By the words 'shut up like a pocket-knife', which she uttered consciously as a complaint, she wanted to describe the position of a child in the womb. The word 'Ernst' in my opening remark had reminded her of the name (S. Ernst) of a well known Viennese firm in the Kärntnerstrasse which used to advertise the sale of contraceptives.

Ich verspreche mir erst bei der Wiederholung, die sich als notwendig ergab, weil die Anerkennung, von anderer Seite mit Beschlag belegt, nicht zugehörte. Diese Wiederholung, die mit ihr verbundene Ungeduld, des Satzes ledig zu werden, muß ich in die Motivation des Sprechfehlers, der sich als eine Verdichtungsleistung darstellt, mit einrechnen.


5) "Ich klappe zusammen wie ein Tassenmesser —Taschensmesser", sagt eine Patientin zu Beginn der Behandlungsstunde, die Laute vertauschend, wobei ihr wieder die Artikulationschwierigkeit ("Wiener Weiβer Wäscherinnen waschen weiße Wäsche" — "Fischflosse" und ähnliche Prüfworte) zur Entschuldigung dienen kann. Auf den Sprechfehler aufmerksam gemacht, erwidert sie prompt: "Ja, das ist nur, weil Sie heute "Ernst" gesagt haben." Ich hatte sie wirklich mit der Rede empfangen: "Heute wird es also "Ernst" (weil es die letzte Stunde vor dem Urlaub war) und hatte das "Ernst" scherzhafte zu "Ernscht" verbreitert. Im Laufe der Stunde verpflöcht sie sich immer wieder von neuem, und ich merke endlich, daß sie mich nicht bloß imitiert, sondern daß sie einen besonderen Grund hat, im Unbewußten bei dem Worte Ernst als Namen zu verweilen.\l

(4) I've got such a cold, I can't *durch die Nase atmen*—I mean, *Nase atmen*, the same patient happened to say another time. She knew immediately how she had come to make the slip. 'Every day I get on the tram in Hasenauer Street, and while I was waiting for one to come along this morning it struck me that if I was French I should say "Asenauer", as the French always drop their aitches at the beginning of a word.' She then brought a series of reminiscences about French people of her acquaintance, and came in a very roundabout manner to a memory of having played the part of Picarde in the short play *Kurmärker und Picarde* when she was a girl of fourteen, and of having spoken broken German in the part. The chance arrival at her boarding house of a guest from Paris had awoken the whole series of memories. The interchanging of the sounds was therefore the result of a disturbance by an unconscious session how her uncle was, she answered: 'I don't know, my memory would not tell me what part of her body had been grasped by a prying and lascivious hand. Immediately after­wards she called on a friend with whom she discussed summer residences. When she was asked where her cottage at M. was situated she answered: 'on the *Berglende* [hill-thigh] instead of *Berglehne* [hill-side].

(5) A slip of the tongue had a similar mechanism in the case of another woman patient, whose memory failed her in the middle of reproducing a long-lost recollection of childhood. Her memory would not tell her what part of her body had been grasped by a prying and lascivious hand. Immediately afterwards she called on a friend with whom she discussed summer residences. When she was asked where her cottage at M. was situated she answered: 'on the *Berglende* [hill-thigh] instead of *Berglehne* [hill-side].

(6) When I asked another woman patient at the end of the session how her uncle was, she answered: 'I don't know, nowadays I only *see him in flagranti.*' Next day she began: 'I am really ashamed of myself for having given you such a stupid answer. You must of course have thought me a very uneducated person who is always getting foreign words mixed up. I meant to say: *en passant.*' We did not as yet know the source of the foreign phrase which she had wrongly applied. In the same session, however, while continuing the previous day’s topic, she brought up a reminiscence in which the chief role was played by being caught in *flagranti.* The slip of the tongue of the day before had


6) Eine andere Patientin, die ich nach Abbruch der Stunde frage, wie es ihrem Onkel geht, antwortet: "Ich weiß nicht, ich sehe ihn jetzt nur in *flagranti.*" Am nächsten Tage beginnt sie: "Ich habe mich recht geschärmt, Ihnen eine so dumm' Antwort gegeben zu haben. Sie müssen mich natürlich für eine ganz ungebildete Person halten, die beständig Fremdwörter verwechselt. Ich wollte sagen: *en passant.* Wir wußten damals noch nicht, woher sie die unrichtig angewendeten Fremdwörter genommen hatte. In derselben Sitzung aber brach sie als Fortsetzung des vorläufigen Themas eine Reminiscenz, in welcher das Ertrappwerden in *flagranti* die Hauptrolle spielte. Der Sprechfehler am Tage vorher hatte
therefore anticipated the memory which at the time had not yet become conscious.

(7) At a certain point in the analysis of another woman patient I had to tell her that I suspected her of having been ashamed of her family during the period we were just then concerned with, and of having reproached her father with something we did not yet know about. She remembered nothing of the kind and moreover declared it was unlikely. However, she continued the conversation with some remarks about her family: 'One thing must be granted them: they are certainly unusual people, they all possess Geiz [greed]—I meant to say "Geist [cleverness]".' And this was in fact the reproach which she had repressed from her memory. It is a frequent occurrence for the idea one wants to withhold to be precisely the one which forces its way through in the form of a slip of the tongue. We may compare Meringer's case of 'zum Vorschwein gekommen' [p. 57]. The only difference is that Meringer's speaker wanted to keep back something that was in his consciousness, whereas my patient did not know what was being kept back, or, to put it in another way, did not know she was keeping something back and what that something was.

(8) The next example of a slip of the tongue is also to be traced back to something intentionally withheld. I once met two old ladies in the Dolomites who were dressed up in walking clothes. I accompanied them part of the way, and we discussed the pleasures and also the trials of spending a holiday in that way. One of the ladies admitted that spending the day like that entailed a good deal of discomfort. 'It is certainly not at all pleasant,' she said, 'if one has been tramping all day in the sun and has perspired right through one's blouse and chemise.' In this sentence she had to overcome a slight hesitation at one point. Then she continued: 'But then when one gets "nach Hose" and can change . . .' No interjection, I fancy, was necessary in order to explain this slip. The lady's intention had obviously been to give a more complete list of her clothes: blouse, chemise and Hose [drawers]. Reasons of propriety led her to suppress any mention of the third article of linen. But in the next sentence, with its different subject-matter, the sup-

[This example was added in 1917. It had previously been used by Freud in the fourth of his Introductory Lectures (1916-17).]
pressed word emerged against her will, in the form of a distortion of the similar word 'nach Hause [home].''

(9) 'If you want to buy carpets,' a lady said to me, 'you must go to Kaufmann [a proper name, also meaning 'merchant'] in the Matthäusgasse [Matthew Street]. I think I can give you a recommendation there.' 'At Matthäus...,' I repeated, 'I mean Kaufmann's.' My repeating one name in the other's place looks to me like a result of my thoughts being distracted. They really were distracted by what the woman said, for she diverted my attention to something much more important to me than carpets. As a matter of fact, the house in which my wife lived when she was my fiancée was in the Matthäusgasse. The entrance to the house was in another street, and I now noticed that I had forgotten its name and could only make it conscious in a roundabout way. The name Matthäus, which I was lingering over, was therefore a substitute name for the forgotten street-name. It was more suitable for this purpose than the name Kaufmann, for Matthäus is exclusively a personal name, while Kaufmann is not, and the forgotten street also bears the name of a person: Radetzky.

(10) The following case could just as appropriately be included in the chapter below on 'Errors' [Chapter X], but I quote it here since the phonetic relations, which were the basis of one word being put in place of another, are quite unusually clear. A woman patient told me a dream: A child had resolved to kill itself by means of a snake-bite. It carried out its resolution. She watched it writhing in convulsions, and, distracted by what the woman said, for she diverted my attention to something much more important to me than carpets. As a matter of fact, the house in which my wife lived when she was my fiancée was in the Matthäusgasse. The entrance to the house was in another street, and I now noticed that I had forgotten its name and could only make it conscious in a roundabout way. The name Matthäus, which I was lingering over, was therefore a substitute name for the forgotten street-name. It was more suitable for this purpose than the name Kaufmann, for Matthäus is exclusively a personal name, while Kaufmann is not, and the forgotten street also bears the name of a person: Radetzky.

9) "Wenn Sie Teppiche kaufen wollen, so gehen Sie nur zu Kaufmann in der Matthäusgasse. Ich glaube, ich kann Sie dort auch empfehlen," sagt mir eine Dame. Ich wiederhole: "Also bei Matthäus... bei Kaufmann will ich sagen." Es sieht aus wie Folge von Zerreue, wenn ich den einen Namen an Stelle des anderen wiederhole. Die Rede der Dame hat mich auch wirklich zerstreut gemacht, denn sie hat meine Aufmerksamkeit auf anderes gelenkt, was mir weit wichtiger ist als Teppiche. In der Matthäusgasse steht nämlich das Haus, in dem meine Frau als Braut gewohnt hatte. Der Eingang des Hauses war in einer anderen Gasse, und nun merke ich, daß ich deren Namen vergessen habe und ihn mir erst auf einem Umweg bewußt machen muß. Der Name Matthäus, bei dem ich verweile, ist mir also ein Ersatzname für den vergessenen Namen der Straße. Er eignet sich besser dazu als der Name Kaufmann, denn Matthäus ist ausschließlich ein Personennamen, was Kaufmann nicht ist, und die vergessene Straße heißt auch nach einem Personennamen: Radetzky.

The continuation of the analysis brought further confirmation. On the previous day the dreamer had for the first time inspected the Mark Antony monument by Strasser, which stood in the vicinity of her home. This then was the second exciting cause of the dream (the first having been the lecture on snake-bites). In the continuation of the dream she was rocking a child in her arms. This scene reminded her of Gretchen. Further ideas which occurred to her brought reminiscences of Arria und Messalina. From the fact that the names of so many plays made their appearance in the dream-thoughts we may already have a suspicion that in her earlier years the dreamer had cherished a secret passion for the profession of actress. The beginning of the dream—‘A child had resolved to put an end to its life by means of a snake-bite”—had in fact no other meaning than that now.

1 [A bronze group in Vienna representing the Triumph of Mark Antony by the Austrian sculptor Artur Strasser (1854-1927).]
2 [In Goethe's Faust.]
3 [A tragedy by the Viennese playwright Adolf Wilbrandt (1837-1911).]
SLIPS OF THE TONGUE

when she was a child she had made up her mind to become a
famous actress one day. Finally, from the name 'Messalina' the
path of thoughts branched off which led to the essential content
of the dream. Certain recent events had made her apprehensive
that her only brother might make a socially unsuitable mar-
rriage, a mésoalliance with a non-Aryan.

(11) I will reproduce here an entirely innocent example (or
perhaps one whose motives were insufficiently elucidated),
because it displays a transparent mechanism.

A German who was travelling in Italy needed a strap to tie
up his damaged trunk. For 'strap' ['Riemn'] the dictionary gave
him the Italian word 'coreggia'. It will be easy, he thought, to
remember the word by thinking of the painter Correggio. After
that he went into a shop and asked for 'una ribera'.

He had apparently not been successful in replacing the
German word by the Italian one in his memory, but his efforts
were nevertheless not entirely unsuccessful. He knew he had to
keep in mind the name of a painter, and in this way he hit
upon the name of the painter who sounded much the same
as the Italian word, but of another one who resembled the
German word 'Riemn'.

(12) A young man said to his sister: 'I’ve completely fallen
out with the D.’s now. We’re not on speaking terms any longer.'
Yes indeed!' she answered, 'they’re a fine Liebschaft.' She
meant to say 'Sippschaft [lot, crew]', but in the slip she
compressed two ideas: viz. that her brother had himself once begun
a flirtation with the daughter of this family, and that this
daughter was said to have recently become involved in a serious
and irregular Liebschaft [love-affair].

---

1) This example and the following one (No. 12) were added in 1907.
2) Ribera, the well-known seventeenth century Spanish painter.
3) A non-existent word.

F. Das Versprechen

Sie hat sich, als Kind vorgenommen, einmal eine berühmte
Schauspielerin zu werden. Von dem Namen Messalina zweigt
den einen Gedankenweg ab, der zu dem wesentlichen Inhalt
zweigt dieses Traumes führt. Gewisse Vorfälle der letzten Zeit haben in
ihre Besorgnis erweckt, daß ihr einziger Bruder eine nicht
stanadsgemäße Ehe mit einer Nicht-Ariierin, eine Mésalliance
eingehen könnte.

11) Ein völlig harmloses oder vielleicht uns nicht genügend
in seinen Motiven aufgeklärtes Beispiel will ich hier wiedergeben,
weil es einen durchsichtigen Mechanismus erkennen läßt:

Ein in Italien reisender Deutscher bedarf eines Riemens, um
seinen schadhaften gewordenen Koffer zu umschüttren. Das Wort-
buch liefert ihm für Riemens das italienische Wort coreggia.
Dieses Wort werde ich nur leicht merken, meint er, indem ich
an den Maler (Correggio) denke. Er geht dann in einen
Laden und verlangt: una ribera.

Es war ihm anscheinend nicht gelungen, das deutsche Wort
in seinem Gedächtnis durch das italienische zu ersetzen, aber
seine Bemühungen war doch nicht gänzlich ohne Erfolg geblieben.
Es wußte, daß er sich an den Namen eines Malers halten müsse,
und so geriet er nicht auf jenen Malernamen, der an das
italienische Wort anklingt, sondern an einen anderen, der sich
dem deutschen Worte Riemens annähert. Ich hätte dieses Beispiel
natürlich ebensowohl beim Namenvergessen wie hier beim
Versprechen unterbringen können.

Als ich Erfahrungen von Versprechen für die erste Auflage
dieser Schrift sammelte, ging ich so vor, daß ich alle Fälle, die
ich beobachten konnte, darunter also auch die minder-eindrucks-
vollen, der Analyse unterzog. Seither haben manche andere sich
der amtsüntigen Mühe, Versprechen zu sammeln und zu analysieren,
dergoßen, und mich so in den Stand gesetzt, Auswahl aus einem
reicheren Material zu schöpfen.

12) Ein junger Mann sagt zu seiner Schwester: Mit den D.
bin ich jetzt ganz zerfallen, ich grüße sie nicht mehr. Sie antwortet:
Überhaupt eine säubere Liebschaft. Sie wollte sagen: Sippschaft,
aber sie drängte noch zweierlei in dem Sprichwort zusammen,
daß ihr Bruder einst selbst mit der Tochter dieser
Familie einen Flirt begonnen hatte, und daß es von dieser hieß,
sie habe sich in letzter Zeit in eine ernsthafe unerlaubte Liebs-
schaft eingelassen.
A young man addressed a lady in the street in the following words: 'If you will permit me, madam, I should like to "begleiten-digen" you.' It was obvious what his thoughts were: he would like to 'begleiten [accompany]' her, but was afraid his offer would 'beleidigen [insult]' her. That these two conflicting emotional impulses found expression in one word—in the slip of the tongue, in fact—indicates that the young man's real intentions were at any rate not of the purest, and were bound to seem, even to himself, insulting to the lady. But while he attempted to conceal this from her, his unconscious played a trick on him by betraying his real intentions. But on the other hand he in this way, as it were, anticipated the lady's conventional retort: 'Really! What do you take me for? How dare you insult me?' (Reported by O. Rank.)

I will next quote a number of examples from an article by Stekel, entitled 'Unconscious Admissions', in the Berliner Tageblatt of January 4, 1904.

(14) 'An unpleasant part of my unconscious thoughts is disclosed by the following example. I may start by stating that in my capacity as a doctor I never consider my remuneration but only have the patient's interest in mind: that goes without saying. I was with a woman patient to whom I was giving medical attention in a period of convalescence after a serious illness. We had been through hard days and nights together. I was happy to find her improved; I painted a picture for her benefit of the delights of a stay in Abazia, and concluded by saying: "If, as I hope, you will not leave your bed soon..." This obviously owed its origin to an egoistic motive in the unconscious, namely that I should be able to continue treating this well-to-do patient some time longer—a wish that is entirely foreign to my waking consciousness and which I would indignantly repudiate.'

(15) Here is another example from Stekel. 'My wife was engaging a French governess for the afternoons, and after agreement had been reached on the terms, wanted to retain her testimonials. The Frenchwoman asked to be allowed to keep them, giving as her reason: 'Je cherche encore pour les après-midis,

[These examples (Nos. 14–20) were added in 1907.]
pardon, pour les avant-midi. I am still looking for work in the afternoons—I mean, in the forenoons. She obviously had the intention of looking round elsewhere and perhaps finding better terms—an intention which she in fact carried out.

16) From Stekel: 'I had to give a stiff lecture to a wife; and her husband, at whose request I did it, stood outside the door listening. At the end of my sermon, which had made a visible impression, I said: “Good-bye, sir.” To any well-informed person I was thus betraying the fact that my words were addressed to the husband and that I had spoken them for his benefit.'

17) Stekel reports of himself that at one time he had two patients from Trieste in treatment whom he always used to address the wrong way round. 'Good morning, Herr Peloni, he would say to Askoli, and 'Good morning, Herr Askoli' to Peloni. He was at first inclined not to attribute any deeper motive to this confusion but to explain it as being due to the numerous points of resemblance between the two gentlemen. However it was easy for him to convince himself that the interchanging of the names corresponded in this case to a kind of boastfulness: he was able in this way to let each of his Italian patients know that he was not the only visitor from Trieste who had come to Vienna in search of his medical advice.

18) Stekel reports that during a stormy General Meeting he said: 'We shall now streiten [quarrel]' (instead of 'schreiten [proceed]) 'to point four on the agenda.'

19) A professor declared in his inaugural lecture: 'I am not geeignet [inclined] (instead of 'geeignet [qualified]') to describe the services of my most esteemed predecessor.'

20) To a lady whom he suspected of having Graves' disease Stekel said: 'You are about a Kopf [goitre]' (instead of 'Kopf [head]') 'taller than your sister.'

21) Stekel reports: 'Someone wanted to describe the relationship of two friends and to bring out the fact that one of them was Jewish. He said: “They lived together like Castor and Pollak.” This was certainly not said as a joke; the speaker did not notice the slip himself until I drew his attention to it.'

---

1 [Quoted by Freud in the second of his Introductory Lectures (1916-17).]
2 [This and the following example (No. 22) were added in 1918.]
3 [Castor and Pollux were the 'heavenly twins' of Greek mythology. Pollak is a common Jewish name in Vienna.]
Occasionally a slip of the tongue takes the place of a detailed characterization. A young woman who wore the breeches in her home told me that her sick husband had been to the doctor to ask what diet he ought to follow for his health. The doctor, however, had said that a special diet was not important. She added: 'He can eat and drink what I want.'

The following two examples given by Reik (1915) have their origin in situations where slips of the tongue occur especially easily—situations in which more must be kept back than can be said.

A gentleman was offering his condolences to a young lady whose husband had recently died, and he intended to add: 'You will find consolation in devoting [widmen] yourself entirely to your children.' Instead he said 'widwen'. The suppressed thought referred to consolation of another kind: a young and pretty widow [Witwe] will soon enjoy fresh sexual pleasures.

At an evening party the same gentleman was having a conversation with the same lady about the extensive preparations being made in Berlin for Easter, and asked: 'Have you seen today's display [Auslage] at Wertheim's? The place is completely decollated.' He had not dared to express his admiration for the beautiful lady's decolletage, while the word 'Auslage' ['display'] was used unconsciously in two senses.

The same condition applies to another case, observed by Dr. Hanns Sachs, of which he has tried to give an exhaustive account:

'A lady was telling me about a common acquaintance. The last time she saw him, he was, she said, as elegantly dressed as ever: in particular he was wearing strikingly beautiful brown Halbschuhe [low shoes]. When I asked where she had met him she replied: 'He rang at the door of my house and I saw him through the blinds, which were down. But I didn't open the door or give any other sign of life, as I didn't want him to know I was already back in town.' While I was listening to her I had an idea that she was concealing something from me, most probably the fact that her reason for not opening the door was

1 [Quoted by Freud in the second of his Introductory Lectures (1916-17).]
2 [This paragraph and Nos. 23-6 were added in 1917.]
3 [A non-existent word.]
4 [A well-known department store.]
that she was not alone and not properly dressed to receive visitors; and I asked her somewhat ironically: "So you were able to admire his Hausschuhe [house shoes]—Halbschuhe [low shoes], I mean—through the blinds when they were drawn?" In Hausschuhe I was giving expression to the thought of her Hausskleid [lit. house dress, i.e. negligé] which I had refrained from uttering. There was on the other hand a temptation to get rid of the word "Halb [half]" for the reason that it was precisely this word which contained the core of the forbidden answer: "You are only telling me half the truth and are hiding the fact that you were half dressed." The slip of the tongue was encouraged by the additional circumstance that we had been talking directly before about this particular gentleman's married life, about his häuslich [domestic] happiness; this no doubt helped to determine the displacement [of "Haus"] on to him. Finally, I must confess that envy on my part may perhaps have contributed to my placing this elegant gentleman in the street in house shoes; only recently I myself bought a pair of brown low shoes, which are certainly not "strikingly beautiful" any longer.

Times of war like the present produce numerous slips of the tongue which there is not much difficulty in understanding.

(26) "What regiment is your son with?" a lady was asked. She replied: 'With the 42nd Murderers' ["Mörder"—instead of "Mörser", "Mortars"].

(27) Lieutenant Henrik Haiman writes from the front (1917): 'While I was reading an absorbing book, I was torn away to act temporarily as reconnaissance telephone operator. When the artillery post gave the signal to test the line I reacted with: 'Duly tested and in order; Ruhe.'" According to regulations the message should have run: 'Duly tested and in order; Schluss [end of message]'." My aberration is to be explained by my annoyance at being interrupted while I was reading.'

(28) A sergeant instructed his men to give their people at

Kriegszeiten wie die gegenwärtigen bringen eine Reihe von Versprechen hervor, deren Verständnis wenig Schwierigkeiten macht.

26) "Bei welcher Waffe befindet sich Ihr Herr Sohn?" wird eine Dame gefragt. "Sie antwortet: "Bei den 40er Mörsern."


28) Ein Feldwebel instruiert die Mannschaft, ihre Adressen
home their correct addresses, so that ‘Gespeckstücke’ should not go astray.1

(29) The following exceedingly fine example, which is also significant in view of its most unhappy background, I owe to Dr. L. Czeszer, who observed it while he was living in neutral Switzerland during the war and who analysed it exhaustively. I quote his letter verbatim with some inessential omissions:

‘I am taking the liberty of sending you an account of a slip of the tongue of which Professor M. N. of O. University was the victim in one of the lectures that he gave on the psychology of feelings during the summer term which has just ended. I must start by saying that these lectures took place in the Aula of the University before a great crowd of interned French prisoners-of-war as well as of students, most of whom were French-Swiss whose sympathies lay strongly on the side of the Entente. In the town of O., as in France itself, “boche” is the name in universal and exclusive use for the Germans. But in public announcements, and in lectures and the like, senior public servants, professors and other persons in responsible positions make an effort, for the sake of neutrality, to avoid the ominous word.

‘Professor N. was in the middle of discussing the practical significance of affects, and intended to quote an example illustrating how an affect can be deliberately exploited in such a way that a muscular activity which is uninteresting in itself becomes charged with pleasurable feelings, and so made more intense. He accordingly told a story—he was, of course, speaking in French—which had just then been reproduced in the local papers from a German one. It concerned a German schoolmaster who had put his pupils to work in the garden, and in order to encourage them to work with greater intensity invited them to imagine that with every clod of earth that they broke up they were breaking a French skull. Every time the word for “German” came up in the course of his story N. of course said “allemand” quite correctly and not “boche”. But when he came to the point of the story he gave the schoolmaster’s words in the following form: “Imaginez-vous qu’en chaque

1 [He meant to say ‘Gehackstücke’ (‘parcels’), ‘Gespeckstücke’ is a nonexistent word; but ‘Speckstücke’ would mean ‘bits of bacon’. The vowel after the ’p’ has practically the same sound in each case (whether written ‘e’ or ‘ä’).]
SLIPS OF THE TONGUE

moche vous écrasez le crâne d’un Français. That is to say, instead of motte [the French word for ‘clod’]—moche!

One can see very clearly how this scrupulous scholar took a firm grip on himself at the beginning of his story, to prevent himself from yielding to habit—perhaps even to temptation—and from permitting a word that had actually been expressly proscribed by a federal decree to fall from the rostrum of the University Aula! And at the precise moment at which he had successfully said “instituteur allemand [German schoolmaster]” with perfect correctness for what was the last time, and was hurrying with an inward sigh of relief to the conclusion, which seemed to offer no pitfalls—the word which had been suppressed with so much effort caught hold of the similar-sounding “motte”, and the damage was done. Anxiety about committing a political indiscretion, perhaps a suppressed desire to employ interfering trend was known to the speaker and he had, the usual word in spite of everything—the word that everyone with so much effort caught hold of the similar-sounding “motte”, and the damage was done. Anxiety about committing a political indiscretion, perhaps a suppressed desire to employ the usual word in spite of everything—the word that everyone expected—and the resentment of one who was born a republican and a democrat at every restriction on the free expression of opinion—all these interfered with his main intention of giving a punctilious rendering of the illustration. The interfering trend was known to the speaker and he had, as we cannot but suppose, thought of it directly before he made the slip of the tongue.

Professor N. did not notice his slip: at least he did not correct it, which is something one usually does quite automatically. On the other hand the slip was received by the mainly French audience with real satisfaction and its effect was exactly as though it had been an intentional play upon words. I myself followed this seemingly innocent occurrence with real inner excitement. For although I had for obvious reasons to forgo asking the professor the questions prompted by the psychoanalytic method, I nevertheless took his slip of the tongue as conclusive evidence of the correctness of your theory about the determining of parapraxes and the deep-lying analogies and connections between slips of the tongue and jokes.

(30) The following slip of the tongue, which was reported by an Austrian officer, Lieutenant T., on his return home, also had its origin among the melancholy impressions of war-time:

For several months of the time that I was a prisoner-of-war in Italy I was one of two hundred officers accommodated in

Sein Versprechen hat Professor N. nicht bemerkt, wenigstens hat er es nicht verbessert, was man doch geradezu automatisch tut. Dagegen wurde der Lapsus von der meist französischen Zuhörerschaft mit wahrer Genugtuung aufgenommen und wirkte vollkommen wie ein beabsichtigter Wortwitz. Ich aber folgte diesem anscheinend harmlosen Vorgang mit wahrer innerer Erregung. Denn wenn ich mir auch aus naheliegenden Gründen versagen müßte, dem Professor die sich nach psychoanalytischer Methode aufdrängenden Fragen zu stellen, so war doch dieses Versprechen für mich ein schlagender Beweis für die Richtigkeit Ihrer Lehre von der Determinierung der Fehlhandlungen und den tiefen Analogien und Zusammenhängen zwischen dem Versprechen und dem Witz.

Unter den betrübenden Eindrücken der Kriegszeit entstand auch das Versprechen, welches ein heimgekehrter österreichischer Offizier, Oberleutnant T., berichtet:

‘Während mehrerer Monate meiner italienischen Kriegsgefangenschaft waren wir, eine Zahl von 900 Offizieren, in einer engen
a small villa. During this time one of our number died of influenza. The impression made by this event was naturally a deep one, for the circumstances in which we found ourselves, the lack of medical assistance and the helplessness of our condition at the time made it more than probable that an epidemic would break out.—We had laid out the dead man in a cellarm
room. In the evening, after I had taken a walk around our house with a friend, we both expressed a wish to see the dead body. The sight which greeted me on entering the cellar (I was the one in front) startled me violently, for I had not expected to find the bier so near the entrance and to be confronted at such close quarters with a face transformed by the play of the candle-light into something set in movement. While the effects of this scene were still on us we continued our walk around the house.

When we came to a place from where there was a view of the park bathed in the light of a full moon, a brightly-lit meadow and beyond it a thin veil of mist, I described the picture that it conjured up; it was as if I saw a ring of elves dancing under the fringe of the neighbouring pine trees.

The next afternoon we buried our dead comrade. The course of our walk from our prison to the cemetery of the small neighbouring village was both bitter and humiliating for us; for a mocking, jeering crowd made up of shouting half-grown lads and rough, noisy villagers took advantage of the occasion to give open vent to their emotions, which were a mixture of curiosity and hatred. My feeling that even in this defenceless condition we could not escape insults and my disgust at the demonstration of coarseness overwhelmed me with bitterness until the evening. At the same hour as on the previous day and with the same companion, I began to walk along the gravel path around our house, just as I had done before; and as we passed by the grating of the cellar behind which the dead body had lain I was seized by the memory of the impression which the sight of it had made on me. At the place where the brightly-lit park once more lay before me, in the light of the same full moon, I stopped and said to my companion: "We could sit down here in the grave ['Grab']—grass ['Gras'] and sink ['sinken'] a serenade." My attention was not caught until I made the second slip; I had corrected the first one without having become conscious of the meaning it contained. I now reflected on them
and put them together: "in the grave—to sink!" The following pictures flashed through my mind with lightning rapidity: elves dancing and hovering in the moonlight; our comrade lying on his bier, the impression of movement; some scenes from the burial, the sensation of the disgust I had felt and of the disturbance of our mourning; the memory of some conversations about the infectious illness that had appeared, and the forebodings expressed by several of the officers. Later I remembered that it was the date of my father's death; in view of my usually very poor memory for dates I found this striking.

'Subsequent reflection brought home to me the sameness of the external circumstances on the two evenings: the same time of day and lighting conditions, the identical place and companion. I recalled my uneasy feelings when there had been an anxious discussion of the possibility of the influenza spreading; and I remembered at the same time my inner prohibition against letting myself be overcome by fear. I also became conscious of the significance attaching to the order of the words "we could—in the grave—sink", and I realized that only the initial correction of "grave" into "grass", which had taken place unobtrusively, had led to the second slip ("sink" for "sing") in order to ensure that the suppressed complex should have its full expression.

'I may add that I suffered at the time from alarming dreams about a very close relative. I repeatedly saw her ill and once actually dead. Just before I was taken prisoner I had received news that the influenza was raging with particular virulence in her part of the world, and I had expressed my lively fears to her about it. Since then I had been out of touch with her. Some months later I received news that she had fallen a victim to the epidemic a fortnight before the episode I have described!'

(31) The next example of a slip of the tongue throws a flash of light on one of those painful conflicts which fall to the lot of a doctor. A man whose illness was in all probability a fatal one, though the diagnosis had not as yet been confirmed, had come to Vienna to await the solution of his problem, and had begged a friend whom he had known since his youth, and who had

2 ['Wir könnten ins Grab sinken'—'we could sink in the grave'. The order of the words, on which the present point turns, is different in English and in German.]
become a well-known physician, to undertake his treatment. This the friend had with some reluctance finally agreed to do. It was intimated that the sick man should stay in a nursing home and the doctor proposed that it should be the 'Hera' sanatorium. 'Surely,' objected the patient, 'that is a home for a special type of case only (a maternity home).' 'Oh no!' replied the doctor hastily, 'in the “Hera” they can umbringen [put an end to]—I mean, unterbringen [take in]—every type of patient.' He then violently disputed the interpretation of his slip. 'Surely you won't believe I have hostile impulses against you?' A quarter of an hour later, as the doctor was going out with the lady who had undertaken to nurse the invalid, he said: 'I can't find anything, and I still don't believe in it. But if it should be so, I am in favour of a strong dose of morphia and a peaceful finish.' It emerged that his friend had stipulated that he (the doctor) should shorten his sufferings by means of a drug as soon as it was confirmed that he was past helping. Thus the doctor had in fact undertaken to put an end to his friend.

(32) Here is a quite especially instructive slip of the tongue which I should not like to omit, although according to my authority it is some twenty years old. 'A lady once advanced the following opinion at a social gathering—and the words show that they were uttered with fervour and under the pressure of a host of secret impulses: “Yes, a woman must be pretty if she is to please men. A man is much better off; as long as he has his five straight [fünf gerade] limbs he needs nothing more!” This example allows us a good view of the intimate mechanism of a slip of the tongue that results from condensation or from a contamination (cf. p. 54). It is plausible to suppose that we have here a fusion of two turns of phrase with similar meanings:

- as long as he has his four straight limbs
- as long as he has his five wits about him.

Or the element straight [“gerade”] may have been common to two intended expressions which ran:

- as long as he has his straight limbs
- to treat all five(s) as even numbers

---

1 [This example was added in 1910.]
2 [“Alle fünf gerade sein lassen.” The German “gerade” means both “straight” and “even”. The meaning of the phrase, literally translated in the text, is: ‘To close one’s eyes to irregularities.’]
SLIPS OF THE TONGUE

'There is nothing in fact to prevent us from assuming that both turns of phrase, the one about his five wits and the one about 'the even number five', played their separate parts in causing first a number, and then the mysterious five instead of the simple four, to be introduced into the sentence dealing with the straight limbs. But this fusion would certainly not have come about if, in the form that appeared in the slip of the tongue, it had not had a good meaning of its own—one expressing a cynical truth which could not of course be admitted to undisguised, coming as it did from a woman.—Finally we should not omit to draw attention to the fact that the lady's remark, as worded, could pass just as well for a capital joke as for an amusing slip of the tongue. It is simply a question of whether she spoke the words with a conscious or an unconscious intention. In our case the way the speaker behaved certainly ruled out any notion of conscious intention and excluded the idea of its being a joke.'

How closely a slip of the tongue can approximate to a joke is shown in the following case, reported by Rank (1913), in which the woman responsible for the slip actually ended by herself treating it as a joke and laughing at it.

(33) 'A recently married man, whose wife was concerned about preserving her girlish appearance and only with reluctance allowed him to have frequent sexual intercourse, told me the following story which in retrospect both he and his wife found extremely funny. After a night in which he had once again disobeyed his wife's rule of abstinence, he was shaving in the morning in the bedroom which they shared, while his wife was still in bed; and, as he had often done to save trouble, he made use of his wife's powder-puff which was lying on the bedside table. His wife, who was extremely concerned about her complexion, had several times told him not to, and therefore called out angrily: "There you go again, powdering me [mit euch] with your [deiner] puff?" Her husband's laughter drew her attention to her slip (she had meant to say: "you are powdering yourself [dich] again with my [meiner] puff") and she herself ended by joining in his laughter. "To powder" ['pudern'] is an expression familiar to every Viennese for "to copulate"; and a powder-puff is an obvious phallic symbol.'

1 [This paragraph and Example 33 were added in 1917.]

Es hindert uns auch nichts anzunehmen, daß beide Redensarten, die von den fünf Simon und die von den geraden fünf mitgewirkt haben, um in den Satz von den geraden Gliedern zunächst eine Zahl und dann die geheimsinnige fünf anstatt der simpel vier einzuführen. Diese Verschmelzung wäre aber gewiß nicht erfolgt, wenn sie nicht in der als Versprechen resultierenden Form einen eigenen guten Sinn hätte, den einer synischen Wahrheit, wie sie von einer Frau allerdings nicht ohne Bemerkung bekannt werden darf. Endlich wollen wir nicht versüßen, aufmerksam zu machen, daß die Rede der Dame ihrem Wortlaut nach ebenso wohl einen vortrefflichen Witz wie ein lustiges Versprechen bedeuten kann. Es hängt nur davon ab, ob sie diese Worte mit bewußter Absicht oder mit unbewußter Absicht gesprochen hat. Das Benehmen der Rednerin in unserem Falle widerlegte allerdings die bewußte Absicht und schloß den Witz aus."

Die Annäherung eines Versprechens an einen Witz kann so weit gehen wie in dem von O. Rank mitgeteilten Falle, in dem die Urheberin des Versprechens es schließlich selbst als Witz belacht:

53) „Ein jung verheirateter Ehemann, dem seine um ihr mädchenhaftes Aussehen besorgte Frau den häufigen Geschlechtsverkehr nur ungern gestattet, erzählte mir folgende, nachträglich auch ihn und seine Frau höchst belustigende Geschichte: Nach einer Nacht, in welcher er das Abstinentgebung seiner Frau wieder einmal übertreten hat, rasiert er sich morgens in ihrem gemeinsamen Schlafzimmer und benutzt dabei — wie schon öfter aus Bequemlichkeit — die auf dem Nachtkästchen liegende Pu'derquaste seiner noch ruhenden Gattin. Die um ihren Teint äußerst besorgte Dame hatte ihm auch dies schon mehrmals verwiesen und ruft ihm darum geärgert zu: „Du puderst mich ja schon wieder mit deiner Quaste!“ Durch des Mannes Gelächter auf ihr Versprechen aufmerksam gemacht (sie wollte sagen: du puderst dich schon wieder mit deiner Quaste), lacht sie schließlich belustigt mit (pudern' ist ein jedem Wiener geläufiger Ausdruck für körnieren, die Quaste als phalliches Symbol kann zweifelhaft)."

(34) In the following example, too—supplied by Storfer—it might be thought that a joke was intended:

Frau B., who was suffering from an affection of obviously psychogenic origin, was repeatedly recommended to consult a psycho-analyst, Dr. X. She persistently declined to do so, saying that such treatment could never be of any value, as the doctor would wrongly trace everything back to sexual things. A day finally came, however, when she was ready to follow the advice, and she asked: 'Nun gut, wann ordinärt also dieser Dr. X.?'

(35) The connection between jokes and slips of the tongue is also shown in the fact that in many cases a slip of the tongue is nothing other than an abbreviation:

On leaving school, a girl had followed the ruling fashion of the time by taking up the study of medicine. After a few terms she had changed over from medicine to chemistry. Some years later she described her change of mind in the following words: 'I was not on the whole squeamish about dissecting, but when I once had to pull the finger-nails off a dead body, I lost my pleasure in the whole of—chemistry.'

(36) At this point I insert another slip of the tongue which it needs little skill to interpret. In an anatomy lesson the professor was endeavouring to explain the nasal cavities, which are notoriously a very difficult department of enterology. When he asked whether his audience had understood his presentation of the subject, he received a general reply in the affirmative. Thereupon the professor, who was known for his high opinion of himself, commented: 'I can hardly believe that, since, even in Vienna with its millions of inhabitants, those who understand the nasal cavities can be counted on one finger, I mean on the fingers of one hand.'

(37) On another occasion the same professor said: 'In the

[Added in 1924.]

[What she meant to say was: 'All right, then, when does this Dr. X. have his consulting hours?' She should have used the word 'ordinärt' for 'has his consulting hours'. Instead she said 'ordinärt', which is a non-existent word. 'Ordinärt', however, means 'common', 'vulgar'.]

[Added in 1920.]

[This example and the following one (No. 37) were added in 1912. They were quoted by Freud in the third and second of his Introductory Lectures (1916-17).]
SLIPS OF THE TONGUE

case of the female genitals, in spite of many Versuchungen [experiments]—I beg you pardon, Versuche [experiments]...

(38) I am indebted to Dr. Alfred Robitsék of Vienna for drawing my attention to two slips of the tongue which were recorded by an old French writer. I reproduce them without translating them:

Brantôme (1527-1614), Vies des Dames galantes, Discours second: “Si ay-je cogneu une très-belle et honneste dame de par le monde, qui, devisant avec un honneste gentilhomme de la cour des affaires de la guerre durant ces civiles, elle luy dit: "J’ay ouy dire que le roy a fait rompre tous les c... de ce pays là." Elle voulloit dire les ponts. Pensez que, venant de coucher d’avec son mary, ou songeant à son amant, elle avoit encore ce nom frais en la bouche; et le gentilhomme s’en eschauffa en amours d’elle pour ce mot.”

‘Une autre dame que j’ai cogneu, entretenant une autre grand’ dame plus qu’elle, et luy louant et exaltant ses beautez, elle luy dit après: “Non, madame, ce que je vous en dis, ce n’est point pour vous adulater”; voulant dire adulater, comme elle le rhahilla ainsi: pensez qu’elle songeot à adulater.”

(39) There are of course more modern examples as well of sexual doubles entendres originating in a slip of the tongue. Frau F. was describing her first hour in a language course. ‘It is very interesting; the teacher is a nice young Englishman. In the very first hour he gave me to understand “durch die Bluse” [through the blouse]—I mean, “durch die Blume” [literally,


Brantôme (1527-1614) Vies des Dames galantes, Discours second: “Si ay-je cogneu une très belle et honneste dame de par le monde, qui, devisant avec un honneste gentilhomme de la cour des affaires de la guerre durant ces civiles, elle luy dit: J’ay ouy dire que le roy a fait rompre tous les c... de ce pays là. Elle voulloit dire les ponts. Pensez que, venant de coucher d’avec son mary, ou songeant à son amant, elle avoit encore ce nom frais en la bouche; et le gentilhomme s’en eschauffa en amours d’elle pour ce mot.”

‘Une autre dame que j’ai cogneu, entretenant une autre grand’ dame plus qu’elle, et luy louant et exaltant ses beautez, elle luy dit après: “Non, madame, ce que je vous en dis, ce n’est point pour vous adulater”; voulant dire adulater, comme elle le rhahilla ainsi: pensez qu’elle songeot à adulater.”

39) Es gibt natürlich auch modernere Beispiele für die Entstehung sexueller Zweideutigkeiten durch Versprechen: Frau F. erzählt über ihre erste Stunde in einem Sprachkurs: „Es ist ganz interessant, der Lehrer ist ein netter junger Engländer. Er hat mir gleich in der ersten Stunde durch die Bluse (korrigiert sich:
‘through flowers’, i.e. ‘indirectly’] that he would rather take me for individual tuition.’ (From Storfer.)

In the psychotherapeutic procedure\(^1\) which I employ for resolving and removing neurotic symptoms I am very often faced with the task of discovering, from the patient’s apparently casual utterances and associations, a thought-content which is at pains to remain concealed but which cannot nevertheless avoid unintentionally betraying its existence in a whole variety of ways. Slips of the tongue often perform a most valuable service here, as I could show by some highly convincing and at the same time very singular examples. Thus, for instance, a patient will be speaking of his aunt and, without noticing the slip, will consistently call her ‘my mother’; or another will refer to her husband as her ‘brother’. In this way they draw my attention to the fact that they have ‘identified’ these persons with one another—that they have put them into a series which implies a recurrence of the same type of words: \( ^2 \) a young man of twenty introduced himself to me during my consulting hours in these words: ‘I am the father of So-and-so who came to you for treatment. I beg your pardon, I meant to say I am his brother: he is four years older than I am.’ I inferred that he intended this slip to express the view that, like his brother, he had fallen ill through the fault of his father; that, like his brother, he wished to be cured; but that his father was the one who most needed to be cured.—At other times an arrangement of words that sounds unusual, or an expression that seems forced, is enough to reveal that a repressed thought is participating in the patient’s remarks, which had a different end in view.

What I find, therefore, both in grosser disturbances of speech and in those more subtle ones which can still be subsumed under the heading of ‘slips of the tongue’, is that it is not the influence of the ‘contact effects of the sounds’ \([p. 61]\) but the influence of thoughts that lie outside the intended speech which determines the occurrence of the slip and provides an adequate explanation of the mistake. It is not my wish to throw doubt on the laws governing the way in which sounds

\(^1\) [Except where otherwise indicated, the whole of what follows down to p. 85 dates back to 1901.]

\(^2\) [This example was inserted in 1907.]
modify one another; but by themselves these laws do not seem to me to be sufficiently effective to disturb the process of correct speaking. In the cases that I have studied and explored in some detail these laws represent no more than the preformed mechanism which a more remote psychical motive makes use of for its convenience, though without becoming subject to the sphere of influence of these [phonetic] relations. In a large number of substitutions [p. 54] resulting from slips of the tongue such phonetic laws are completely disregarded. In this respect I find myself in full agreement with Wundt, who assumes as I do that the conditions governing slips of the tongue are complex and extend far beyond the contact effects of the sounds.

If I accept these ‘remoter psychical influences’ (as Wundt calls them [cf. above, p. 60]) as established, there is nothing, on the other hand, to prevent me at the same time from allowing that, in situations where speaking is hurried and attention is to some extent diverted, the conditions governing slips of the tongue may easily be confined within the limits defined by Meringer and Mayer. For some of the examples collected by these authors a more complicated explanation nevertheless seems more plausible. Take, for instance, one of those quoted above [pp. 55-4]: ‘Es war mir auf der Schwester... Brust so schwer.’

Was what happened here simply that the sound ‘schwe’ forced back the equally valent sound ‘bru’ by ‘anticipating’ it? The idea can hardly be dismissed that the sounds making up ‘schwe’ were further enabled to obtrude in this manner because of a special relation. That could only be the association Schwester [sister]—Bruder [brother]; perhaps also Brust der Schwester [sister’s breast], which leads one on to other groups of thoughts. It is this invisible helper behind the scenes which lends the otherwise innocent ‘schwe’ the strength to produce a mistake in speaking.

There are other slips of the tongue where we may assume that the true disturbing factor is some similarity in sound to obscene words and meanings. Deliberate distortion and deformation of words and expressions, which is so dear to vulgar minds, has the sole purpose of exploiting innocent occasions for hinting at forbidden topics; and this playing with words is so frequent

1 [i.e. may be confined to phonetic factors. Cf. p. 53.]

V. Das Versprechen


Wenn ich diese „entfernteren psychischen Einflüsse“ nach Wundts Ausdruck für gesichert halte, so weiß ich anderseits von keiner Abhaltung auch zuzugeben, daß bei beschleunigter Rede und einigermaßen abgelenkter Aufmerksamkeit die Bedingungen für Versprechen sich leicht auf das von Meringer und Mayer bestimmte Maß einschränken können. Bei einem Teile der von diesen Autoren gesammelten Beispiele ist wohl eine kompliziertere Auflösung wahrscheinlicher. Ich greife etwa den vorhin angeführten Fall heraus:

Es war mir auf der Schwester...

Brust so schwer.


Für anderes Versprechen läßt sich annehmen, daß der Anklang an obszöne Worte und Bedeutungen das eigentlich Störende ist. Die absichtliche Erstellung und Verzerrung der Worte und Redensarten, die bei unartigen Menschen so beliebt ist, bezweckt nichts anderes, als beim harmlosen Anlaß an das Verpönte zu mahnen, und diese Spielerei ist so häufig,
that there would be nothing remarkable in its occurring even when not intended and against one's wishes. To this category no doubt belong such examples as Eisescheibchen (for Eisescheibeich), Apoos Fritz (for a propos), Lokuskapitil (for Lotuskapitil), etc.; and perhaps also the Alabasterbuche (Alabasterbuchse) of St. Mary Magdalen. — Ilich fordere Sie auf, auf das Wohl unseres Chefs aufzustoßen [see p. 54] can hardly be anything other than an unintentional parody which is a perseveration of an intended one. If I were the Principal who was being

1 [A meaningless term (literally: 'egg-shit-female'), for 'small slices of white of egg'.]
2 ['Aposos' is a non-existent word; but 'Popo' is the nursery word for 'buttocks'.]
3 [A meaningless term, literally: 'W. C. capital', for 'lotus capital', an architectural term]
4 [A non-existent word (though the middle part of it 'Büste' means 'breast'), for 'alabaster box'.]

Making slips of the tongue was a symptom of a woman patient of mine which persisted until it was traced back to the childhood joke of repeating the syllables 'ruin' [ruin] by 'urinieren' [urinate]. [Added 1924] The temptation to employ the artifice of a slip of the tongue for enabling improper and forbidden words to be freely used is justly drawn from the analogy between Abraham's observations on paraprases [with an overcompensating purpose] (Abraham, 1922a). A woman patient was very liable to duplicate the first syllable of proper names by stammering. She changed the name 'Protagoras' to 'Protarogas', shortly after having said 'A-alexander' instead of 'Alexander'. Inquiry revealed that in childhood she had been especially fond of the vulgar joke of repeating the syllables 'a' and 'po' when they occurred at the beginnings of words, a form of amusement which quite commonly leads to stammering in children. ['A-a' and 'Popo' are the German nursery words for 'fliers' and 'buttocks'.] On approaching the name 'Protagoras' she became aware of the risk that she might omit the 'r' in the first syllable and say 'Po-potagoras'. As a protection against this danger she held on firmly to this 'r', and inserted another 'r' in the second syllable. She acted in the same way on other occasions, distorting the words 'Parteree [ground floor]' and 'Kondolenz [condolence]' so as to avoid 'Pater (father)' and 'Kondom [condom]' which were closely linked to them in her associations. Another of Abraham's patients confessed to an inclination to say 'Angora' every time for 'angina'—very probably because of a fear of being tempted to replace 'angina' by 'vagina'. These slips of the tongue owed their existence therefore to the fact that a defensive trend had retained the upper hand instead of the distorting one; and Abraham justly draws attention to the analogy between this process and the formation of symptoms in obsessional neurosis.

honoured at the ceremony to which the speaker contributed this slip, I should probably reflect on the cleverness of the Romans in permitting the soldiers of a general who was enjoying a Triumph openly to express in the form of satirical songs their inner criticisms of the man who was being honoured.—Meringer relates that he himself once said to someone, who by reason of being the eldest member of the company was addressed familiarly by the honorific title of 'Senex' or 'alter [old] Senex': 'Prost [Your health!], Senex altisius!' He was himself shocked at this mistake (Meringer and Mayer, 1895, 50). We can perhaps interpret his emotion if we reflect how close 'Altes' comes to the insulting phrase 'alter Esel' ['old ass']. There are powerful internal punishments for any breach of the respect due to age (that is, reduced to childhood terms, of the respect due to the father).

I hope that readers will not overlook the difference in value between these interpretations, of which no proof is possible, and the examples that I have myself collected and explained by means of an analysis. But if I still secretly cling to my expectation that even apparently simple slips of the tongue could be traced to interference by a half-suppressed idea that lies outside the intended context, I am tempted to do so by an observation of Meringer's which is highly deserving of attention. This author says that it is a curious fact that no one is ready to admit having made a slip of the tongue. There are some very sensible and honest people who are offended if they are told they have made one. I would not venture to put it so generally as does Meringer in saying 'no one'. But the trace of affect which follows the revelation of the slip, and which is clearly in the nature of shame, has a definite significance. It may be compared to the annoyance we feel when we cannot recall a forgotten name [p. 9], and to our surprise at the tenacity of an apparently indifferent memory [p. 45]; and it invariably indicates that some motive has contributed to the occurrence of the interference.

The twisting round of a name when it is intentional amounts to an insult; and it might well have the same significance in a whole number of cases where it appears in the form of an

---

1 [This is the affectionate Austrian form of diminutive applied to the Latin 'seces', 'old man']

V. Das Versprechen


Ich hoffe, die Leser werden den Wertunterschied dieser Deutungen, die sich durch nichts beweisen lassen, und der Beispiele, die ich selbst gesammelt und durch Analysen erläutert habe, nicht vernachlässigen. Wenn ich aber im stillen immer noch an der Erwartung festhalte, auch die scheinbar einfachen Fälle von Versprechen würden sich auf Störung durch eine halb unterdrückte Idee außerhalb des intendierten Zusammenhanges zurückführen lassen, so verlockt mich dazu eine sehr beachtenswerte Bemerkung von Meringer. Dieser Autor sagt, es ist merkwürdig, daß niemand sich versprochen haben will. Es gibt sehr gescheite und ehrliche Menschen, welche beleidigt sind, wenn man ihnen sagt, sie hätten sich versprochen. Ich getraue mich nicht, diese Behauptung so allgemein zu nehmen, wie sie durch das „niemand“ von Meringer hingestellt wird. Die Spur Affekt aber, die am Nachweis des Versprechens hängt und offenbar von der Natur des Schämens ist, hat ihre Bedeutung. Sie ist gleichzusetzen dem Ärger, wenn wir einen vergessenen Namen nicht erinnern, und der Verwunderung über die Haltbarkeit einer scheinbar belanglosen Erinnerung und weist allemal auf die Beteiligung eines Motivs am Zustandekommen der Störung hin.

Das Verdrehen von Namen entspricht einer Schmähung, wenn es absichtlich geschieht, und dürfte in einer ganzen Reihe von Fällen, wo es als unabsichtliches Versprechen auftritt, dieselbe
unintentional slip of the tongue. The person who, as Mayer reports, said "Freuder" on one occasion instead of "Freud" because he had shortly before mentioned Breuer's name (Meringer and Mayer, 1895, 38), and who another time spoke of the "Freuer-Breudian" method of treatment (ibid., 28), was probably a professional colleague—and one who was not particularly enthusiastic about that method. In the chapter below on slips of the pen I shall report an instance of the distortion of a name which certainly cannot be explained in any other way [p. 117].

1. [Footnote added 1907:] It can in fact be observed that members of the aristocracy in particular are prone to distort the names of the doctors they consult. We may conclude from this that inwardly they despise them, in spite of the courtesy they habitually show them. — [Added 1912:] I quote here some pertinent observations on the forgetting of names which come from an account of our subject written in English by Dr. Ernest Jones, at that time in Toronto (Jones, 1911a [488]):

"Few people can avoid feeling a twinge of resentment when they find that their name has been forgotten, particularly if it is by some one with whom they had hoped or expected it would be remembered. They instinctively realize that if they had made a greater impression on the person's mind he would certainly have remembered them again, for the name is an integral part of the personality. Similarly, few things are more flattering to most people than to find themselves addressed by name by a great personage where they could hardly have anticipated it. Napoleon, like most leaders of men, was a master of this art. In the midst of the disastrous Campaign of France, in 1814, he gave an amazing proof of his memory in this direction. When in a town near Craonne he recollected that he had met the mayor, De Bussy, over twenty years ago in the La Fère regiment; the delighted De Bussy at once threw himself into his service with extraordinary zeal. Conversely there is no surer way of affronting some one than by pretending to forget his name; the insinuation is thus conveyed that the person is so unimportant in our eyes that we cannot be bothered to remember his name. This device is often exploited in literature. In Turgenev's Smoke the following passage occurs. "So you still find Baden entertaining, M'sieu—Litvinov." Ratmiovo always uttered Litvinov's surname with hesitation, every time, as though he had forgotten it, and could not at once recall it. In this way, as well as by the lofty flourish of his hat in saluting him, he meant to insult his pride." The same author in his Fathers and Sons writes: "The Governor invited Kisarow and Bazarov to his ball, and within a few minutes invited them a second time, regarding them as brothers, and calling them Kisarow." Here the forgetting that he had spoken to them, the mistake in the names, and the inability to distinguish between the two young men, constitute a cul-


In these cases the disturbing factor which intervenes is a criticism which has to be set aside since at the moment it does not correspond to the speaker's intention.

Conversely, replacing one name by another, assuming someone else's name, identification by means of a slip over a name, must signify an appreciative feeling which has for some reason to remain in the background for the time being. An experience of this kind from his schooldays is described by Sándor Ferenczi:

'When I was in the first form at the Gymnasium [Secondary School] I had, for the first time in my life, to recite a poem in public (i.e. in front of the whole class). I was well prepared and was dismayed at being interrupted at the very start by a burst of laughter. The teacher subsequently told me why I had met with this strange reception. I gave the title of the poem "Aus der Ferne [From Afar]" quite correctly, but instead of attributing it to its real author I gave my own name. The poet's name is Alexander (Sándor [in Hungarian]) Petöfi. The exchange of names was helped by our having the same first name; but the real cause was undoubtedly the fact that at that time I identified myself in my secret wishes with the celebrated hero-poet. Even consciously my love and admiration for him bordered on idolatry. The whole wretched ambition-complex is of course to be found as well behind this parapraxis.'

A similar identification by means of an exchange of names was reported to me by a young doctor. He had timidly and reverently introduced himself to the famous Virchow as 'Dr. Virchow'. The professor turned to him in surprise and asked: 'Ah! is your name Virchow too?' I do not know how the ambitious young man justified the slip of the tongue he had made—whether he relied upon the flattering excuse that he felt himself so small beside the great name that his own could not fail to slip away from him, or whether he had the courage to admit that he hoped one day to become as great a man as Virchow, and to beg the Professor not to treat him so contemptuously on that account. One of these two thoughts—or
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From motives of an extremely personal nature I must leave it open whether a similar interpretation is applicable to the following case as well. At the International Congress at Amsterdam in 1907 my theory of hysteria was the subject of lively discussion.\(^1\) In a diatribe against me one of my most vigorous opponents repeatedly made slips of the tongue which took the form of putting himself in my place and speaking in my name. For example, he said: 'It is well known that Breuer and I have proved . . . where he could only have meant ' . . . Breuer and Freud . . . My opponent's name bears not the least resemblance to my own. This example, together with many other cases where a slip of the tongue results in one name replacing another, may serve to remind us that such slips can entirely dispense with the assistance afforded by similarity in sound [cf. p. 81] and can come about with no more support than is provided by hidden factors in the subject-matter.

In other, far more significant, cases\(^2\) it is self-criticism, internal opposition to one's own utterance, that obliges one to make a slip of the tongue and even to substitute the opposite of what one had intended. One then observes in astonishment how the wording of an assertion cancels out its own intention, and how the slip has exposed an inner insincerity.\(^3\) The slip of the tongue here becomes a mode of mimetic expression—often, indeed, for the expression of something one did not wish to say: it becomes a mode of self-betrayal. This was the case, for instance, when a man who did not care for what is called normal sexual intercourse in his relations with women broke into a conversation about a girl who was said to be a flirt, [kokett] with the words: 'If she had to do with me, she'd soon

---

\(^1\) The First International Congress of Psychiatry and Neurology Amsterdam, September, 1907. The 'opponent' was Aschaffenburg. See Jones (1955, 126).

\(^2\) The first part of this paragraph, down to the words 'mode of mimetic expression', dates back to 1901. The next two and a half sentences were added in 1907, and the final section (beginning: 'Or take the following case') in 1920.

\(^3\) Slips of the tongue of this type are used, for instance, by Anzengruber [the Viennese dramatist (1839-93)] in his Der G'wissenswurm to expose the character of the hypocritical legacy-hunter.
give up her koettieren [a non-existent word]. It is no doubt that it can only have been another word, namely 'koitten' [to have coitus], whose influence was responsible for making this change in the word that was intended, 'kokettieren' [to flirt, coquette].—Or take the following case: 'We have an uncle who for months past had been very much offended because we never visited him. We took his move to a new house as an occasion for paying him a long overdue visit. He seemed very glad to see us, and as we were leaving he said with much feeling: 'I hope from now on I shall see you still more seldom than in the past.'

When the linguistic material happens to be favourable, it often causes slips of the tongue to occur which have the positively shattering result of a revelation, or which produce the full comic effect of a joke.—This is the case in the following example observed and reported by Dr. Reitler:

"That smart new hat—1 suppose you 'aufgepätscht' [instead of 'aufgeputzt' (trimmed)] it yourself?" said one lady in a voice of admiration to another. She could proceed no further with her intended praise; for the criticism she had silently felt that the hat's trimmings ['Hutauflugt'] were a 'Patzerei [botch]' had been indicated much too clearly by the unfriendly slip of the tongue for any further phrases of conventional admiration to sound convincing.

The criticism contained in the following example is milder but none the less unambiguous:

'A lady who was visiting an acquaintance became very

das Koëttieren schon abgewöhnt. Kein Zweifel, daß es nur das andere Wort koëttieren sein kann, dessen Einwirkung auf das intendierte kokettieren solche Abänderung zuzu schreiben ist. Oder im folgenden Falle: „Wir haben einen Onkel, der schon seit Monaten sehr beleidigt ist, weil wir ihn nie besucht. Den Umzug in eine neue Wohnung nehmen wir zum Anlaß, um nach langer Zeit einmal bei ihm zu erscheinen. Er freut sich anscheinend sehr mit uns und sagt beim Abschied so recht gefühlvoll: 'Von nun an hoffe ich euch noch seltener zu sehen als bisher.'"

Die zufällige Gunst des Sprachmaterials läßt oft Beispiele von Versprechen entstehen, denen die gerade nieder schmetternde Wirkung einer Enthüllung oder der volle komische Effekt eines Witzes zukommt.

So in nachstehendem von Dr. Reitler beobachteten und mitgeteilten Falle:

"Diesen neuen, reizenden Hut haben Sie wohl selbst aufgepätscht?" sagte eine Dame in bewunderndem Tone zu einer anderen. — Die Fortsetzung des beabsichtigten Lobes mußte nunmehr unterbleiben; denn die im stillen geübte Kritik, der Hutaufputz sei eine 'Patzerei', hatte sich doch viel zu deutlich in dem unlästigen Versprechen gekübert, als daß irgendwelche Phrasen konventioneller Bewunderung noch glaubwürdig erscheinen wären.

Milder, aber doch auch unzweideutig ist die Kritik in folgendem Beispiel:

"Eine Dame machte bei einer Bekannten einen Besuch und
impatient and weary at tortedious and long-winded conversation. When at last she succeeded in tearing herself away and taking her leave she was detained by a fresh deluge of words from her companion, who had meanwhile accompanied her into the front hall and now forced her, as she was on the very point of departing, to stand at the door and listen once more. At last she interrupted her hostess with the question: "Are you at home in the front hall [Vorzimmer]?" It was not till she saw the other's astonished face that she noticed her slip of the tongue. Weary of being kept standing so long in the front hall she had meant to break off the conversation by asking: "Are you at home in the mornings [Vormittag]," and her slip betrayed her impatience at the further delay.

The next example,¹ which was witnessed by Dr. Max Graf, is a warning that one should keep a watch on oneself.

"At the General Meeting of the "Concordia", the Society of Journalists, a young member who was invariably hard-up made a violently aggressive speech, and in his excitement spoke of the "Vorschussmitglieder [lending members]" (instead of "Vorstandsmitglieder [officers]" or "Ausschussmitglieder [committee members]"). The latter have the authority to sanction loans, and the young speaker had in fact put in an application for a loan.

We have seen² from the example of "Vorschwein" [p. 57] that a slip of the tongue can easily occur if an effort has been made to suppress insulting words. In this way one gives vent to one's feelings:

A photographer who had made a resolution to refrain from zoological terms in dealing with his clumsy employees, addressed an apprentice—who tried to empty out a large dish that was full to the brim and in doing so naturally split half the contents on the floor—in the following words: 'But, man, schöp sen Sie some of it off first.' And soon after this, in the course of a tirade against a female assistant who had nearly spoilt a loan.'

The word 'schiipsen Sist,' which is usually spelt with the 's,' never has the 'ch' sound in German, and the young speaker had in fact put in an application for a loan.

¹ [This was added in 1907; it was later quoted by Freud in the third of his Introductory Lectures (1916-17).]
² [This and the following paragraph were added in 1920.]
dozen valuable plates by her carelessness, he said: 'Are you so hornverbrannt...?'

The next example shows how a slip of the tongue resulted in a serious self-betrayal. Certain details in it justify its repetition in full from the account given by Brill in the *Zeit,analblatt f"ur Psychoanalyse*, Volume II.

'I went for a walk one evening with Dr. Frink, and we discussed some of the business of the New York Psychoanalytic Society. We met a colleague, Dr. R., whom I had not seen for years and of whose private life I knew nothing. We were very pleased to meet again, and on my invitation he accompanied us to a café, where we spent two hours in lively conversation. He seemed to know some details about me, for after the usual greetings he asked after my small child and told me that he heard about me from time to time from a mutual friend and had been interested in my work ever since he had read about it in the medical press. To my question as to whether he was married he gave a negative answer, and added: 'Why should a man like me marry?'

'On leaving the café, he suddenly turned to me and said: 'I should like to know what you would do in a case like this: I know a nurse who was named as co-respondent in a divorce case. The wife sued the husband and named her as co-respondent, and he got the divorce.' I interrupted him, saying: 'You mean she got the divorce.' He immediately corrected himself, saying: 'Yes, of course, she got the divorce'; and continued to tell how the nurse had been so affected by the divorce proceedings and the scandal that she had taken to drink, had become very nervous, and so on; and he wanted me to advise him how to treat her.

1 [Here he meant to say 'hornverbrannt', 'idiotic', literally 'with your brain (Horn) burnt up'. The word he used instead, a non-existent term, would mean 'with your horn (Horn) burnt up'. The word 'Hornstiel', literally 'horned castle', is used in the sense of 'fool'.]

2 [Added in 1912.]

3 In the *Zeit,analblatt* the paper was ascribed in error to Ernest Jones. [The version given here is the one to be found in Brill (1912), slightly modified.]

4 In the German version of Brill's paper the following footnote appeared at this point: 'By our laws a divorce cannot be obtained unless it is proved that one party has committed adultery; and in fact the divorce is granted only to the innocent party.'

Dutzend wertvoller Platten gefährdet hat, im Fluß einer längeren Brandrede: 'Aber sind Sie denn so hornverbrannt...'

Das nachstehende Beispiel zeigt einen ernsthaften Fall von Selbstverrat durch Versprechen. Einige Nebenumstände berechtigen seine vollständige Wiedergabe aus der Mitteilung von A. A. Brill im "Zentralbl. f. Psychoanalyse", II. Jahrg. 3


'Beim Verlassen des Kaffe hauses wandte er sich plötzlich an mich: Ich möchte wissen, was Sie in folgendem Falle tun würden: Ich kenne eine Krankenpflegerin, die als Mitschuldige in einem Ehescheidungsprozeß verwickelt war. Die Ehefrau klagte ihren Mann auf Scheidung und bezeichnete die Pflegerin als Mitschuldige und er bekam die Scheidung.' — Ich unterbrach ihn, 'Sie wollen sagen, sie bekam die Scheidung.' — Er verbesserte sofort: 'Natürlich, sie bekam die Scheidung,' und erzählte weiter, daß die Pflegerin sich derart über den Prozeß und Skandal aufgeregt habe, daß sie zu trinken begann, schwer nervös wurde usw., und fragte mich um meinen Rat, wie er sie behandeln solle.'

1) Im "Zentralbl. f. Psychoanalyse" 1891 erschienen finde E. Jones zugeschrieben.

1) 'Nach unseren (amerikanischen) Gesetzen wird die Ehescheidung nur ausgesprochen, wenn bewiesen wird, daß eine Partei die Ehe gebrochen hat, und zwar wird die Scheidung nur dem betrofenen Teile gewährt.'
"As soon as I had corrected his mistake I asked him to explain it, but I received the usual surprised answers: had not everyone a right to make a slip of the tongue? it was only an accident, there was nothing behind it, and so on. I replied that there must be a reason for every mistake in speaking, and that, had he not told me earlier that he was unmarried, I would be tempted to suppose he himself was the hero of the story; for in that case the slip could be explained by his wish that he had obtained the divorce rather than his wife, so that he should not have (by our matrimonial laws) to pay alimony, and so that he could marry again in New York State. He stoutly denied my conjecture, but the exaggerated emotional reaction which accompanied it, in which he showed marked signs of agitation followed by laughter, only strengthened my suspicions. To my appeal that he should tell the truth in the interests of science, he answered: "Unless you wish me to lie you must believe that I was never married, and hence your psycho-analytic interpretation is all wrong."

He added that someone who paid attention to every triviality was positively dangerous. Then he suddenly remembered that he had another appointment and left us.

'Both Dr. Frink and I were still convinced that my interpretation of his slip of the tongue was correct, and I decided to corroborate or disprove it by further investigation. Some days later I visited a neighbour, an old friend of Dr. R., who was able to confirm my explanation in every particular. The divorce proceedings had taken place some weeks before, and the nurse was cited as co-respondent. Dr. R. is to-day thoroughly convinced of the correctness of the Freudian mechanisms.'

The self-betrayal is equally unmistakable in the following case, reported by Otto Rank:

'A father who was without any patriotic feelings, and who wished to educate his children so that they too should be free from what he regarded as a superfluous sentiment, was criticizing his sons for taking part in a patriotic demonstration; when they protested that their uncle had also taken part in it, he replied: "He is the one person you should not imitate: he is an idiot." On seeing his children's look of astonishment at their father's unusual tone, he realized that he had made a slip of the tongue, and added apologetically: "I meant to say 'patriot', of course."'

[Added in 1912.]
Here is a slip of the tongue which was interpreted as a self-betrayal by the other party to the conversation. It is reported by Stärcke, who adds a pertinent comment, though it goes beyond the task of interpreting the slip.

'A woman dentist promised her sister that she would have a look some time to see if there was Kontakt [contact] between two of her molars (that is, to see if the lateral surfaces of the molars were touching each other so that no fragments of food could lodge in between). Her sister finally complained about having to wait so long for this inspection, and jokingly said: "She's probably treating a colleague at the moment, but her sister has to go on waiting." The dentist eventually examined her, found there was in fact a small cavity in one of the molars, and said: "I didn't think it was in such a bad way—I thought it was merely that you had no Kontakt [ready money]—I mean Kontakt." "You see?" laughed her sister; "your greed is the only reason why you made me wait so much longer than your paying patients!"

'(Obviously I should not add my own associations to hers or base any conclusions on them, but when I heard of this slip of the tongue it at once sprang to my mind that these two pleasant and gifted young ladies are unmarried and have in fact very little to do with young men, and I asked myself whether they would have more contact with young people if they had more ready money.)' [Cf. Stärcke, 1916.]

In the following example, too, reported by Reik (1915), the slip of the tongue amounts to a self-betrayal:

'A girl was to become engaged to a young man whom she did not care for. To bring the two young people closer together, their parents arranged a meeting which was attended by the parties to the intended match. The young girl possessed sufficient self-control to prevent her suitor, who behaved in a very on-coming manner towards her, from detecting her antipathy to him. But when her mother asked her how she liked the young man, she answered politely: "Well enough. He's most liebenswürdig!"

[She intended to say 'liebenswürdig', 'agreeable' (literally 'worthy of love'). The word she actually used, 'liebenswürdig', would mean literally repelling to love.]
Equally self-revealing is the following, which Rank (1913) describes as a 'witty slip of the tongue'.

'A married woman, who enjoyed hearing anecdotes and who was said not to be altogether averse to extra-marital affairs if they were reinforced by adequate gifts, was told the following time-honoured story, not without design on his part, by a young man who was eager to obtain her favours. One of two business friends was trying to obtain the favours of his partner's somewhat prudish wife. In the end she consented to grant them to him in exchange for a present of a thousand gulden. When, therefore, her husband was about to start on a journey, his partner borrowed a thousand gulden from him and promised to pay them back next day to his wife. He then, of course, paid the sum to the wife, implying that it was the reward for her favours; and she supposed she had been caught at last when her friends were reinforced by adequate gifts, was told the following time-honoured story, not without design on his part, by a young man who was eager to obtain her favours.

When the young man reached the point in his story at which the seducer says: "I'll repay the money to your wife tomorrow", his listener interrupted with the highly revealing words: "Let me see, haven't you repaid me that—I'm sorry—I meant told me that already?" She could hardly have given a clearer indication, without actually putting it into words, of her willingness to offer herself on the same terms.

A good example* of this kind of self-betrayal, which did not lead to serious consequences, is reported by Tausk (1917) under the title of 'The Faith of our Fathers'. 'As my fiancée was a Christian', Herr A. related, 'and was unwilling to adopt the Jewish faith, I myself was obliged to be converted from Judaism to Christianity so that we could marry. I did not change my religion without some internal resistance, but I felt it was justified by the purpose behind it, the more so because it involved abandoning no more than an outward adherence to Judaism, not a religious conviction (which I had never had). Notwithstanding this, I always continued later on to acknowledge the fact of my being a Jew, and few of my acquaintances know I am baptized. I have two sons by this marriage, who were

---

1 [About £80 or $400.]
2 [There is no resemblance between the two German words for 'repaid' and 'told'.]
3 [Added in 1915.]
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given Christian baptism. When the boys were sufficiently old they were told of their Jewish background, so as to prevent them from being influenced by anti-semitic views at their school and from turning against their father for such a superfluous reason. Some years ago I and my children, who were then at their primary school, were staying with the family of a teacher at the summer resort in D. One day while we were sitting at tea with our otherwise friendly hosts, the lady of the house, who had no inkling of her summer guests' Jewish ancestry, launched some very sharp attacks on the Jews. I ought to have made a bold declaration of the facts in order to set my sons the example of "having the courage of one's convictions", but I was afraid of the unpleasant exchanges that usually follow an avowal of this sort. Besides, I was alarmed at the possibility of having to leave the good lodgings we had found and of thus spoiling my own and my children's any case limited holiday period, should our hosts' behaviour towards us take an unfriendly turn because of the fact that we were Jews. As however I had reason to expect that my sons, in their candid and ingenuous way, would betray the momentous truth if they heard any more of the conversation, I tried to get them to leave the company by sending them into the garden. I said: "Go into the garden, Juden [Jews]", quickly correcting it to "Jungen [youngsters]". In this way I enabled the "courage of my convictions" to be expressed in a parapraxis. The others did not in fact draw any conclusions from my slip of the tongue, since they attached no significance to it; but I was obliged to learn the lesson that the "faith of our fathers" cannot be disavowed with impunity if one is a son and has sons of one's own.

The effect produced by the following slip of the tongue, which I would not report had not the magistrate himself made a note of it for this collection during the court proceedings, is anything but innocent:

A soldier charged with housebreaking stated in evidence: "Up to now I've not been discharged from military Diebstellung; so at the moment I'm still in the army."

1 [Added in 1920.]
2 [He meant to say 'Dienststellung', 'service', literally 'service [Dienst] position [Stellung]'. Instead he said 'Diebstellung', which would mean literally 'thief position'.]
A slip of the tongue has a more cheering effect during psychoanalytic work, when it serves as a means of providing the doctor with a confirmation that may be very welcome to him if he is engaged in a dispute with the patient. I once had to interpret a patient's dream in which the name 'Jauner' occurred. The dreamer knew someone of that name, but it was impossible to discover the reason for it. I therefore ventured to suggest that it might be merely because of the name, which sounds like the term of abuse 'Gauner' [swindler]. My patient hastily and vigorously contested this; but in doing so he made a slip of the tongue which confirmed my guess, since he confused the same letters once more. His answer was: 'That seems to me too gewagt [instead of “gewagt (far-fetched)”].'

When I had drawn his attention to his slip, he accepted my interpretation.

If one of the parties involved in a serious argument makes a slip of the tongue which reverses the meaning of what he intended to say, it immediately puts him at a disadvantage with his opponent, who seldom fails to make the most of his improved position.

This makes it clear that people give slips of the tongue and other parapraxes the same interpretation that I advocate in this book, even if they do not endorse theoretically the view I put forward, and even if they are disinclined, so far as it applies to themselves, to renounce the convenience that goes along with tolerating parapraxes. The amusement and decision which such oral slips are certain to evoke at the crucial moment can be taken as evidence against what purports to be the generally accepted convention that a mistake in speaking is a lapsus linguae and of no psychological significance. It was no less a person than the German Imperial Chancellor Prince Bülow who protested on these lines in an effort to save the situation, when the wording of his speech in defence of his Emperor (in November, 1907) was given the opposite meaning by a slip of the tongue.

1 [This paragraph and the following one were added in 1907. The 'Jauner' slip was also quoted by Freud in his late paper on 'Constructions in Analysis' (1937a).]

2 [In vulgar speech, particularly in North Germany, 'g' at the beginning of a word is often pronounced like the German 'j' (English 'y') instead of like the hard English 'g'.]

3 [This paragraph and the two following ones were added in 1910.]
the tongue. 'As for the present, the new epoch of the Emperor Wilhelm II, I can only repeat what I said a year ago, namely that it would be unfair and unjust to speak of a coterie of responsible advisers round our Emperor . . . ' (loud cries of 'irresponsible') . . . irresponsible advisers. Forgive the lapsus linguae.' (Laughter.)

In this case, as a result of the accumulation of negatives, Prince Bülow's sentence was somewhat obscure; sympathy for the speaker and consideration for his difficult position prevented this slip from being put to any further use against him. A year later another speaker in the same place was not so fortunate. He wished to appeal for a demonstration with no reserves [rückhaltlos] in support of the Emperor, and in doing so was warned by a bad slip of the tongue that other emotions were to be found within his loyal breast. 'Lattmann (German National Party): On the question of the Address our position is based on the standing orders of the Reichstag. According to them the Reichstag is entitled to tender such an address to the Emperor. It is our belief that the united thoughts and wishes of the German people are bent on achieving a united demonstration in this matter as well, and if we can do so in a form that takes the Emperor's feelings fully into account, then we should do so spinelessly ["rückhaltlos"] as well.' (Loud laugh which continued for some minutes.) 'Gentlemen, I should have said not "rückhaltlos" but "rückhaltlos [unreservedly]"' (laughter), 'and at this difficult time even our Emperor accepts a manifestation by the people—one made without reserve—such as we should like to see.'

The [social-democratic paper] Vorwärts of November 12, 1908, did not miss the opportunity of pointing to the psychological significance of this slip of the tongue: 'Probably never before in any parliament has a member, through an involuntary self-accusation, characterized his own attitude and that of the parliamentary majority towards the Emperor so exactly as did the anti-Semitic Lattmann, when, speaking with solemn emotion on the second day of the debate, he slipped into an admission that he and his friends wished to express their opinion to the Emperor spinelessly. Loud laughter from all sides drowned the remaining words of this unhappy man, who

1 (Freud later alluded to this slip in the fourth of his Introductory Lectures (1916-17).)
It thought it necessary explicitly to stammer out by way of apology that he really meant "unreservedly," that he had assumed the positively uncanny characteristics of a prophecy. Early in 1923 there was a great stir in the world of finance when the very young banker X.—probably one of the newest of the "nouveaux riches" in W., and at any rate the richest and youngest—obtained possession, after a short struggle, of a majority of the shares of the —— Bank; and as a further consequence, a remarkable General Meeting took place at which the old directors of the bank, financiers of the old type, were not re-elected, and young X. became president of the bank. In the valedictory speech which the managing director Dr. Y. went on to deliver in honour of the old president, who had not been re-elected, a number of the audience noticed a distressing slip of the tongue which occurred again and again. He continually spoke of the expiring [dahinscheidend] president instead of the outgoing [ausseheidend] president. As it turned out, the old president, who was not re-elected, died a few days after this meeting. He was, however, over eighty years old! (From Storfer.)

A good example of a slip of the tongue—whose purpose is not so much to betray the speaker as to give the listener in the theatre his bearings, is to be found in [Schiller's] Wallenstein (Piccolomini, Act I, Scene 5); and it shows us that the dramatist, who here availed himself of this device, was familiar with the mechanism and meaning of slips of the tongue. In the preceding scene Max Piccolomini has ardently espoused the Duke's [Wallenstein's] cause, and has been passionately describing the blessings of peace, of which he has become aware on the course of a journey while escorting Wallenstein's daughter to the camp. As he leaves the stage, his father [Octavio] and Questenberg, the emissary from the court, are plunged in consternation.

**Scene 5 continues:**

**QUESTENBERG:** Alas, alas! and stands it so? What friend! and do we let him go away In this delusion—let him go away? Not call him back immediately, not open His eyes upon the spot?

---

1 [Added in 1924.] 2 [Added in 1907.]
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OCTAVIO (recovering himself out of a deep study)

He has now open’d mine,
And I see more than pleases me.

QUEST.
What is it?

OCT.
Curse on this journey!

QUEST.
But why so? What is it?

OCT.
Come, come along, friend! I must follow up.
The ominous track immediately. Mine eyes
Are open’d now, and I must use them. Come!
(Draws Q. on with him)

QUEST.
What now? Where go you then?

OCT. To her herself.

QUEST.
To—

OCT. (correcting himself) To the Duke. Come let us go.

[Cleridge’s translation.]

The small slip of saying ‘to her’ instead of ‘to him’ is meant
to reveal to us that the father has seen through his son’s motive
for espousing the Duke’s cause, while the courtier complains
that he is ‘talking absolute riddles’ to him.¹

Another example² in which a dramatist makes use of a slip
of the tongue has been discovered by Otto Rank (1910) in
Shakespeare. I quote Rank’s account:

‘A slip of the tongue occurs in Shakespeare’s Merchant of
Venice (Act III, Scene 2), which is from the dramatic point
of view extremely subtly motivated and which is put to brilliant
technical use. Like the slip in Wallenstein to which Freud has
drawn attention, it shows that dramatists have a clear under-
standing of the mechanism and meaning of this kind of para-
praxis and assume that the same is true of their audience.
Portia, who by her father’s will has been bound to the choice
of a husband by lot, has so far escaped all her unwelcome
suitors by a fortunate chance. Having at last found in Bassanio
the suitor who is to her liking, she has cause to fear that he too
will choose the wrong casket. She would very much like to tell
him that even so he could rest assured of her love; but she is
prevented by her vow. In this internal conflict the poet makes
her say to the suitor she favours:

I pray you tarry; pause a day or two,
Before you hazard; for, in choosing wrong,

¹ [Octavio realizes that his son’s motive springs from love of the
Duke’s daughter.—This example and the next were quoted by Freud in
the second of his Introductory Lectures (1916-17.)]
² [Added in 1912.]
I lose your company; therefore, forbear awhile:  
There's something tells me (but it is not love)  
I would not lose you . . .  

. . . I could teach you  
How to choose right, but then I am forsworn;  
So will I never be; so may you miss me;  
But if you do you'll make me wish a sin,  
That I have been forsworn. Beshrew your eyes,  
They have overlooked me, and divided me;  
One half of me is yours, the other half yours,—  
Mine own, I would say; but if mine, then yours,  
And so all yours.

The thing of which she wanted to give him only a very subtle hint, because she should really have concealed it from him altogether, namely, that even before he made his choice she was wholly his and loved him—it is precisely this that the poet, with a wonderful psychological sensitivity, causes to break through openly in her slip of the tongue; and by this artistic device he succeeds in relieving both the lover's unbearable uncertainty and the suspense of the sympathetic audience over the outcome of his choice.1

In view of the interest that is lent to our theory of slips of the tongue by support of this nature from great writers, I feel justified in citing a third such instance which has been reported by Ernest Jones* (1911b, 496):

In a recently published article Otto Rank drew our attention to a pretty instance of how Shakespeare caused one of his characters, Portia, to make a slip of the tongue which revealed her secret thoughts to an attentive member of the audience. I propose to relate a similar example from The Egoist, the masterpiece of the greatest English novelist, George Meredith. The plot of the novel is, shortly, as follows: Sir Willoughby Patterne, an aristocrat greatly admired by his circle, becomes engaged to a Miss Constantia Durham. She discovers in him an intense egoism, which he skilfully conceals from the world, and to escape the marriage she elopes with a Captain Oxford. Some

years later Patterne becomes engaged to a Miss Clara Middleton, and most of the book is taken up with a detailed description of the conflict that arises in her mind on also discovering his egoism. External circumstances, and her conception of honour, hold her to her pledge, while he becomes more and more distasteful in her eyes. She partly confides in his cousin and secretary, Vernon Whitford, the man whom she ultimately marries; but from loyalty to Patterne and other motives he stands aloof.

"In a soliloquy about her sorrow Clara speaks as follows: 'If some noble gentleman could see me as I am and not disdain to aid me! Oh! to be caught out of this prison of thorns and brambles. I cannot tear my own way out. I am a coward. A beckoning of a finger would change me, I believe. I could fly bleeding and through hootings to a comrade... Constantia met a soldier. Perhaps she prayed and her prayer was answered. She did ill. But, oh, how I love her for it! His name was Harry Oxford... She did not waver, she cut the links, she signed herself over. Oh, brave girl, what do you think of me? But I have no Harry Whitford; I am alone...'. The sudden consciousness that she had put another name for Oxford struck her a buffet, drowning her in crimson.

"The fact that both men's names end in "ford" evidently renders the confounding of them more easy, and would by many be regarded as an adequate cause for this, but the real underlying motive for it is plainly indicated by the author. In another passage the same lapsus occurs, and is followed by the spontaneous hesitation and sudden change of subject that one is familiar with in psycho-analysis and in Jung's association experiments when a half-conscious complex is touched. Sir Willoughby patronisingly says of Whitford: 'False alarm. The resolution to do anything unaccustomed is quite beyond poor old Vernon.' Clara replies: 'But if Mr Oxford—Whitford... your swans, coming sailing up the lake, how beautiful they look when they are indignant! I was going to ask you, surely men

1 Note by the [German] translator [J. Theodor von Kalmár]: I had originally proposed to translate the English words 'beckoning of a finger' by 'leiser Wink' ['slight hint'] till I realized that by suppressing the word 'finger' I was robbing the sentence of a psychological subtlety.
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witnessing a marked admiration for someone else will naturally be discouraged." Sir Willoughby stiffened with sudden enlightenment."

"In still another passage, Clara by another lapsus betrays her secret wish that she was on a more intimate footing with Vernon Whitford. Speaking to a boy friend, she says: "Tell Mr. Vernon—tell Mr. Whitford."

The view* of slips of the tongue which is advocated here can meet the test even in the most trivial examples. I have repeatedly been able to show that the most insignificant and obvious errors in speaking have their meaning and can be explained in the same way as the more striking instances. A woman patient who was acting entirely against my wishes in planning a short trip to Budapest, but who was determined to have her own way, justified herself by telling me that she was going for only three days; but she made a slip of the tongue and actually said 'only three weeks'. She was betraying the fact that, to spite me, she would rather spend three weeks than three days there in the company which I considered unsuitable for her.—One evening I wanted to excuse myself for not having fetched my wife home from the theatre, and said: 'I was at the theatre at ten past ten.' I was corrected: 'You mean ten to ten.' Of course I meant ten to ten. After ten o'clock would have been no excuse. I had been told that the theatre bills said the performance ended before ten. When I reached the theatre I found the entrance-hall in darkness and the theatre empty. The performance had in fact ended earlier and my wife had not waited for me. When I looked at the clock it was only five when I got home and to say it had been ten...

* [This paragraph was added in 1907; the one which follows dates back to 1901.]

Footnote added 1920: Other instances of slips of the tongue which the writer intends to be taken as having a meaning and usually as being self-revealing can be found in Shakespeare's Richard II (Act II, Scene 2), and in Schiller's Don Carlos (Act. II, Scene 8; a slip made by Princess Eboli). There would doubtless be no difficulty in extending this list.
longer be described as slips of the tongue because what they affect is not the individual word but the rhythm and execution of a whole speech: disturbances like, for instance, stammering and stuttering caused by embarrassment. But here too, as in the former cases, it is a question of an internal conflict, which is betrayed to us by the disturbance in speech. I really do not think that anyone would make a slip of the tongue in an audience with his Sovereign, in a serious declaration of love or in defending his honour and name before a jury—in short, on all those occasions in which a person is heart and soul engaged. Even in forming an appreciation of an author's style we are permitted and accustomed to apply the same elucidatory principle which we cannot dispense with in tracing the origins of individual mistakes in speech. A clear and unambiguous manner of writing shows us that here the author is at one with himself; where we find a forced and involved expression which (to use an apt phrase) is aimed at more than one target, we may recognize the intervention of an insufficiently worked-out, complicating thought, or we may hear the stifled voice of the author's self-criticism.¹

Since this book first appeared² friends and colleagues who speak other languages have begun to turn their attention to slips of the tongue which they have been able to observe in countries where their language is spoken. As was to be expected they have found that the laws governing parapraxes are independent of the linguistic material; and they have made the

¹ [Footnote added 1910:] Ce qu'on conçoit bien S'annonce clairement Et les mots pour le dire Arrivent aisément.

[What is well thought out Presents itself with clarity, And the words to express it Come easily.]

Boileau: Art poétique.

² [This paragraph and the example that follows were added in 1912.

In a letter to Flies of September 21, 1899 (Freud, 1950a, letter 119).] Freud applied a criticism of this precise kind to what he felt was his own unsatisfactory style in The Interpretation of Dreams. The passage will be found quoted in Standard Ed., 4, xx.

Since the earliest appearance of this book have freemandsprache Freunde and Kollegen begonnen, dem Versprechen, das sie in den Ländern ihrer Zunge beobachten konnten, ihre Aufmerksamkeit zuzuweisen. Sie haben, wie zu erwarten stand, gefunden, daß die Gesetze der Fehlleistung vom Sprachmaterial unabhängig sind, und

mehr als Versprechen beschrieben werden, weil sie, nicht das einzelne Wort, sondern Rhythmus und Ausführung der ganzen Rede beeinträchtigen, wie z. B. das Stammen und Stottern der Verlegenh-heit. Aber hier war es der innere Konflikt, der uns durch die Störung der Rede verraten wird. Ich glaube wirklich nicht, daß jemand sich versprechen würde in der Anzeige bei Seiner Majestät, in einer ernstgemeinten Liebebwerbung, in einer Ver- teidigungsrede um Ehre und Namen vor den Geschworenen, kurz in all den Fällen, in denen man ganz dabei ist, wie wir es bezeichnend sagen. Selbst bis in die Schätzung des Stils, den ein Autor schreibt, dürfen wir und sind wir gewöhnt, das Erklärungsprinzip zu tragen, welches wir bei der Ableitung des einzelnen Sprachfehlers nicht entbehren können. Eine klare und unsückende Schreibweise belehrt uns, daß der Autor hier mit sich einig ist, und wo wir gezwungenen und gewundenen Ausdruck finden, der, wie so richtig gesagt wird, nach mehr als einem Scheine schücht, da können wir den Anteil eines nicht genugsam erledigten, komplizierenden Gedankens erkennen oder die ertşıkte Stimme der Selbstkritik des Autors heraus hören.¹

Seit dem ersten Erscheinen dieses Buches haben freemandsprache Freunde und Kollegen begonnen, dem Versprechen, das sie in den Ländern ihrer Zunge beobachten konnten, ihre Aufmerksamkeit zuzuweisen. Sie haben, wie zu erwarten stand, gefunden, daß die Gesetze der Fehlleistung vom Sprachmaterial unabhängig sind, und

¹ Boileau, Art poétique.
same interpretations that have been exemplified here in instances coming from speakers of the German language. Of countless examples I include only one:

Brill (1909) reports of himself: 'A friend described to me a nervous patient and wished to know whether I could benefit him. I remarked: 'I believe that in time I could remove all his symptoms by psycho-analysis because it is a durable case'—wishing to say 'curable'!'

In conclusion, for the benefit of readers who are prepared to make a certain effort and to whom psycho-analysis is not unfamiliar, I will add an example which will enable them to form some picture of the mental depths into which the pursuit even of a slip of the tongue can lead. It has been reported by Jekels (1913).

On December 11, a lady of my acquaintance addressed me (in Polish) in a somewhat challenging and overbearing manner, as follows: 'Why did I say to-day that I have twelve fingers?' At my request she gave an account of the scene in which the remark was made. She had got ready to go out with her daughter to pay a visit, and had asked her daughter—a case of dementia praecox then in remission—to change her blouse; and this she in fact did, in the adjoining room. On re-entering, the daughter found her mother busy cleaning her nails, and the following conversation ensued:

'Daughter: 'There! I'm ready now and you're not!''
'Mother: 'Yes, but you have only one blouse and I have twelve nails.'
'Daughter: 'What?''

1 This example is given in English in the original.—In the 1912 edition only, the following passage appeared in the text at this point: 'An extremely instructive instance of the way in which a simple slip of the tongue may be made use of in a psycho-analysis has been reported by Stekel (1910):

"A patient suffering from agoraphobia said during the analysis: 'If I start on a subject I keep 'dable' [for "dable"]; "at it" with some obstinacy.' When his attention had been drawn to his slip, he went on: 'I have done what children do and said "I" instead of "it"—"blei" instead of "brei"; so making a second slip of the tongue.

"This slip was obviously of great significance. The syllables 'bei—brei—blei' [in German: 'at', 'broth', 'lead'] carried important associations.'"

2 [The rest of the chapter was added in 1917.]
'Mother (impatiently): "Well, of course I have; after all, I have twelve fingers."

'A colleague who heard the story at the same time as I did asked what occurred to her in connection with twelve. She answered equally quickly and definitely: "Twelve means nothing to me—it is not the date of anything (of importance)."

'To finger she gave the following association after a little hesitation: "Some of my husband's family were born with six fingers on their feet (Polish has no specific word for 'toe'). When our children were born they were immediately examined to see if they had six fingers." For external reasons the analysis was not continued that evening.

'Next morning, December 12, the lady visited me and told me with visible excitement: "What do you suppose has happened? For about the last twenty years I have been sending congratulations to my husband's elderly uncle on his birthday, which is to-day, and I have always written him a letter on the 11th. This time I forgot about it and had to send a telegram just now."

'I myself remembered, and I reminded the lady, how positive she had been the evening before in dismissing my colleague's question about the number twelve—which was in fact very well fitted to remind her of the birthday—by remarking that the twelfth was not a date of importance to her.

'She then admitted that this uncle of her husband's was a wealthy man from whom she had in fact always expected to inherit something, quite especially in her present straitened financial circumstances. Thus, for instance, it was he, or rather his death, that had immediately sprung to her mind a few days before when an acquaintance of hers had predicted from cards that she would receive a large sum of money. It flashed through her mind at once that the uncle was the only person from whom money could possibly come to her or her children; and this same scene also instantly reminded her of the fact that this uncle's wife had once promised to remember the lady's children in her will. But in the meanwhile she had died intestate; had she perhaps given her husband appropriate instructions?

'The death-wish against the uncle must clearly have emerged with very great intensity, for she said to the friend who made
the prophecy: “You encourage people to make away with others.” In the four or five days that elapsed between the prophecy and the uncle’s birthday she was constantly looking at the obituary columns in the newspapers from the town where the uncle lived. Not surprisingly, therefore, in view of the intensity of her wish for his death, the event and the date of the birthday he was about to celebrate were so strongly suppressed that not only was a resolution which had been carried out for years forgotten in consequence, but even my colleague’s question failed to bring them to consciousness.

“In the slip “twelve fingers” the suppressed “twelve” had broken through and had helped to determine the parapraxis. I say “helped to determine”, for the striking association to “finger” leads us to suspect the existence of some further motivations. It also explains why the “twelve” had falsified precisely this most innocent phrase, “ten fingers”. The association ran: “Some members of my husband’s family were born with six fingers on their feet.” Six toes are a sign of a particular abnormality. Thus six fingers mean one abnormal child and twelve fingers two abnormal children. And that was really the fact in this case. The lady had married at a very early age; and the only legacy left her by her husband, a highly eccentric and abnormal person who took his own life shortly after their marriage, were two children whom the doctors repeatedly pronounced to be abnormal and victims of a grave hereditary taint derived from their father. The elder daughter recently returned home after a severe catatonic attack; soon afterwards, the younger daughter, now at the age of puberty, also fell ill from a serious neurosis.

The fact that the children’s abnormality is here linked with the death-wish against the uncle, and is condensed with this far more strongly suppressed and psychically more powerful element, enables us to assume the existence of a second determinant for the slip of the tongue, namely a death-wish against the abnormal children.

But the special significance of twelve as a death wish is already indicated by the fact that the uncle’s birthday was very intimately associated in the lady’s mind with the idea of his death. For her husband had taken his life on the 13th—one day, that is, after the uncle’s birthday; and the uncle’s
wife had said to the young widow: "Yesterday he was sending his congratulations, so full of warmth and kindness—and to-day . . . !"

'I may add that the lady had real enough reasons as well for wishing her children dead; for they brought her no pleasure at all, only grief and severe restrictions on her independence, and she had for their sake renounced all the happiness that love might have brought her. On this occasion she had in fact gone to exceptional lengths to avoid putting the daughter with whom she was going to pay the visit in a bad mood; and it may be imagined what demands this makes on anyone's patience and self-denial where the case is one of dementia praecox, and how many angry impulses have to be suppressed in the process.

'The meaning of the parapraxis would accordingly be:

"The uncle shall die, these abnormal children shall die (the whole of this abnormal family, as it were), and I will get their money."

'This parapraxis bears, in my view, several indications of an unusual structure:

'(a) Two determinants were present in it, condensed in a single element.

'(b) The presence of the two determinants was reflected in the doubling of the slip of the tongue (twelve nails, twelve fingers).

'(c) It is a striking point that one of the meanings of "twelve", viz., the twelve fingers which expressed the children's abnormality, stood for an indirect form of representation; the psychical abnormality was here represented by the physical abnormality, and the highest part of the body by the lowest.'
CHAPTER VI
MISREADINGS AND SLIPS OF THE PEN

When we come to mistakes in reading and writing, we find that our general approach and our observations in regard to mistakes in speaking hold good here too—not surprisingly, in view of the close kinship between these functions. I shall confine myself here to reporting a few carefully analysed examples, and shall make no attempt to cover every aspect of the phenomena.

(A) MISREADINGS

1) I was sitting in a café, turning over the pages of a copy of the *Leipziger Illustrierte* [an illustrated weekly] (which I was holding up at an angle), when I read the following legend under a picture that stretched across the page: ‘A Wedding Celebration in the Odyssey [Odyssey].’ It caught my attention; in surprise I took hold of the paper in the proper way and then corrected my error: ‘A Wedding Celebration on the Ostsee [Baltic].’ How did I come to make this absurd mistake in reading? My thoughts at once turned to a book by Ruths (1898), *Experimentaluntersuchungen über Musikphantome* . . . , which had occupied me a good deal recently since it trenches on the psychological problems that I have been concerned with. The author promised that he would shortly be bringing out a book to be called ‘Analysis and Principles of Dream Phenomena’. Seeing that I have just published an *Interpretation of Dreams* it is not surprising that I should await this book with the keener interest. In Ruths’ work on music phantoms I

[The earlier portion of this chapter, up to p. 110, dates back to 1901.]

*Experimental investigations of music phantoms.* These ‘music phantoms’ are, according to Ruths, a ‘group of psychical phenomena which make an [involuntary] appearance in the brains of many people while they are listening to music’.106
found at the beginning of the list of contents an announcement of a detailed inductive proof that the ancient Greek myths and legends have their main source of origin in phantoms of sleep and music, in the phenomena of dreams and also in deliria. Thereupon I at once plunged into the text to find out whether he also realized that the scene in which Odysseus appears before Nausicaa was derived from the common dream of being naked. A friend had drawn my attention to the fine passage in Gottfried Keller's *Der Grüne Heinrich* which explains this episode in the Odyssey as an objective representation of the dreams of a sailor wandering far from home; and I had pointed out the connection with exhibitionist dreams of being naked.¹ I found nothing on the subject in Ruths' book. In this instance it is obvious that my thoughts were occupied with questions of priority.

(2) How did I come to read in a newspaper one day: 'Im Fass [in a tub] across Europe', instead of 'Zu Fuss [on foot]'? Solving this problem caused me prolonged difficulties. The first associations, it is true, indicated that it must have been the tub of Diogenes that I had in mind; and I had recently been reading about the art of the age of Alexander in a history of art. From there it was easy to recall Alexander's celebrated remark: 'If I were not Alexander I should like to be Diogenes.' I also had some dim recollection of a certain Hermann Zeitung² who had set out on his travels packed in a trunk. But the train of associations declined to run on further, and I did not succeed in rediscovering the page in the history of art on which the remark had caught my eye. It was not till months later that the problem, which I had meanwhile set aside, suddenly sprang to my mind once more; and this time it brought its solution with it. I recalled the comment of a newspaper article on the strange means of transport [Beförderung] that people were then choosing in order to go to Paris for the International Exhibition [of 1900]; and the passage, I believe, went on with a joking account of how one gentleman intended to get himself rolled in a tub to Paris by another gentleman. Needless to say the only motive of these people would be to draw attention to themselves by such folly. Hermann Zeitung

¹ *The Interpretation of Dreams* (1900a), Standard Ed., 4, 246–7.

² ["Zeitung", here a proper name, is also the German for 'newspaper'.]
was in fact the name of the man who had provided the first instance of such extraordinary methods of transport. It then struck me that I once treated a patient whose pathological anxiety about reading newspapers was to be explained as a reaction against his pathological *ambition* to see himself in print and to read of his fame in the newspapers. Alexander of Macedon was undoubtedly one of the most ambitious men that ever lived. He even complained that he would find no Homer to sing of his exploits. But how could I possibly have failed to recall that there is another Alexander who is closer to me, that Alexander is the name of my younger brother?¹ I now immediately found the objectionable thought about this other Alexander that had had to be repressed, and what it was that had given rise to it at the present time. My brother is an authority on matters connected with tariffs and transport, and at a certain date he was due to receive the title of professor for his work in teaching at a commercial college. Several years ago my own name had been suggested at the University for the same promotion [Beförderung], without my having obtained it.² At the time, our mother expressed her surprise that her younger son was to become a professor before her elder. This had been the situation when I was unable to solve my mistake in reading. Subsequently my brother too met with difficulties; his prospects of becoming professor sank even lower than my own. But at that point the meaning of the misreading suddenly became clear to me; it was as though the fading of my brother's prospects had removed an obstacle. I had behaved as if I was reading of my brother's appointment in the newspaper and was saying to myself: 'How curious that a person can appear in the newspaper (i.e. can be appointed professor) on account of such stupidities (which is what his profession amounts to)!³' Afterwards I had no difficulty in finding the passage about Hellenistic art in the age of Alexander,

¹ [According to Ernst Jones (1953, 21) the name 'Alexander' had been chosen at Freud's own suggestion—his brother was ten years younger than he was—and on the specific ground of Alexander the Great's military prowess and generosity.]

² [This question is repeatedly discussed in connection with Freud's dreams in *The Interpretation of Dreams* (1900a). See, for instance, *Standard Ed.*, 4, 195 ff. He received the appointment ultimately, in 1902, the year following the first publication of this paragraph.]
and to my astonishment convinced myself that in my previous search I had repeatedly read parts of the same page and had each time passed over the relevant sentence as if I was under the dominance of a negative hallucination. However, this sentence did not contain anything at all to enlighten me—anything that would have deserved to be forgotten. I suspect that the symptom consisting of my failure to find the passage in the book was only formed with the purpose of leading me astray. I was intended to search for a continuation of the train of thought in the place where my enquiries encountered an obstacle—that is, in some idea connected with Alexander of Macedon; in this way I was to be more effectively diverted from my brother of the same name. In fact the device was entirely successful; all my efforts were directed towards rediscovering the lost passage in the history of art.

In this case the ambiguity of the word 'Beförderung' ['transport' and 'promotion'] forms the associative bridge between the two complexes, the unimportant one which was aroused by the newspaper article, and the more interesting but objectionable one which asserted itself here in the form of a disturbance of what was to be read. It can be seen from this example that it is not always easy to explain occurrences such as this mistake in reading. At times one is even forced to postpone solving the problem to a more favourable time. But the harder the work of solving it proves to be, the more certainly can one anticipate that the disturbing thought which is finally disclosed will be judged by our conscious thinking as something alien and opposed to it.

3) One day I received a letter from the neighbourhood of Vienna which brought me a piece of news that shocked me. I immediately called my wife and broke the news to her that 'die arme [the poor] Wilhelm M.' had fallen very seriously ill and been given up by the doctors. There must, however, have been a false ring about the words I chose to express my sorrow,

1 [In 1901 and 1904: 'circles of thoughts'. The word 'complexes' replacing this in the 1907 edition, marks the beginning of Jung's influence on Freud.—For the similar use of verbal bridges in the construction of dreams, jokes and neurotic symptoms see Standard Ed., 5, 341 n. See also p. 274, n. 1, below.]

2 [The use of 'die', the feminine form of the definite article, implied that the person concerned was a woman.]
for my wife grew suspicious, asked to see the letter and declared she was certain it could not read as I had said it did, since no one called a wife by her husband's first name, and in any case the lady who wrote the letter knew the wife's first name perfectly well. I obstinately defended my assertion and referred to the very common use of visiting cards on which a woman styles herself by her husband's first name. I was finally shifted to the very common use of visiting cards on which a woman the lady who wrote the letter knew the wife's first name with my requirement that the wife should be the one referred to. For this reason it was simply done away with with my requirement that the wife should be the one referred to. For this reason it was simply done away with with my requirement that the wife should be the one referred to. For this reason it was simply done away with with my requirement that the wife should be the one referred to. For this reason it was simply done away with with my requirement that the wife should be the one referred to. For this reason it was simply done away with with my requirement that the wife should be the one referred to. For this reason it was simply done away with

I read every shop sign that resembles the word in any way as 'Antiquities'.

4) Bleuler relates in his important book, Affektivität, Suggestibilität, Paranoia (1906, 121): 'Once while I was reading I had an intellectual feeling that I saw my name two lines further down. To my astonishment I only found the word 'Blutkörperchen [blood-corpuscles]'. I have analysed many thousands of misreadings in the peripheral as well as the central visual field; but this is the grossest instance. Whenever I imagined I saw my name, the word that gave rise to the notion usually resembled my name much more, and in most cases every single letter of my name had to be found close together before I could make such an error. In this case, however, the delusion of reference and the illusion could be explained very easily: what

meine Frau wird mißtrauisch, verlangt den Brief zu sehen und äußert als ihre Überzeugung, so könne es nicht darin stehen, denn niemand nennt eine Frau nach dem Namen des Mannes, und überdies sei der Korrespondentin der Vorname der Frau sehr wohl bekannt. Ich verteidige meine Behauptung hartnäckig und verweise auf die so gebräuchlichen Visitenkarten, auf denen eine Frau sich selbst mit dem Vorname des Mannes bezeichnet. Ich muß endlich den Brief zur Hand nehmen, und wir lesen darin tatsächlich „der arme W. M.“, ja sogar, was ich ganz übersehen hatte: „der arme Dr. W. M.“. Mein Versuch bedeutet also einen sozusagen krampfhaften Versuch, die traurige Neugkeit von dem Manne auf die Frau zu überwälzen. Der zwischen Artikel, Beiwort und Name eingeschobene Titel paßt schlecht zu der Forderung, es müßte die Frau gemeint sein. Darum wurde er auch beim Lesen beseitigt. Das Motiv dieser Verflüssigung war aber nicht, daß mir die Frau weniger sympathisch wäre als der Mann, sondern das Schicksal der armen Mannes hatte meine Besorgnisse um eine andere, mir nahe stehende Person gegen gemacht, welche eine der mir bekannten Krankheitsbedingungen mit diesem Falle gemeinsam hatte.


I had just read was the end of a comment on a type of bad style found in scientific works, from which I did not feel free."

(6) Hanns Sachs reports having read: "The things that strike other people are passed over by him in his "Steifleinheit [pedantry]." This last word', Sachs proceeds, 'surprised me, and on looking more closely I discovered that it was "Steifleinheit [elegance of style]". The passage occurred in the course of some remarks by an author whom I admired, which were in extravagant praise of a historian whom I do not find sympathetic because he exhibits the "German professorial manner" in too marked a degree."

(7) Dr. Marcell Eibenschütz (1911) describes an instance of misreading in the course of his philological studies. 'I was engaged in studying the literary tradition of the Book of Martyrs, a Middle High German legendary which I had undertaken to edit in the series of "German Mediaeval Texts" published by the Prussian Akademie der Wissenschaften. Very little was known about the work, which had never seen print. There was a single essay on it in existence, by Joseph Haupt (1872, 101 ff.). Haupt based his work not on an old manuscript but on a copy of the principal source, Manuscript C (Klosternneuburg). This copy had been made at a comparatively recent date (in the nineteenth century). It is preserved in the Hofbibliothek [Imperial Library]. At the end of the copy the following subscription is to be found:

"Anno Domini MDCCCL in vigilia extaltationis sancte crucis ceptus est iste liber et in vigilia pase anni subsequentis finitus cum adiutorio omnipotentis per me Hartmanum de Krasna tunc temporis ecclesie niwenburgensis custodem."

'Now in his essay Haupt quotes this subscription in the belief that it comes from the writer of C himself, and supposes C to have been written in 1350—a view involving a consistent misreading of the date 1850 in Roman numerals—in spite of his

---


"Anno Domini MDCCCL in vigilia extaltationis sancte crucis ceptus est iste liber et in vigilia pase anni subsequentis finitus cum adiutorio omnipotentis per me Hartmanum de Krasna tunc temporis ecclesie niwenburgensis custodem."

Haupt teilt nun in seiner Abhandlung diese Subskriptio mit, in der Meinung, daß sie vom Schreiber von C selbst herrühre, und läßt C, mit konsequenter Verlesung der römisch geschriebenen Jahreszahl 1850, im Jahre 1350 geschrieben sein, trotzdem daß er die Subskriptio
having copied the subscription perfectly correctly and in spite of its having been printed perfectly correctly (i.e. as MDCCCL) in the essay, in the passage referred to.

‘Haupt’s information proved the source of much embarrassment to me. In the first place, being an entire novice in the world of scholarship, I was completely dominated by Haupt’s authority, and for a long time I read the date given in the subscription lying in front of me—which was perfectly clearly and correctly printed—as 1350 instead of 1850, just as Haupt had done. I did this even though no trace of any subscription could be found in the original Manuscript C, which I used, and though it further transpired that no monk by the name of Hartman had lived at Klosterneuburg at any time in the fourteenth century. And when at last the veil fell from before my eyes I guessed what had happened; and further investigation confirmed my suspicion. The subscription so often referred to is in fact to be found only in the copy used by Haupt, and is the work of its copyist, P. Hartman Zeibig, who was born at Krasna in Moravia, was Master of the Augustinian choir at Klosterneuburg, and who as sacrist of the monastery made a copy of Manuscript C and appended his name in the ancient fashion at the end of his copy. The mediaeval phraseology and the old orthography of the subscription doubtless played their part in inducing Haupt always to read 1350 instead of 1850, alongside his wish to be able to tell his readers as much as possible about the work he was discussing, and therefore also to date Manuscript C. (This was the motive for the para-praxis.)”

8) In Lichtenberg’s _Witzige und Satirische Einfälle_ [1853] a remark occurs which is no doubt derived from a piece of observation and which comprises virtually the whole theory of misreading: “He had read Homer so much that he always read ‘Agamemnon’ instead of ‘angenommen [supposed]’.”

For in a very large number of cases it is the reader’s preparedness that alters the text and reads into it something which

---

1. [Added 1910.]
2. [Also quoted by Freud in his book on jokes (1905c, Standard Ed., 8, 93) and at the end of Lecture II of his Introductory Lectures (1916-17).]
3. [This paragraph and Examples 9 and 10 were added in 1917.]
he is expecting or with which he is occupied. The only contribution towards a misreading which the text itself need make is that of affording some sort of resemblance in the verbal image, which the reader can alter in the sense he requires. Merely glancing at the text, especially with uncorrected vision, undoubtedly increases the possibility of such an illusion, but it is certainly not a necessary precondition for it.

(9) I have an impression that no parapraxis was so greatly encouraged by war conditions—which brought us all such constant and protracted preoccupations—as this particular one of misreading. I have been able to observe a large number of instances of it, but unfortunately I have kept records of only a few of them. One day I picked up a mid-day or evening paper and saw in large print: 'Der Friede von Görz [The Peace of Gorizia].' But no, all it said was: 'Die Feinde vor Görz [The Enemy before Gorizia].'

It is easy for someone who has two sons fighting at this very time in that theatre of operations to make such a mistake in reading.—Someone else found an 'old Brokat [bread card]' mentioned in a certain context; when he looked at this more attentively, he had to replace it by 'old Brokate [brocades]'—It is perhaps worth mentioning that at a particular house, where this man is often a welcome guest, it is his habit to make himself agreeable to the mistress by handing his bread cards over to her.—An engineer, whose equipment had never stood up for long to the dampness in a tunnel that was under construction, was astonished to read a laudatory advertisement of goods made of 'Schmidtleder [shoddy leather]'. But tradesmen are not usually so candid; what was being recommended was 'Seehundleder [sealskin]'.

The reader's profession or present situation, too, determines the outcome of his misreading. A philologist, whose most recent, and excellent, works had brought him into conflict with his professional colleagues, read 'Sprachstrategie [language strategy]' in mistake for 'Schechstrategie [chees strategy]'—A man who was taking a walk in a strange town just when the action of his bowels was timed to occur by a course of medical treatment read the word 'Closet-House' on a large sign on the first storey of a tall shop-building. His satisfaction on seeing it was mixed with a certain surprise that the obliging establishment should be in such an unusual place. The next moment, however, his

er eingestellt oder womit er beschäftigt ist, in ihn hineinliest. Der Text selbst braucht dem Verlesen nur dadurch entgegenzukommen, daß er irgend eine Ähnlichkeit im Wortbild bietet, die der Leser in seinem Sinne verändert kann. Flüchtiges Hinschauen, besonders mit unkorrigiertem Auge, erleichtert ohne Zweifel die Möglichkeit einer solchen Illusion, ist aber keineswegs eine notwendige Bedingung für sie.


Der Beruf oder die gegenwärtige Situation des Lesers bestimmt auch das Ergebnis seines Verlesens. Ein Philologe, der wegen seiner letzten trefflichen Arbeiten im Streite mit seinen Fachgenossen liegt, liest „Sprachstrategie“ anstatt Schachstrategie. Ein Mann, der in einer fremden Stadt spazieren geht, gerade um die Stunde, auf welche seine durch eine Kur hergestellte Darmlüftigkeit reguliert ist, liest auf einem großen Schilde im ersten Stock eines hohen Warenhauses: „Klosetthaus“; seiner Befriedigung darüber meint sich doch ein Befremden über die ungewöhnliche Unterbringung der wohläugigen Anstalt bei. Im
satisfaction vanished; a more correct reading of the word on the
sign was 'Corset-House'.

(10) In a second group of cases the part which the text con-
tributes to the misreading is a much larger one. It contains
something which rouses the reader's defences—some informa-
tion or imputation distressing to him—and which is therefore
corrected by being misread so as to fit in with a repudiation or
with the fulfilment of a wish. In such cases we are of course
obliged to assume that the text was first correctly understood
and judged by the reader before it underwent correction,
although his consciousness learnt nothing of this first reading.
Example (3) above [p. 109] is of this kind; and I include here
a further, highly topical one given by Eitingon (1915), who
was at the military hospital at Igló at the time.

'Lieutenant X., who is in our hospital suffering from a
traumatic war neurosis, was one day reading me a poem by the
poet Walter Heymann, who fell in battle at so early an age.
With visible emotion he read the last lines of the final stanza
as follows.

Wo aber steht's geschrieben, frag' ich, daß von allen
Ich übrig bleiben soll, ein ander für mich fallen?
Wer immer von euch fällt, der stirbt gewiß für mich;
Und ich soll übrig bleiben? warum denn nicht?

[But where is it decreed, I ask, that out of all
I should alone be left, my fellow for me fall?
Whoever of you falls, for me that man doth die;
And I—am I alone to live? Why should not I?]

'My surprise caught his attention, and in some confusion
he read the line correctly:

Und ich soll übrig bleiben? warum denn ich?

[And I—am I alone to live then? Why should I?]

'I owe to Case X. some analytic insight into the psychical
material of these "traumatic war neuroses", and in spite of the
1) W. Heymann: Kriegsgedichte und Feldpostbriefe, p. 11: "Den Ausziehenden."
circumstances prevailing in a war hospital with a large number of patients and only a few doctors—circumstances so unfavourable to our way of working—it was then possible for me to see a little way beyond the shell explosions which were so highly esteemed as the "cause" of the illness.

In this case, too, were to be seen the severe tremors which give pronounced cases of these neuroses a similarity that is so striking at the first glance, as well as apprehensiveness, tearfulness, and a proneness to fits of rage, accompanied by convulsive infantile motor manifestations, and to vomiting ("at the least excitement").

The psychogenic nature of this last symptom in particular—above all in its contribution to the secondary gain from the illness—must have impressed everyone. The appearance in the ward of the hospital commandant who from time to time inspected the convalescent cases, or a remark made by an acquaintance in the street—"You look in really excellent form, you're certainly fit now"—is enough to produce an immediate attack of vomiting.

"Fit... go back to service... why should I?"

(11) Dr. Hanns Sachs (1917) has reported some other cases of 'war' misreading:

'A close acquaintance of mine had repeatedly declared to me that when his turn came to be called up he would not make any use of his specialist qualifications, which were attested by a diploma; he would waive any claim based on them for being found suitable employment behind the lines and he would enlist for service at the front. Shortly before the call-up date in fact arrived, he told me one day in the curtest way, and without giving any further reason, that he had submitted the evidence of his specialist training to the proper authorities and as a result would shortly be assigned to a post in industry. Next day we happened to meet in a post-office. I was standing up at a desk and writing; he came in, looked over my shoulder for a little way beyond the shell explosions which were so highly esteemed as the "cause" of the illness.

and da war es mir möglich, trotz der unserer Art zu arbeiten so wenig günstigen Verhältnisse eines Kriegslazarets mit starkem Belag und wenig Ärzten, ein wenig über die als 'Ursache' hochbewerteten Granatexplosionen hinauszusehen.

Es bestanden auch in diesem Falle die schweren Tremores, die den ausgesprochenen Fällen dieser Neurosen eine auf den ersten Blick frappante Ähnlichkeit verleihen, Ängstlichkeit, Weinerlichkeit, Neigung zu Wutanfällen mit konvulsiven, infantil-motorischen Entäußerungen und zu Erbrechen (bei geringsten Aufregungen).

Gerade das letztere Symptome Psychogeneität, zunächst im Dienste sekundären Krankheitsgewinnens, musste sich jedem aufdrängen: Das Erscheinen des Spitalskommandanten, der von Zeit zu Zeit die Genesenden sich ansieht, auf der Abteilung, die Phrase eines Bekannten auf der Straße: 'Sie schauen ja prächtig aus, sind gewiß schon gesund', genügen zur prompten Auslösung eines Brech anfalls.

'Gesund... wieder einrückten... warum denn ich?..."

11) Andere Fälle von "Kriegs"-Verlesen hat Dr. Hanns Sachs mitgeteilt:


12) "In der Tramway sitzend, dachte ich darüber nach, daß manche meiner Jugendfreunde, die immer als zart und schwächlich gegolten hatten, jetzt die allerhärtesten Strapazen zu ertragen
hardships—ones which would quite certainly be too much for me. While in the middle of this disagreeable train of thought, I read, only half attentively, a word in large black letters on a shop-sign that we were passing: "Iron Constitution". A moment later it struck me that this word was an inappropriate one to be found on the board of a business-firm; I turned round hastily and catching another glimpse of the sign saw that it really read: "Iron Construction". (Sachs, ibid.)

(13) 'The evening papers carried a Reuter message, which subsequently proved to be incorrect, to the effect that Hughes had been elected President of the United States. This was followed by a short account of the supposed President's career, in which I came across the information that Hughes had completed his studies at Bonn University. It struck me as strange that this fact had received no mention in the newspaper discussions during all the weeks before the day of the election. On taking a second look I found that all the text in fact contained was a reference to Brown University [at Providence, Rhode Island, U.S.A.]. The explanation of this gross case, in which the misreading had called for a fairly violent twist, depended—apart from my haste in reading the newspaper—chiefly upon my thinking it desirable that the new President's sympathy for the Central European Powers, as the basis for good relations in the future, should be based on personal motives as well as political ones.' (Sachs, ibid.)

(B) SLIPS OF THE PEN

(1) On a sheet of paper containing short daily notes mainly of a business kind I was surprised to find, among some entries correctly dated 'September', the wrongly written date 'Thursday, October 20'. It is not difficult to explain this anticipation—and to explain it as the expression of a wish. A few days before, I had returned fresh from my holiday travels, and I felt ready for plenty of professional work; but there were not yet many patients. On my arrival I had found a letter from a patient to say she was coming on October 20. When I made an entry for the same day of the month in September I may well have

1 [Example 1, apart from the penultimate and final sentences (added in 1907 and 1912 respectively), and Example 2 date back to 1901.]

188 Zur Psychopathologie des Alltaglebens

imstande sind, denen ich ganz bestimmt erliegen würde. Mitten in diesem unerfreulichen Gedankenstrudel las ich im Vorübergehen mit halber Aufmerksamkeit die großen schwarzen Lettern einer Firmen­ tafel: 'Eisenkonstitution'. Einen Augenblick später fiel mir ein, daß dieses Wort für eine Geschäfts­aufschrift nicht recht passe; mich rasch umhendrehend, erhaschte ich noch einen Blick auf die Inschrift und sah, daß sie richtig 'Eisenkonstruktion' lautete". (L. c.)


thought: ‘X. should have been here already; what a waste of a whole month!’ and with that thought in mind I brought the date forward a month. In this case the disturbing thought can scarcely be called an objectionable one; and for this reason I knew the solution of the slip of the pen as soon as I had noticed it.—In the Autumn of the following year I made another slip of the pen which was precisely analogous and had a similar motive.—Ernest Jones [1911b] has made a study of slips like these in writing dates; in most cases they could be clearly recognized as having [psychological] reasons.

(2) I had received the proofs of my contribution to the *Jahresbericht für Neurologie und Psychiatrie,* and I had naturally to revise the names of authors with particular care, since they are of various nationalities and therefore usually cause the compositor great difficulty. I did in fact find some foreign-sounding names which were still in need of correction; but strangely enough there was one name which the compositor had corrected by *departing from* my manuscript. He was perfectly right to do so. What I had in fact written was ‘Burckhard’, which the compositor guessed should be ‘Burckhard’. I had actually praised the useful treatise which an obstetrician of that name had written on the influence of birth upon the origin of children’s palsies, and I was not aware of having anything to hold against him; but he has the same name as a writer in Vienna who had annoyed me by an unintelligent review of my *Interpretation of Dreams.* It is just as if in writing the name Burckhard, meaning the obstetrician, I had had a hostile thought about the other Burckhard, the writer; for distorting

---

1 *Annual Review of Neurology and Psychiatry,* Freud wrote the abstracts and reviews for the section ‘Cerebrale Kinderlähmung’ [infantile cerebral palsy] in the first three volumes of this annual; the contribution here referred to appeared in the third (1899) volume (Freud, 1900b).

2 [Max Burckhard. His review appeared in *Die Zeit* on January 6 and 13, 1900. A comment on it by Freud will be found in his letter to Fliess of January 8, 1900 (1900a, Letter 127).]

3 Compare the scene in *Julius Caesar,* III, 3:

**CINNA.** Truly, my name is Cinna.

**A Citizen.** Tear him to pieces; he’s a conspirator.

**CINNA.** I am Cinna the poet . . .

**ANOTHER Citizen.** It is no matter, his name’s Cinna; pluck but

---

1) Vgl. etwa die Stelle im *Julius Cäsar*, III, 5:

**CINNA.** Ehrlich, mein Name ist Cinna.

**BÜRGER.** Reiß ihn in Stücke! er ist ein Verschworener.

**CINNA.** Ich bin Cinna der Poet! Ich bin nicht Cinna der Verschworene.

**BÜRGER.** Es tut nichts; sein Name ist Cinna, reiß ihm den Namen aus dem Herzen und laß ihn laufen.
names is very often a form of insulting their owners, as I have mentioned above [p. 83] in discussing slips of the tongue.

(3) This assertion is very neatly confirmed by a self-observation of Storfer's (1914), in which the author exposes with commendable frankness the motives that prompted him to recollect the name of a supposed rival wrongly and then to write it down in a distorted form:

"In December, 1910, I saw a book by Dr. Eduard Hitschmann in the window of a bookshop in Zurich. Its subject was Freud's theory of the neuroses and it was new at the time. Just then I was at work on the manuscript of a lecture on the basic principles of Freud's psychology, which I was shortly to give before a University society. In the introductory part of the lecture which I had already written, I had referred to the historical development of Freud's psychology from his researches in an applied field, to certain consequent difficulties in giving a comprehensive account of its basic principles, and also to the fact that no general account of them had yet appeared. When I saw the book (whose author was till then unknown to me) in the shop window, I did not at first think of buying it. Some days later, however, I decided to do so. The book was no longer in the window. I asked the bookseller for it and gave the author's name as "Dr Eduard Hartmann". The bookseller corrected me: "I think you mean Hitschmann", and brought me the book.

"The unconscious motive for the parapraxis was obvious. I had so to speak given myself the credit for having written a comprehensive account of the basic principles of psychoanalytic theory, and obviously regarded Hitschmann's book with envy and annoyance since it took some of the credit away from me. I told myself, on the lines of The Psychopathology of Everyday Life, that the changing of the name was an act of unconscious hostility. At the time I was satisfied with this explanation.

Some weeks later I noted down this parapraxis. On this

Ich hatte also diesen Fall eines determinierten Vergessens mit Ersatzeneinfall niedergeschrieben.

realized that my alarm was not called for; I was not now any poorer than I had been before. But it took me a good deal of reflection to discover what influence had disturbed my first intention, without making itself known to my consciousness. To begin with I started on the wrong line; I tried subtracting 380 from 438, but I had no idea afterwards what to do with the difference. Finally a thought suddenly struck me which showed me the true connection. Why, 438 was ten per cent of the total account, 4,380 kronen! Now a ten per cent discount is given by booksellers. I recalled that a few days earlier I had picked out a number of medical books in which I was no longer interested in order to offer them to a bookseller for precisely 300 kronen. He thought the price I was asking was too high, and promised to give me a definite answer within the next few days. If he accepted my offer he would replace the exact sum which I had promised the sum of money, and I had not believed myself in any way capable of such an expenditure. My affect on perceiving my error can be understood better as a fear of growing poor as a result of such expenditures. But both these feelings, my regret at the expenditure and my anxiety over becoming poor that was connected with a feeling of regret when I promised the sum of money, and the dream which I will now discuss, were entirely foreign to my consciousness; I did not have the impression that my alarm was due to false reasoning, or that the difference was ten per cent of my total expenditure. But both these feelings, my regret at the expenditure and my anxiety over becoming poor that was connected with a feeling of regret when I promised the sum of money, and the dream which I will now discuss, were entirely foreign to my consciousness; I did not have the impression that my alarm was due to false reasoning, or that the difference was ten per cent of my total expenditure.

(5) 4 I quote the following case from Wilhelm Stekel, and can also vouch for its authenticity:

A simply incredible example of a slip of the pen and misreading occurred in the editing of a widely-read weekly

1 This is the one which I took as the specimen dream in my short work On Dreams (1901a). [The dream in question is discussed at many points in the course of that work, which was published very shortly before the publication of the parapraxis in the text above. The episode at the root of both the parapraxis and the dream will be found mentioned in Standard Ed., 5, 656. Another episode related to the same dream is mentioned below, on p. 136.]

4 [Added 1907.]

4 [In 1907 and 1910 only: 'my colleague Wilhelm Stekel.']
periodical. The proprietors in question had been publicly described as “venal”; and an article in defence and vindication was clearly called for. One was in fact prepared: it was written with great warmth and feeling. The editor-in-chief read the article, while the author naturally read it several times in manuscript and then once more in galley-proof; everyone was perfectly satisfied. Suddenly the printer's reader came forward and pointed out a small mistake which had escaped everyone's notice. There it was, plainly enough: “Our readers will bear witness to the fact that we have always acted in the most self-seeking manner for the good of the community.” It is obvious that it should have read: “in the most unself-seeking manner”. But the true thoughts broke through the emotional statement with elemental force.  

(6) A reader of the Pester Lloyd, Frau Kata Levy of Budapest, recently came across a similar unintended display of candour in a telegram from Vienna which appeared in the paper on October 11, 1918:  

“In view of the complete mutual confidence which has prevailed between ourselves and our German allies throughout the war, it may be taken for certain that the two Powers would reach a unanimous decision in all circumstances. It is unnecessary to state specifically that active and interrupted co-operation between the allied diplomats is taking place at the present stage as well.”  

Only a few weeks later it was possible to express one’s opinion more frankly about this 'mutual confidence', and there was no longer any need to take refuge in a slip of the pen (or misprint).  

(7) An American living in Europe who had left his wife on bad terms felt that he could now effect a reconciliation with her, and asked her to come across the Atlantic and join him on a certain date. 'It would be fine,' he wrote, 'if you could come on the Mauretania as I did.' He did not however dare to send the sheet of paper which had this sentence on it. He  

---

1 [Also mentioned by Freud in Lecture IV of his Introductory Lectures (1916-17).]  
2 [Added 1919.]  
3 [The well-known Budapest German-language daily.]  
4 [Added 1920.]
preferred to write it out again. For he did not want her to notice how he had had to correct the name of the ship. He had first written ‘Lusitania’.

This slip of the pen needs no explanation: its interpretation is perfectly plain. But a happy chance enables a further point to be added. Before the war his wife paid her first visit to Europe after the death of her only sister. If I am not mistaken, the Mauretania is the surviving sister-ship of the Lusitania, which was sunk in the war.

(8) A doctor had examined a child and was making out a prescription for it, which included the word ‘alcohol’. While he was occupied in doing so the child’s mother pestered him with stupid and unnecessary questions. He privately determined not to let this make him angry, and actually succeeded in keeping his temper, but made a slip of the pen in the course of the interruptions. Instead of alcohol the word achol* could be read on the prescription.

(9) The following example, which Ernest Jones [1911b, 501] reports about A. A. Brill, has a similar subject-matter, and I therefore insert it here. Although by custom a total abstainer, he allowed himself to be persuaded by a friend to drink a little wine. Next morning an acute headache gave him cause to regret having yielded in this way. He had occasion to write the name of a patient called Ethyl; instead he wrote Ethyl. It was no doubt of some relevance that the lady in question used to drink more than was good for her.

(10) Since a slip of the pen on the part of a doctor who is writing a prescription possesses a significance which goes far beyond the practical importance of ordinary paraphrases [cf. p. 177 ff.], I take the opportunity of reporting in full the only analysis published up to now of such a slip made by a doctor.

From Dr. Eduard Hitzschmann (1913b): A colleague tells me that several times over a period of years he had made an error in prescribing a certain drug for women patients of an advanced age. On two occasions he prescribed ten times the

1) Etwa: Keine Galle.

1) Attyalkohol.
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correct dose; only later did he suddenly realize this and was obliged, in the greatest anxiety in case he had harmed his patient and put himself in a very unpleasant position, to take the most hurried steps to recall the prescription. This singular symptomatic act deserves to be clarified by a more precise description of the individual instances and by an analysis.

'First instance: In treating a poor woman bordering on extreme old age who was suffering from spastic constipation the doctor prescribed belladonna suppositories ten times too strong. He left the out-patients department, and his error suddenly sprang to his mind about an hour later while he was at home reading the paper and having lunch; he was overcome by anxiety, rushed first to the out-patients' department to obtain the patient's address and hastened from there to her home, which was a long way off. He was delighted to find that the old woman had not yet had the prescription made up, and he returned home much relieved. The excuse that he gave himself on this occasion was the not unjustified one that the talkative head of the out-patients' department had looked over his shoulder while he was writing the prescription and distracted him.

'Second instance: The doctor was obliged to tear himself away from a consultation with a flirtatious and provocatively attractive patient in order to pay a professional visit to an elderly spinster. He took a taxi, not having much time to spare for the visit; for he was due to keep a secret rendezvous with a girl he was in love with, at a certain time, near her house. Here, too, belladonna was indicated because of troubles analogous to those in the first instance. Once again he made the mistake of prescribing a quantity ten times too strong. The patient raised a question that was of some interest but irrelevant to the matter in hand; the doctor, however, showed impatience, though his words denied it, and left the patient, so that he appeared at the rendezvous in very good time. Some twelve hours later, at about seven o'clock in the morning, the doctor woke up; the thought of his slip of the pen and a feeling of anxiety came almost simultaneously to his consciousness, and he sent a hasty message to the patient in the hope that the medicine had not yet been collected from the chemist's, and asked for the prescription to be sent back in order to be revised.

VI. Verlesen und Verschreiben

er die zehnfache Dosis und mußte nachher, da ihm dies plötzlich einfiel, unter größter Angst, der Patientin geschadet zu haben und sich in größte Unannehmlichkeit zu kommen, eiligst die Zurückziehung des Rezepts anstreben. Diese sonderbare Symptomhandlung verdient durch genauere Darstellung der einzelnen Fälle und durch Analyse klargestellt zu werden.

Erster Fall: Der Arzt verschreibt einer an der Schwelle des Greisenalters stehenden armen Frau gegen spastische Obstipation zehnfach zu starke Belladonna-Zäpfchen. Er verläßt das Ambulatorium und etwa eine Stunde später fällt ihm zu Hause, während er Zeitung liest und frühstückt, plötzlich sein Irrtum ein; es überfällt ihn Angst, er eilt zunächst ins Ambulatorium zurück, um die Adresse der Patientin zu ermitteln und von dort in ihre weit entlegene Wohnung. Er findet das alte Weiblein noch mit unausgeführtem Rezept, worüber er höchst erfreut und beruhigt heimkehrt. Er entschuldigt sich vor sich selbst nicht ohne Berechtigung damit, daß ihm der gespitzte Chef der Ambulanz während der Rezeptur über die Schulter geschaut und ihn gestört hatte.

Zweiter Fall: Der Arzt muß sich aus seiner Ordination von einer koketten und pikant schönen Patientin losreissen, um ein älteres Fräulein ärztlich aufzusuchen. Er benützt ein Automobil, da er nicht viel Zeit für diesen Besuch übrig hat; denn er soll um eine bestimmte Stunde, nahe von ihrer Wohnung, ein geliebtes junges Mädchen heimlich treffen. Auch hier ergibt sich die Indikation für Belladonna wegen analoger Beschwerden wie im ersten Falle. Er wird wieder der Fehler begangen, das Medikament zehnfach zu stark zu rezeptieren. Die Patientin bringt einiges nicht zum Gegenstand gehörige Interessante vor, der Arzt aber verrät Ungeduld, wenn er sie auch mit Worten verleugnet, und verläßt die Patientin, so daß er reichlich zurecht zum Rendezvous erscheint. Etwa zwölf Stunden nachher, gegen sieben Uhr morgens, erwacht der Arzt; der Einfall seines Verschreibens und Angst treten fast gleichzeitig in sein Bewußtsein, und er sendet rasch zu der Kranken, in der Hoffnung, daß das Medikament noch nicht aus der Apotheke geholt sei, und bittet um Rückstellung des Rezepts, um es zu revidieren. Er erhält jedoch
On receiving it however he found that the prescription had already been made up; with a somewhat stoical resignation and an optimism born of experience he went to the chemist, where the dispenser reassured him by explaining that he had naturally (or perhaps by mistake too) made up the drug in a smaller dose.

*Third instance:* The doctor wanted to prescribe a mixture of *Tinct. belladonnae* and *Tinct. opii* in a harmless dose for his old aunt, his mother’s sister. The prescription was immediately taken to the chemist by the maid. A very short time later it occurred to the doctor that instead of “tincture” he had written “extract”, and immediately afterwards the chemist telephoned to question him about the error. The doctor gave as an excuse the untruthful explanation that he had not completed the prescription—it had been carried off from his table with unexpected suddenness, so it was not his fault.

*These three errors in making out prescriptions have the following striking points of resemblance. Up to now it has only happened to the doctor with this one drug; each time it involved a woman patient of advanced years, and each time the dose was too strong. From the brief analysis it emerged that the doctor’s relation to his mother must have been of decisive importance. For he recalled that on one occasion—one, moreover, which most probably occurred before these symptomatic acts—he had made out the same prescription for his mother, who was also an old woman; he had ordered a dose of 0.03, although he was more familiar with the usual dose of 0.02. This, as he told himself, was in order to give her radical help. His frail mother reacted to the drug with congestion in the head and an unpleasant dryness of the throat. She complained of this, alluding half-jokingly to the risks that could come from a consultation with a son. There were in fact other occasions when his mother, who was, incidentally, a doctor’s daughter, raised similar critical and half-jocular objections to drugs recommended at various times by her doctor son, and spoke of being poisoned.

*So far as the present writer can fathom this son’s relations with his mother, there is no doubt that he is an instinctively affectionate child, but his mental estimate of his mother and his personal respect for her are by no means exaggerated. He shares a household with a brother a year younger than himself*
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and with his mother, and has felt for years that this arrangement was inhibiting his erotic freedom. We have, of course, learnt from psycho-analytic experience that such reasons are readily misused as an excuse for an internal [incestuous] attachment. The doctor accepted the analysis, being fairly well satisfied with the explanation, and laughingly suggested that the word 'belladonna' (i.e., beautiful woman) could also have an erotic reference. He had also occasionally used the drug himself in the past.

In my judgement serious parapraxes like the present ones are brought about in exactly the same way as the innocent ones that we normally investigate.

(11) The next slip of the pen, reported by Sándor Ferenczi, will be thought quite especially innocent. It can be understood as an act of condensation, resulting from impatience (compare the slip of the tongue, 'Der Apfe', above, p. 61); and this view might have been maintained if a penetrating analysis of the occurrence had not revealed a stronger disturbing factor:

'I am reminded of the Anekdote', a once wrote in my notebook. Naturally I meant "Anek dote [anecdote]"; actually it was the one about a gipsy who had been sentenced to death [Tode], and who asked as a favour to be allowed himself to choose the tree from which he was to be hanged. (In spite of a keen search he failed to find any suitable tree.)

(12) On the other hand there are times when the most insignificant slip in writing can serve to express a dangerous secret meaning. An anonymous correspondent reports:

'I ended a letter with the words: "Herzlichste Grüße an Ihre Frau Gemahlin und ihren Sohn."' Just before I put the sheet in the envelope I noticed the error I had made in the first letter of "ihren" and corrected it. On the way home from my last visit to this married couple the lady who was with me had remarked that the son bore a striking resemblance to a family friend and was in fact undoubtedly his child.'

1 [This example and No. 12 were added in 1919.]
2 [A non-existent word; but the last part of it, 'Tode', means 'death'.]
3 ['Warmest greetings to your wife and her son.' The German possessive adjective 'ihre', as spelt with a small 'i', means 'her'; when spelt with a capital 'I', it means 'your'.]
(13) A lady sent her sister a message of good wishes on the occasion of her taking up residence in a new and spacious house. A friend who was present noticed that the writer had put the wrong address on the letter. She had not even addressed it to the house that her sister had just left, but to her first house which she had moved into immediately after her marriage and had given up long before. This friend drew the lady's attention to the slip. 'You're right,' she was forced to confess; 'but how did the idea come into my head? Why did I do it?' 'I think,' said her friend, 'you probably grudge her the fine large home which will now be hers, while you feel yourself cramped for space; and therefore you put her back in her first home where she was no better off than you are.' 'I certainly grudge her her new home,' the other frankly admitted, and added: 'What a pity one's always so petty in such things!'

(14) Ernest Jones [1911b, 499] reports the following slip of the pen, which was supplied to him by A. A. Brill:

'A patient wrote to him [Dr. Brill] on the subject of his sufferings, which he tried to attribute to worry about his financial affairs induced by a cotton crisis: "My trouble is all due to that d—d frigid wave; there isn't even any seed." (By "wave" he meant of course a trend in the money market.) What he really wrote, however, was not "wave" but "wife". In the bottom of his heart he cherished half-avowed reproaches against his wife on account of her sexual anaesthesia and childlessness, and he dimly realized, with right, that his life of enforced abstinence played a considerable part in the genesis of his symptoms.'

(15) Dr. R. Wagner (1911) relates of himself:

'In reading through an old lecture note-book I found that I had made a small slip in the hurry of taking down the notes. Instead of "Epithel [epithelium]", I had written "Edithel". If we stress the first syllable we have the diminutive form of a girl's name. The retrospective analysis is simple enough. At the time I made the slip I was only very superficially acquainted with the lady of this name; it was not till much later that our

Zur Psychopathologie des Alltaglebens

13) Eine Dame richtet an ihre Schwester einige beglückwünschende Zeilen zum Einzug in deren neue und geräumige Wohnung. Eine dabei ansprechende Freundin bemerkt, daß die Schreiberin eine falsche Adresse auf den Brief gesetzt hat, und zwar nicht die der eben verlassenen Wohnung, sondern die der ersten, längst aufgegebenen, welche die Schwester als eben verheiratete Frau bezogen hatte. Sie macht die Schreiberin darauf aufmerksam. Sie haben recht, muß diese zugeben, aber wie komme ich darauf? Warum habe ich das getan? Die Freundin meint: Wahrsccheinlich gönnen Sie ihr die schöne große Wohnung nicht, die sie jetzt bekommen soll, während Sie sich selbst im Raum beengt fühlen, und versetzen sie darum in die erste Wohnung zurück, in der sie es auch nicht besser hatte. — Gewiß gönnen ich ihr die neue Wohnung nicht, gesteht die andere ehrlich zu. Sie setzt dann fort: Wie schade, daß man bei diesen Dingen immer so gemein ist!

14) E. Jones teilt folgendes, ihm von A. A. Brill überlassene Beispiel von Verschreiben mit:

Ein Patient richtete an Dr. Brill ein Schreiben, in welchem er sich bemühte, seine Nervosität auf die Sorge und Erregung über den Geschäftsgang des Mitwirkens einer Baumwollkrise zurückzuführen. In diesem Schreiben hieß es: my trouble is all due to that damned frigid wave; there isn't even any seed. Er meinte mit „wave“ natürlich eine Welle, Störung auf dem Geldmarkt; in Wirklichkeit schrieb er aber nicht wave, sondern wife. Auf dem Grunde seines Herzens ruhten Vorwürfe gegen seine Frau wegen ihrer ehemaligen Ähnlichkeit und ihrer Kinderlosigkeit, und er war nicht weit entfernt von der Erkenntnis, daß die ihm aufgezwungene Entbehrung einen großen Anteil an der Verursachung seines Leidens habe.

15) Dr. R. Wagner erzählt von sich im Zentralblatt für Psychoanalyse, I, 12:

relations became intimate. The slip of the pen is therefore a neat indication of the break-through of the unconscious attraction I felt to her at a time when I myself actually had no inkling of it, and my choice of the diminutive form at the same time showed the nature of the accompanying feelings.

(16) From Frau Dr. von Hug-Hellmuth (1912):

'A doctor prescribed "Leviticawasser [Levitical water]" for a woman patient instead of "Levicoawasser". This error, which gave a chemist a welcome opportunity for passing adverse comments, may very well be viewed in a milder light if one looks out for the possible motivations arising from the unconscious and is prepared at any rate to concede them a certain plausibility—even though they are merely the subjective conjectures of someone who is not closely acquainted with the doctor. In spite of his habit of using somewhat harsh language to scold his patients for their far-from-rational diet—to read them a lecture ["die Leviten lesen"], so to speak—the doctor enjoyed great popularity, so that his waiting-room was crowded before and during his consulting hour; and this provided a justification for his wish that the patients he had seen should dress as quickly as possible—"vite, vite" [French for: "quickly, quickly"]. If I remember correctly, his wife was French by birth: this lends some support to my seemingly rather bold assumption that he used French in his wish for greater speed from his patients. It is in any case a habit of many people to draw on foreign words to express such wishes: my own father hurried us along as children on our walks by calling out "avanti gioventu" [Italian for: "forward, youth"] or "marchez au pas" [French for "forward march"]; while a very elderly physician, with whom I was in treatment for a throat complaint as a girl, used to try to inhibit my movements, which seemed much too hasty to him, by murmuring a soothing "piano, piano" [Italian for "gently, gently"]. Thus I can very easily imagine that the other doctor had the same habit too, and so made the slip of writing "Leviticawasser" instead of "Levicoawasser"!

The same paper contains other examples recalled from its author's youth ("fraz;osisch" instead of 'französisch', and a slip in writing the name 'Karl').

1 [A mineral water from the arsenical and chalybeate springs of Levico, a health-resort in South Tyrol.]
I have to thank Herr J. G., who also contributed an example mentioned above for the following account of a slip of the pen. In content it is identical with a notorious bad joke, but in this case the intention of making a joke could be definitely ruled out.

While I was a patient in a (lung-) sanatorium I learnt to my regret that the same illness which had forced me to seek treatment in an institution had been diagnosed in a close relative of mine. In a letter to my relative I recommended him to go to a specialist, a well-known professor, with whom I was myself in treatment, and of whose authority I was very much convinced. In the same letter I had used the following phrase: "and so I advise you to insult Professor X. without delay". I had of course, intended to write "consult". I should perhaps point out that my knowledge of Latin and French rules out the possibility of explaining it as a mistake due to ignorance.

Omissions in writing have naturally a claim to be considered in the same light as slips of the pen. Dattner (1911) has reported a curious instance of a 'historical parapraxis'. In one of the sections of the law dealing with the financial obligations of Austria and Hungary, settled in the 'Compromise' of 1867 between the two countries, the word 'actual' was left out of the Hungarian translation; and Dattner makes it plausible to suppose that the unconscious desire of the Hungarian parliamentary draftsmen to grant Austria the least possible advantages played a part in causing the omission.

We have every reason to suppose, too, that the very frequent

[Added 1920.]

[This other example seems actually to appear below, on p. 224.]

[Added 1912.]

[Dattner's article gives a detailed account of the intricate way in which the omission of the word would have damaged Austria financially.]

[This paragraph and the next one were added in 1917.]
repetitions of the same word in writing and copying—"perseverations"—are likewise not without significance. If the writer repeats a word he has already written, this is probably an indication that it was not so easy for him to get away from it: that he could have said more at that point but had omitted to do so, or something of the kind. Perseveration in copying seems to be a substitute for saying 'I too'. I have had lengthy medical-legal "opinions" before me which show perseverations on the copyist's part at particularly important passages. The interpretation I should have liked to give them would be that, bored with his impersonal role, the copyist was introducing his own gloss: 'Just my case' or 'it's just the same with us.'

(19) Furthermore, there is nothing to prevent our treating misprints as 'writing mistakes' on the compositor's part, and our regarding them as being in a very great measure [psychologically] motivated. I have not set about making a systematic collection of such parapraxes, which could be very amusing and instructive. In the work which I have already referred to a number of times, Jones [1911b, 503-4] has devoted a special section to misprints.

The distortions found in the text of telegrams can also at times be understood as writing mistakes on the telegraphist's part. In the summer holidays I received a telegram from my publishers, the text of which was unintelligible to me. It ran: 'Vorrede erhalten, Einladung X. dringend.' ['Prose received, invitation X. urgent.'] The solution of the riddle starts from the name X. mentioned in it. X. was the author of a book to which I was to write an 'Einleitung [introduction]'. This 'Einleitung' was what had been turned into the 'Einladung [invitation]'! I was then able to recall that some days earlier I had sent my publishers a 'Vorrede [preface]' to another book; so this was the acknowledgement of its arrival. The true text had very probably run: 'Vorrede erhalten, Einleitung X. dringend.' ['Preface received, introduction X. urgent.'] We may assume that it had fallen victim to a revision by the telegraphist's hunger-complex, in the course of which, moreover, the two halves of the sentence became linked more closely than

1 [This paragraph was added in 1920, and the reference to Silberer's paper in 1924.]
the sender had intended. It is, incidentally, a pretty instance of the 'secondary revision' that can be seen at work in most dreams.1

The possibility of 'tendentious misprints' has been discussed by Herbert Silberer (1922).

(20) From time to time other writers have drawn attention to misprints the tendentiousness of which cannot easily be challenged. See, for example, Storfer's paper 'The Political Demon of Misprints' (1914) and his short note (1915) which I reprint here:

'A political misprint is to be found in the issue of März for April 25 of this year. A dispatch from Argyrokastron reported some remarks made by Zographos, the leader of the insurgent Epirotes in Albania (or, if that is preferred, the President of the Independent Government of the Epirus). It included the following phrase: "Believe me: a self-governing Epirus would be in the most fundamental interest of Prince Wied. He could fall down ['sich stürzen', a misprint for 'sich stützen', "support himself"] on it." Even without this fatal misprint the Prince of Albania is no doubt well aware that the acceptance of the support ['Stütze'] offered him by the Epirotes would mean his downfall ['Sturz']."

(21) I myself recently read an article in one of our Vienna daily papers, the title of which—'The Bukovina under Rumanian Rule'—would have at least to be called premature, since at the time Rumania had not yet disclosed herself as an enemy. From the content of the article it was quite clear that the word should have been 'Russian', not 'Rumanian'; yet the censor, too, seems to have found the phrase so little surprising that even he overlooked this misprint.

It is hard2 to avoid suspecting a 'political' misprint on coming across the following 'literal' misprint in a circular from the celebrated (formerly the Imperial and Royal) printing firm of Karl Prochaska in Teschen:

'By a decree of the Entente Powers, fixing the frontier at the River Olsa, not only Silesia but Teschen as well have been

1) Cf. [Section I of] the chapter on the dream-work in my Interpretation of Dreams [1900a, Standard Ed., 5, 488 ff.].
2) [Examples 20 and 21 were added in 1917.]
3) [This example and the following one were added in 1924.]
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Theodor Fontane was once obliged to take up arms in an amusing way against a misprint which was only too full of meaning. On March 29, 1860, he wrote to the publisher Julius Springer:

'Dear Sir,

I seem to be fated not to see my modest wishes fulfilled. A glance at the proof sheets which I enclose will tell you what I mean. What is more, I have been sent only one set of proofs, although I need two, for reasons which I have already given. And my request that the first set should be returned to me for further revision—with special regard to the English words and phrases—has not been carried out. I set great store by this. For instance, on page 27 of the present sheets a scene between John Knox and the Queen contains the words: "worauf Maria aasrief." In the face of such a fulminating mistake, it would be a relief to know that it has really been removed. The unfortunate "aas" for "aus" is made all the worse by there being no doubt that she (the queen) must really have called him that to herself.

'Yours faithfully,
'Theodor Fontane.'

Wundt (1900, 374) gives an explanation which deserves notice for the fact (which can easily be confirmed) that we make slips of the pen more readily than slips of the tongue. In the course of normal speaking the inhibitory function of the will is continuously directed to bringing the course of ideas and the articulatory movements into harmony with each other. If the expressive movement which follows the ideas is retarded

1) The word should have been the similarly pronounced "zuviel", 'fell to the share of'. The German-Austrian compositor objected to the distribution of what had been part of the Hapsburg Empire.
2) The book in question was Beyond the Tweed: Sketches and Letters from Scotland, which Julius Springer published in 1860.
3) On which Mary "aasrief"; i.e., cried 'Aas' (literally, 'carion'; colloquially, 'filthy blackguard'). The word should have been 'ausrief', meaning simply 'cried out'.
4) This paragraph and the following one date back to 1901.
through mechanical causes, as is the case in writing . . . , such anticipations make their appearance with particular ease. 1

Observation of the conditions under which misreadings occur gives rise to a doubt which I should not like to leave unmentioned, because it can, I think, become the starting-point for a fruitful investigation. Everyone knows how frequently the reader finds that in reading aloud his attention wanders from the text and turns to his own thoughts. As a result of this digression on the part of his attention he is often unable, if interrupted and questioned, to give any account of what he has read. He has read, as it were, automatically, but almost always correctly. 2 I do not think that under such conditions mistakes in reading show a noticeable increase. There is in fact a whole series of functions which we are accustomed to assume most exactly when done automatically—that is, with scarcely any conscious attention. 3 From this it seems to follow that the factor of attention in mistakes in speaking, reading and writing must be determined in a different way from that described by Wundt (cessation or diminution of attention). The examples which we have subjected to analysis have not really justified us in assuming that there was a quantitative lessening of attention; we found something which is perhaps not quite the same thing: a disturbance of attention by an alien thought which claims consideration.

Between 'slips of the pen' and 'forgetting' 4 may be inserted the case of someone who forgets to append a signature. An unsigned cheque comes to the same thing as a forgotten cheque.

For the significance of a forgetting of a similar kind I will cite a passage from a novel, which Dr. Hanns Sachs came upon:

'A very instructive and transparent example of the sureness

2 [For an example (from Meringer and Mayer, 1895) of what Wundt means by a 'anticipation' see pp. 33-4 above.]
3 [Freud had commented on this fact in his monograph on aphasia (1911), 76]. A translation of the passage in question will be found in Appendix C to the English version of his paper on 'The Unconscious' (1915), Standard Ed., 14, 212.]
4 [Instances of attention interfering with the automatic process involved in the appreciation of jokes will be found in Freud's book on jokes (1905), see Standard Ed., 8, 151-2. Cf. also p. 273 below.]
4 [The remainder of the chapter was added in 1919.]
with which imaginative writers know how to employ the mechanism of parapraxes and symptomatic acts in the psychoanalytic sense is contained in John Galsworthy's novel *The Island Pharisees*. The story centres round the vacillations of a young man of the well-to-do middle-class between his strong social sympathy and the conventional attitudes of his class. Chapter XXVI portrays the way in which he reacts to a letter from a young ne'er-do-well, to whom—prompted by his original attitude to life—he had supplied help on two or three occasions. The letter contains no direct request for money, but paints a picture of great distress which can have no other meaning. Its recipient at first rejects the idea of throwing the money away on a hopeless case instead of using it to support charitable causes. "To give a helping hand, a bit of a nod of fellowship to any fellow-being irrespective of a claim, merely because he happened to be down, was sentimental nonsense! The line must be drawn! But in the muttering of this conclusion he experienced a twinge of honesty. 'Humbug! You don't want to part with your money, that's all!'"

'Thereupon he wrote a friendly letter, ending with the words: 'I enclose a cheque. Yours sincerely, Richard Shelton.'

"'Before he had written out the cheque, a moth fluttering round the candle distracted his attention, and by the time he had caught and put it out he had forgotten that the cheque was not enclosed.' The letter was posted in fact just as it was.

'There is however an even subtler motivation for the lapse of memory than the break-through of the selfish purpose, which had apparently been surmounted, of avoiding giving away the money.

'At the country seat of his future parents-in-law, surrounded by his fiancée, her family and their guests, Shelton felt isolated; his parapraxis indicates that he longed for his protégé who, as a result of his past and of his view of life, forms a complete contrast to the irreproachable company, uniformly moulded by one and the same set of conventions, that surround him. And in fact this person, who can no longer keep his place without being supported, does in fact arrive some days later to get an explanation of why the promised cheque was not there.'
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Sicherheit die Dichter den Mechanismus der Fehl- und Symptomhandlungen im Sinne der Psychoanalyse zu verwenden wissen, enthält der Roman von John Galsworthy: 'The Island Pharisees.' Im Mittelpunkt steht das Schwanken eines jungen Mannes, der dem reichen Mittelstand angehört, zwischen tiefem sozialen Mitgefühl und den gesellschaftlichen Konventionen seiner Klasse. Im XXVI. Kapitel wird geschildert, wie er auf einen Brief eines jungen Vagabunden reagiert, den er, durch seine originelle Lebensauffassung angezogen, einigemal unterstützt hatte. Der Brief enthält keine direkte Bitte um Geld, aber die Schilderung einer großen Notlage, die keine andere Deutung zuläßt. Der Empfänger weist zunächst den Gedanken von sich, das Geld an einen Unverbesserlichen wegzuwerfen, statt damit wohlthätige Anstalten zu unterstützen. 'Eine hoffende Hand, ein Stück von sich selbst, ein kameradschaftliches Nicken einem Mitgeschopf zu geben, ohne Rücksicht auf einen Anspruch, nur weil es ihm eben schlecht ging, welch ein sentimentaler Unsinn! Irgendwo muß der Scheidestrich gezogen werden!' Aber während er diese Schlußfolgerung vor sich hinzurmerte, fühlte er, wie seine Aufrichtigkeit Einspruch erhob: 'Schwindler! Du willst dein Geld behalten, das ist alles!'

Er schreibt daraufhin einen freundlichen Brief, der mit den Worten endigt: 'Ich schließe einen Scheck bei. Aufrichtig Ihr Richard Shelton.'

'Before er noch den Scheck geschrieben hatte, hatte eine Motte die Kerze schwirrte, seine Aufmerksamkeit ab; er ging daran, sie zu fangen und im Freien loszulassen, darüber vergaß er aber, daß der Scheck nicht in den Brief eingeschlossen war.' Der Brief wird auch wirklich, so wie er ist, befördert.

Das Vergessen ist aber noch seiner motiviert als durch die Durchsetzung der scheinbar überwundenen selbststüchtigen Tendenz, sich die Ausgabe zu ersparen.

Shelton fühlt sich auf dem Lande mit seiner künftigen Schwiegereltern mitten zwischen seiner Braut, ihrer Familie und deren Gästen vereinsamt; durch seine Fehlhandlung wird angedeutet, daß er sich nach seinem Schützling sehnt, der durch seine Vergangenheit und Lebensausstattung den vollsten Gegensatz zu der ihn umgebenden tadellosen, nach ein und derselben Konvention gleichförmig abgestempelten Umgebung bildet. Tatsächlich kommt dieser, der ohne die Unterstützung sich auf seinem Posten nicht mehr halten kann, einige Tage zahmer an, um sich Aufklärung über die Gründe der Abwesenheit des angekündigten Schecks zu verschaffen.'
CHAPTER VII

THE FORGETTING OF IMPRESSIONS AND INTENTIONS

If anyone should feel inclined to over-estimate the state of our present knowledge of mental life, a reminder of the function of memory is all that would be needed to force him to be more modest. No psychological theory has yet succeeded in giving a connected account of the fundamental phenomenon of remembering and forgetting; in fact, the complete analysis of what can actually be observed has so far scarcely been begun. To-day, forgetting has perhaps become more of a puzzle than remembering, ever since we have learnt from the study of dreams and pathological phenomena that even something we thought had been forgotten long ago may suddenly re-emerge in consciousness.

There are, it is true, a few indications already in our possession which we expect to be accepted generally. We assume that forgetting is a spontaneous process which may be regarded as requiring a certain length of time. We lay stress on the fact that forgetting involves a certain selection taking place from among the impressions presented to us, and similarly from among the details of each impression or experience. We know some of the conditions enabling what would otherwise have been forgotten to be retained in the memory and to be re-awakened. Nevertheless, on countless occasions in daily life we can observe how imperfect and unsatisfactory our understanding of these conditions is. Thus we may listen to two people

1 [The first part of this chapter, up to p. 140, dates back to 1901.]
2 [The following note is to be found at this point in Freud's interleaved copy of the 1904 edition (cf. Editor's Introduction, p. viii): 'Normal forgetting takes place by way of condensation. In this way it becomes the basis for the formation of concepts. What is isolated is perceived clearly. Repression makes use of the mechanism of condensation and produces a confusion with other similar cases. In addition, trends from other quarters take possession of the indifferent material and cause it to be distorted and falsified.' Cf. a footnote added in 1907, p. 274 below, where these ideas are developed.]
who were in receipt of the same external impressions—who took a journey together, for example [cf. p. 30 ff.]—exchanging recollections at some later date. What has remained firm in the memory of one of them has often been forgotten by the other, as if it had never happened; and this is true even where there is no justification for assuming that the impression was psychically of greater importance for the one than for the other. A whole quantity of factors determining the choice of what is to be remembered are obviously still beyond our ken.

With the aim of making a small contribution to our knowledge of the determinants of forgetting I make it my practice to submit to a psychological analysis those cases in which I myself forget something. I am as a rule only concerned with a certain group of these cases, namely those in which the forgetting surprises me because I should have expected to know the thing in question. I may add that I am not in general inclined to forget things (things I have experienced, that is, not things I have learned!), and that for a short period of my youth some unusual feats of memory were not beyond me. When I was a schoolboy I took it as a matter of course that I could repeat by heart the page I had been reading; and shortly before I entered the University I could write down almost verbatim popular lectures on scientific subjects directly after hearing them. In the period of tension before my final medical examination I must have made use once more of what remained of this faculty, for in some subjects I gave the examiners, as though it were automatically, answers which faithfully followed the words of the textbook that I had skimmed through only once in the greatest haste.

Since then the command that I have over my store of memories has steadily deteriorated; yet right up to the most recent times I have convinced myself over and over again that with the aid of a certain device I can remember far more than I would otherwise have believed possible. When, for instance, a patient in my consulting hour claims that I have seen him before and I can recall neither the fact nor the time, I help myself by guessing: that is to say, I quickly think of a number of years, counting back from the present. In cases where records or more definite information from the patient enable me to check what has come to my mind, they show that I have rarely
been more than half a year out in ten. I have a similar experience when I meet a distant acquaintance and out of politeness enquire after his small children. If he describes their progress I try to think at random of the child's present age. I afterwards check my estimate by what the father tells me; and at the most I am wrong by a month, or with older children by three months, although I am unable to say on what my estimate was based. I have latterly grown so bold that I always produce my conscious memory, which is in any case far more extensive.

I shall accordingly cite some striking examples of forgetting, most of which I observed in myself. I distinguish the forgetting of impressions and experiences—i.e., of knowledge—from the forgetting of intentions—i.e., from omission to do things. I can state in advance the invariable result of the entire series of observations: in every case the forgetting turned out to be based on a motive of unpleasure.

(A) THE FORGETTING OF IMPRESSIONS AND KNOWLEDGE

1) One summer holiday my wife made me greatly annoyed though the cause was innocent enough. We were sitting at table d'hôte opposite a gentleman from Vienna whom I knew and who no doubt remembered me too. However, I had reasons of my own for not renewing the acquaintance. My wife, who had heard no more than his distinguished name, revealed too plainly that she was listening to his conversation with his neighbours, for from time to time she turned to me with questions that took up the thread of their discussion. I became impatient and finally irritated. Some weeks later I was complaining to a relative about this behaviour on my wife's part but was unable to recall a single word of the gentleman's conversation. As I am normally rather apt to harbour grievances and can forget no


A) VERGESSEN VON EINDRÜCKEN UND Kenntnissen

detail of an incident that has annoyed me, my amnesia in the present case was probably motivated by consideration for my wife. A short time ago I had a similar experience. I wished to have a good laugh with an intimate friend over a remark made by my wife only a few hours before, but was prevented from doing so by the singular fact that I had utterly forgotten what she had said. I had first to ask my wife to remind me what it was. It is easy to understand my forgetfulness here as being analogous to\(^1\) the typical disturbance of judgement to which we are subject where those nearest to us are concerned.

(2) I had undertaken to get a lady who was a stranger to Vienna a small strong-box for her documents and money. When I offered my services I had in my mind’s eye an unusually vivid picture of a shop-window in the Inner Town\(^2\) in which I was sure I had seen boxes of the kind. I could not, it was true, recall the name of the street, but I felt sure that I would find the shop if I walked through the town, since my memory told me I had passed it on countless occasions. To my chagrin I had no success in finding the shop-window with the strong-boxes, though I walked all over the Inner Town in every direction. I decided that the only course left was to look up the firms of safe-manufacturers in a trades directory, so as to be able to identify the shop on a second walk round the town. Such extreme measures, however, did not prove necessary; among the addresses given in the directory was one which I immediately recognized as the one I had forgotten. It was true that I had passed the shop-window innumerable times—every time, in fact, that I had visited the M. family, who have lived for many years in the same building. Our intimate friendship later gave place to a total estrangement; after that, I fell into the habit—the reasons for which I never considered—of also avoiding the neighbourhood and the house. On my walk through the town in search of the shop-window with the strong-boxes I had passed through every street in the district but this one, which I had avoided as if it were forbidden territory. The motive of unpleasure responsible in the present case for my failure to find my way is easy to recognize. The mechanism of forgetting, however, is not so simple here as in the preceding example. My aversion naturally applied not to the safe-manufacturer but to

---

1 [In 1901 only: ‘an instance of’] 2 [The central part of Vienna]
another person, whom I did not want to think about; and from this latter person it was then transferred to this occasion where it produced the forgetting. The case of 'Burckhard' [p. 117] was very similar; my grudge against one person of this name induced me to make a slip in writing the same name when it referred to someone else. The part there played by identity of name in establishing a connection between two essentially different groups of thoughts was able to be replaced in the example of the shop-window by spatial contiguity, inseparable proximity. This latter case was, incidentally, more firmly knit; there was a second connection there, one involving its subject-matter, for money played a part among the reasons for my estrangement from the family living in the building.

(3) I was requested by the firm of B. and R. to pay a professional visit to one of their staff. On my way there I was possessed by the thought that I must repeatedly have been in the building where their firm had its premises. It was as if I had noticed their plate on a lower storey while I was paying a professional visit on a higher one. I could however recall neither what house it was nor whom I had visited there. Although the whole matter was of no importance or consequence, I nevertheless turned my mind to it and finally discovered in my usual roundabout way, by collecting the thoughts that occurred to me in connection with it, that the premises of the firm of B. and R. were on the floor below the Pension Fischer, where I have frequently visited patients. At the same time I also recalled the building that housed the offices and the pension. It was still a puzzle to me what motive was at work in this forgetting. I found nothing offensive to my memory in the firm itself or in the Pension Fischer or the patients who lived there. Moreover, I suspected that nothing very distressing could be involved; otherwise I would hardly have succeeded in recovering in a roundabout way what I had forgotten, without resorting to external assistance as I had in the previous example. It finally occurred to me that while I was actually on my way to this new patient, a gentleman whom I had difficulty in recognizing had greeted me in the street. I had seen this man some months before in an apparently grave condition and had passed sentence on him with a diagnosis of progressive paralysis; but

[In 1901, 1904 and 1907 only: 'a great part'.]
later I heard he had recovered, so that my judgement must have been wrong. Unless, that is, there had been a remission of the type that is also found in dementia paralytica—in which case my diagnosis would be justified after all! The influence that made me forget where the offices of B. and R. were came from my meeting with this person, and my interest in solving the problem of what I had forgotten was transferred to it from this case of disputed diagnosis. But the associative link (for there was only a slender internal connection—the man who recovered contrary to expectation was also an official in a large firm which used to recommend patients to me) was provided by an identity of names. The physician with whom I had seen the supposed case of paralysis was also called Fischer, like the pension which was in the building and which I had forgotten.

(4) Mislaying something is really the same as forgetting where it has been put. Like most people who are occupied with writing and books I know my way about on my writing-table and can lay my hands straight away on what I want. What appears to other people as disorder is for me order with a history behind it. Why, then, did I recently mislay a book catalogue, which had been sent to me, so that it was impossible to find it? I had in fact intended to order a book, Über die Sprache [On Language], which was advertised in it, since it was by an author whose witty and lively style I like and whose insight in psychology and knowledge of the history of civilization I have learnt to value. I believe that this is precisely why I mislaid the catalogue. For it is my habit to lend books by this author to my acquaintances for their enlightenment, and a few days previously one of them had remarked as he returned a copy: 'His style reminds me very much of your own, and his way of thinking, too, is the same as yours.' The speaker did not know what he was touching on by that remark. Years before, when I was younger and in greater need of outside contacts, an elder colleague to whom I had praised the writings of a well-known medical author had made almost the same comment: 'It's just your style and your manner.' Prompted by this remark I had written a letter to the author seeking closer relations with him, but had been put in my place by a chilly answer. Perhaps still earlier discouraging experiences as well lie concealed behind
this one, for I never found the mislaid catalogue and was in fact deterred by this omen from ordering the advertised book, although the disappearance of the catalogue formed no real hindrance since I could remember the names of both book and author.1

(5) Another case of mislaying merits our interest on account of the conditions under which the mislaid object was rediscovered. A youngish man told me the following story: 'Some years ago there were misunderstandings between me and my wife. I found her too cold, and although I willingly recognized her excellent qualities we lived together without any tender feelings. One day, returning from a walk, she gave me a book which she had bought because she thought it would interest me. I thanked her for this mark of 'attention', promised to read the book and put it on one side. After that I could never find it again. Months passed by, in which time I occasionally remembered the lost book and made vain attempts to find it. About six months later my dear mother, who was not living with us, fell ill. My wife left home to nurse her mother-in-law. The patient's condition became serious and gave my wife an opportunity of showing the best side of herself. One evening I returned home full of enthusiasm and gratitude for what my wife had accomplished. I walked up to my desk, and without any definite intention but with a kind of somnambulistic certainty opened one of the drawers. On the very top I found the long-lost book I had mislaid.' 4

(6) A case of mislaying which shares the last characteristic of the above example—namely, the remarkable sureness shown in finding the object again once the motive for its being mislaid had expired—is reported by Stärcke (1916):

'A girl had spoiled a piece of material in cutting it out to make a collar; so the dressmaker had to come and do her best to put it right. When she had arrived and the girl wanted to fetch the

1 Accidental occurrences of various sorts which since Theodor Vischer [see footnote 1, p. 170 below] have been put down to 'the perseverance of things' might, I think, be similarly explained.

2 [Added 1907.]

3 [Footnote 1, p. 168, below.]

4 [Later quoted by Freud in the third of his Introductory Lectures (1916-17).]

5 [Added 1917.]

denn ich habe den verlegten Katalog nicht wiedergefunden und bin durch dieses Vorzeichen wirklich abgehalten worden, das angezeigte Buch zu bestellen, obwohl ein wirkliches Hindernis durch das Verschwinden des Katalogs nicht geschaffen worden ist. Ich habe ja die Namen des Buches und des Autors im Gedächtnis behalten'.


Einen Fall von Verlegen, der in dem letzten Charakter mit diesem zusammentrifft, in der merkwürdigen Sicherheit des Wiederfindens, wenn das Motiv des Verlegens erloschen ist, erzählt J. Stärcke (l. c.).

6) „Ein junges Mädchen hatte einen Lappen, aus welchem sie einen Kragen anfertigen wollte, im Zuschneiden verdorben. Nun mußte die Näherin kommen und versuchen, es noch zurechtzubringen. Als die Näherin gekommen war und das Mädchen den
badly-cut collar, she went to the drawer where she thought she had put it; but she could not find it. She turned the contents upside down without discovering it. Sitting down in exasperation she asked herself why it had suddenly disappeared and whether there was not some reason why she did not want to find it. She came to the conclusion that of course she felt ashamed in front of the dressmaker for having bungled something so simple as a collar. After this reflection she stood up, went to another cupboard and was able to lay her hands straight away on the badly-cut collar.'

(7) The following example of 'mislaying' is of a type that has become familiar to every psycho-analyst. I may remark that the patient responsible for it found the solution himself:

'A patient, whose psycho-analytic treatment was interrupted by the summer holidays at a time when he was in a state of resistance and felt unwell, put his bunch of keys in its usual place—or so he thought—when he undressed for the night. Then he remembered that there were a few more things that he needed for his journey next day—the last day of treatment and the date on which his fee was due—and he went to get them out of the writing-desk, in which he had also put his money. But the keys had disappeared. He began to make a systematic but increasingly agitated search of his small flat—without success. Since he recognized the "mislaying" of the keys as a symptomatic act—that is, as something he had done intentionally—he woke his servant in order to continue the search with the aid of an "unprejudiced" person. After another hour he gave it up and was afraid he had lost the keys. Next morning he ordered new keys from the makers of the desk, and they were hastily made for him. Two friends, who had come home with him in the same cab, thought they remembered hearing something fall with a clink on the ground as he stepped out of the cab. He was convinced that his keys had fallen from his pocket. That evening the servant triumphantly presented him with the keys. They had been found lying between a thick book and a thin pamphlet (a work by one of my pupils) which he wanted to take away to read on his holiday. They were so cleverly placed that no one would have suspected they were there. He

[Added 1910.]
found himself afterwards unable to replace them so that they were equally invisible. The unconscious dexterity with which an object is mislaid on account of hidden but powerful motives is very reminiscent of "sommambulistic certainty". The motive, as one would expect, was ill-temper at the treatment being interrupted and secret rage at having to pay a high fee when he was feeling so unwell.

(8) A man, Brill [1912] relates, "was urged by his wife to attend a social function in which he really took no interest . . . Yielding to his wife's entreaties, he began to take his dress-suit from the trunk when he suddenly thought of shaving. After accomplishing this he returned to the trunk and found it locked. Despite a long, earnest search the key could not be discovered.

No locksmith was available on Sunday evening, so that the couple had to send their regrets. When he had the trunk opened the next morning the lost key was found within. The husband had absent-mindedly dropped the key into the trunk and sprung the lock. He assured me that this was wholly unintentional and unconscious, but we know that he did not wish to go to this social affair. The mislaying of the key therefore lacked no motive.'

Ernest Jones [1911b, 506] observed in himself that he was in the habit of mislaying his pipe whenever he had smoked too much and felt unwell in consequence. The pipe then turned up in all sorts of places where it did not belong and where it was not normally put away.

(9) An innocent case, in which the motivation was admitted, is reported by Dora Müller (1915):

"Fraulein E. A. told me two days before Christmas: "Can you imagine? Yesterday evening I took a piece of my gingerbread from the packet and ate it; at the same time I thought I would have to offer some to Fraulein S." (her mother's companion) "when she came to say goodnight to me. I didn't particularly want to, but I made up my mind to do so all the same. Later on when she came I reached out to get the packet from my table; but it was not there. I had a look for it and found it"

[1 See below, footnote 1, p. 168.]
[2 Added 1912. —This is quoted from Brill's original, of which Freud has made a very slightly modified translation.]
[3 This example and No. 10 were added in 1917.]
inside my cupboard. I had put the packet away there without realizing." No analysis was necessary; the narrator herself understood the sequence of events. The impulse of wanting to keep the cake all to herself, which had just been repressed, had nevertheless achieved its end in the automatic act, though in this case it was cancelled out once more by the subsequent conscious act.

(10) Sachs describes how, by a similar act of mislaying, he once avoided the duty of working: 'Last Sunday afternoon I hesitated for some time over whether I should work or take a walk and pay a visit at the end of it; but after a bit of struggle I decided in favour of the former. After about an hour I noticed that my supply of paper was exhausted. I knew that somewhere in a drawer there was a stack of paper that I had had for years, but I looked in vain for it in my writing desk and in other places where I thought I might find it, although I went to a lot of trouble and rummaged round in every possible place—old books, pamphlets, letters and so on. Thus I finally found myself compelled to break off my work and go out after all. When I returned home in the evening, I sat down on the sofa, and, sunk in thought and half absent-mindedly, gazed at the bookcase in front of me. A box caught my eye and I remembered that I had not examined its contents for a long time. So I went over and opened it. At the very top was a leather portfolio containing unused paper. But it was only when I had taken it out and was on the point of putting it in the drawer of my desk that it occurred to me that this was the very same paper I had been unsuccessfully looking for in the afternoon. I must add here that although I am not ordinarily thrifty I am very careful with paper and keep any scraps that can be used. It was obviously this practice of mine, which is nourished by an instinct, that enabled my forgetfulness to be corrected as soon as the immediate motive for it had disappeared.'

If a survey is made of cases of mislaying, it in fact becomes hard to believe that anything is ever mislaid except as a result of an unconscious intention.

(11) One day in the summer of 1901 I remarked to a friend...
with whom I used at that time to have a lively exchange of scientific ideas: "These problems of the neuroses are only to be solved if we base ourselves wholly and completely on the assumption of the original bisexuality of the individual." To which he replied: 'That's what I told you two and a half years ago at Br. [Breslau] when we went for that evening walk. But you wouldn't hear of it then.' It is painful to be requested in this way to surrender one's originality. I could not recall any such conversation or this pronouncement of my friend's. One of us must have been mistaken and on the 'cui prodest?' principle it must have been myself. Indeed, in the course of the next week I remembered the whole incident, which was just as my friend had tried to recall it to me; I even recollected the answer I had given him at the time: 'I've not accepted that yet; I'm not inclined to go into the question.' But since then I have grown a little more tolerant when, in reading medical literature, I come across one of the few ideas with which my name can be associated, and find that my name has not been mentioned.

Finding fault with one's wife, a friendship which has turned into its opposite, a doctor's error in diagnosis, a rebuff by someone with similar interests, borrowing someone else's ideas—it can hardly be accidental that a collection of instances of forgetting, gathered at random, should require me to enter into such distressing subjects in explaining them. On the contrary, I suspect that everyone who is willing to enquire into the motives behind his lapses of memory will be able to record a similar sample list of objectionable subjects. The tendency to forget what is disagreeable seems to me to be a quite universal one; the capacity to do so is doubtless developed with different degrees of strength in different people. It is probable that many instances of disowning which we encounter in our medical work

[In 1901 and 1904 only: 'One day in the summer of this year I remarked to my friend Fl., with whom I have a lively exchange.' The friend was Wilhelm Flies. The date of the conversation was not 1901 but 1900. This was in fact the last occasion on which the two men were together. A full account of the episode will be found in Jones, 1953, 344 ff. The present example was evidently written in the latter part of 1900 and afterwards erroneously dated.]

["Who benefits?"—the traditional question the answer to which points to the person guilty of a crime.]
are to be traced to forgetting. It is true that our view of such forgetting limits the distinction between the two forms of behaviour [disowning and forgetting] to purely psychological factors and allows us to see in both modes of reaction the expression of the same motive. Of all the numerous examples of the disavowal of unpleasant memories which I have observed on the part of relatives of patients, one remains in my recollection as especially singular. A mother was giving me information about the childhood of her neurotic son, now in his

1 [Footnote added 1907:] If we ask someone whether he suffered from a hectic infection ten or fifteen years ago, we are too apt to overlook the fact that, from a psychical point of view, he will have regarded this illness quite differently from, let us say, an acute attack of rheumatism.

—in the anamneses which parents give about their daughters' neurotic illnesses, it is hardly possible to distinguish with certainty between what has been forgotten and what is being concealed, since everything standing in the way of a girl's future marriage is systematically set aside, i.e. repressed, by the parents.—[Added 1910:] A man who had recently lost his dearly-loved wife from an affection of the lungs reported the following instance to me in which misleading answers given to the doctor's inquiries could only be ascribed to forgetting of this kind. As my poor wife's pleuritis had still not improved after many weeks, Dr. P. was called into consultation. In taking the anamnesis he asked the usual questions, including whether there were any cases of lung illness in my wife's family. My wife said there were none and I could not recall any either. As Dr. P. was leaving, the conversation turned, as though accidentally, to the subject of excursions and my wife said: "Yes, it's a long journey, too, to Langendorf, where my poor brother's buried." This brother died about fifteen years ago after suffering for years from tuberculosis. My wife was very fond of him and had often spoken to me about him. In fact it now occurred to me that at the time that her pleuritis was diagnosed she was very worried and remarked gloomily: "My brother died of a lung complaint too." But now, the memory was so strongly repressed that even after her remark about the excursion to Langendorf she was not led to correct the information she had given about illnesses in her family. I myself became aware of the lapse of memory at the very moment she spoke of Langendorf. —[Added 1912:] A completely analogous experience is related by Jones in the work to which I have referred several times already [Jones, 1911b, 484]. A physician, whose wife suffered from an abdominal complaint the diagnosis of which was uncertain, remarked by way of comforting her: 'It is fortunate at any rate that there has been no tuberculosis in your family." 'Have you forgotten', answered his wife in the greatest astonishment, 'that my mother died of tuberculosis and that my sister recovered from it only after having been given up by the doctors?'

wahrscheinlich auf Vergessen zurückzuführen. Unsere Auf­
assung eines solchen Vergessens beschränkt den Unterschied
zwischen dem und jenem Benehmen allerdings auf rein psycholo­
gische Verhältnisse und gestattet uns, in beiden Reaktionsweisen
den Ausdruck desselben Motives zu sehen. Von all den zahlreichen
Beispielen der Verleugnung unangenehmer Erinnerungen, die
ich bei Angehörigen von Kranken gesehen habe, ist mir eines
als besonders seitens im Gedächtnis geblieben. Eine Mutter
informierte mich über die Kinderjahre ihres nervösen, in der

rüst: "Diese ist dir neu, daß meine Mutter an Tuberkulose gestorben ist und daß meine Schwester von ihrer Tuberkulose nicht eher hergestellt wurde, als bis die Ärzte sie aufgegeben hatten."
Pubertät behinderter Söhnes und erzählte dabei, daß er wie seine Geschwister bis in späte Jahre an Bettelnissen gelitten habe, was ja für eine neurotische Krankengeschichte nicht bedeutungslos ist.

Einige Wochen später, als sie sich Auskunft über den Stand der Behandlung holen wollte, hatte ich Anlaß, sie auf die Zeichen konstitutioneller Krankheitsanlage bei dem jungen Mann aufmerksam zu machen, undrief mich hiebei auf das ana
nnostisch erhobene Bettelnisse. Zu meinem Erstaunen bestritt sie die Tatsache sowohl für dies als auch für die anderen Kinder, fragte mich, ob ich das wissen könne, und hörte endlich von mir, daß sie selbst es mir vor kurzer Zeit erzählt habe, was also von ihr vergessen worden war.  

Man findet also auch bei gesunden, nicht neurotischen Menschen reichlich Anzeichen dafür, daß sich der Erinnerung an peinliche Eindrücke, der Vorstellung peinlicher Gedanken, ein Widerstand entgegengesetzt. Die volle Bedeutung dieser Tatsache 


only when the psychology of neurotic people is investigated. We are forced to regard as one of the main pillars of the mechanism supporting hysterical symptoms an elementary endeavour of this kind to fend off ideas that can arouse feelings of unpleasure—an endeavour which can only be compared with the flight-reflex in the presence of painful stimuli. The assumption that a defensive trend of this kind exists cannot be objected to on the ground that one often enough finds it impossible, on the contrary, to get rid of distressing memories that pursue one, and to banish distressing affective impulses like remorse and the pangs of conscience. For we are not asserting that this defensive trend is able to put itself into effect in every case, that in the interplay of psychological forces it may not come up against factors which, for other purposes, aim at the opposite effect and bring it about in spite of the defensive trend. It may be surmised that the architectonic principle of the mental apparatus lies in a stratification—a building up of superimposed agencies; and it is quite possible that this defensive endeavour belongs to a lower psychical agency and is inhibited by higher agencies. At all events, if we can trace back processes such as those found in our examples of forgetting to this defensive trend, that fact speaks in favour of its existence and power. As we have seen, a number of things are forgotten on their own account; where this is not possible, the defensive trend shifts its target and causes something else at least to be forgotten, something less important which has come into associative connection with the thing that is really objectionable.

The view developed here, that distressing memories succumb especially easily to motivated forgetting, deserves to find application in many spheres where no attention, or too little, has so far been paid to it. Thus it seems to me that it has still not yet been sufficiently strongly emphasized in assessing testimony in courts of law, where the process of putting a witness off unpleasure. But none of us has been able to portray the phenomenon and its psychological basis so exhaustively and at the same time so impressively as Nietzsche in one of his aphorisms (Jenseits von Gut und Böse, IV, 68): "I did this," says my Memory. "I cannot have done this," says my Pride and remains inexorable. In the end—Memory yields. [Freud had had his attention drawn to this saying by the 'Rat Man', whose case history was published very shortly before the date of this footnote (1909d), Standard Ed., 10, 184.]

1 Cf. Gross (1898). [See footnote, p. 254, below.]
on oath is clearly expected to have much too great a purifying influence on the play of his psychical forces. It is universally acknowledged that where the origin of a people's traditions and legendary history are concerned, a motive of this kind, whose aim is to wipe from memory whatever is distressing to national feeling, must be taken into consideration. Closer investigation would perhaps reveal a complete analogy between the ways in which the traditions of a people and the childhood memories of the individual come to be formed. The great Darwin laid down a 'golden rule' for the scientific worker based on his insight into the part played by unpleasure as a motive for forgetting.

In a very similar way to the forgetting of names [p. 1], the forgetting of impressions can be accompanied by faulty recollection; and this, where it finds credence, is described as paramnesia. Paramnesia in pathological cases—in paranoia it actually plays the part of a constituent factor in the formation of the delusion—has brought forth an extensive literature in which I have entirely failed to find any hint whatever as to its influence. As this is also a subject which belongs to the psychology of the neuroses it is inappropriate to consider it in the present context. Instead, I shall describe a singular paramnesia of my own, in which the motivation provided by unconscious, repressed material and the manner and nature of the connection with this material can be recognized clearly enough.

While I was writing the later chapters of my book on dream-interpretation, I happened to be at a summer resort without access to libraries and works of reference, and I was forced to

1 [Cf. above, p. 48.]

2 [This sentence was added in 1912.]

3 [Footnote added 1912.] Ernest Jones [1911b, 480] has drawn attention to the following passage in Darwin's autobiography [1958, 123], which convincingly reflects his scientific honesty and his psychological acumen:

'I had, during many years, followed a golden rule, namely, that whenever a published fact, a new observation or thought came across me, which was opposed to my general results, to make a memorandum of it without fail and at once; for I had found by experience that such facts and thoughts were far more apt to escape from the memory than favourable ones.'

4) Ernest Jones verweist auf folgende Stelle in der Autobiographie Darwin's, welche seine wissenschaftliche Ehrlichkeit und seinen psychologischen Schrifttum übersetzend widerspiegelt:

'I had, during many years, followed a golden rule, namely, that whenever a published fact, a new observation or thought came across me, which was opposed to my general results, to make a memorandum of it without fail and at once; for I had found by experience that such facts and thoughts were far more apt to escape from the memory than favourable ones.' — "Viele Jahre hindurch befolgte ich eine goldene Regel. Fand ich nämlich eine veröffentlichte Tatsache, eine neue Beobachtung oder einen Gedanken, welcher einem meiner allgemeinen Ergebnisse widersprach, so notierte ich dieselben sofort möglichst untergetan. Denn die Erfahrung hatte mich gelehrt, daß solche Tatsachen und Erfahrungen dem Gedächtnis leichter entwichen als die uns gelehrt,“
incorporate in my manuscript from memory all sorts of references and quotations, subject to later correction. In writing the passage on day-dreams I thought of the excellent example of the poor book-keeper in Alphonse Daudet's Le Nabab, in whose person the writer was probably portraying his own reveries. I imagined I had a distinct memory of one of the phantasies which this man—I called him Monsieur Jocelyn—hatched out on his walks through the streets of Paris; and I began to reproduce it from memory. It was a phantasy of how Monsieur Jocelyn boldly threw himself at the head of a runaway horse in the street, and brought it to a stop; how the carriage door opened and a great personage stepped out, pressed Monsieur Jocelyn's hand and said: 'You are my saviour, I owe my life to you. What can I do for you?'

Any inaccuracies in my own account of this phantasy could, I assured myself, easily be corrected at home when I had the book in front of me. But when I finally looked through Le Nabab to check this passage in my manuscript, which was ready to go to press, I found, to my very great shame and consternation, no mention of any such reverie on the part of Monsieur Jocelyn; in fact the poor book-keeper did not have this name at all but was called Monsieur Joyeuse. This second error quickly gave me the key to the solution of the first one—the paramnesia. 'Joyeux', of which 'Joyeuse' is the feminine form, is the only possible way in which I could translate my own name, Freud, into French. Where then could the phantasy, which I had remembered wrongly and ascribed to Daudet, have come from? It could only be a product of my own, a day-dream which I had formed myself and which had not become conscious or which had once been conscious and had since been totally forgotten. Perhaps I invented it myself in Paris where I frequented the streets, lonely and full of longings, greatly in need of a helper and protector, until the great Charcot took me into his circle. Later I more than once met the author of Le Nabab in Charcot's house.


1 [The Interpretation of Dreams (1900a), Standard Ed., 5, 491 and 535.]
2 [In all the editions before 1924 this paragraph continued: 'But the irritating part of it is that there is scarcely any group of ideas to which I feel so antagonistic as that of being someone's protégé. What can be seen in our country of this relation is enough to rob one of all desire for
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Another paramnesia, which it was possible to explain satisfactorily, is reminiscent of *fausse reconnaissance*, a subject that will be discussed later [p. 265 ff. below]. I had told one of my patients, an ambitious and capable man, that a young student had recently gained admittance to the circle of my followers on the strength of an interesting work, "Der Künstler, Versuch einer Sexualpsychologie" [The Artist, an Attempt at a Sexual Psychology]. When this work appeared in print a year and a quarter later, my patient maintained that he could remember with certainty having read an announcement of this book somewhere (perhaps in a bookseller's prospectus) even before—a month or it, and the role of the favourite child is one which is very little suited indeed to my character. I have always felt an unusually strong urge "to be the strong man myself". And yet I had to be reminded of day-dreams like this—which, incidentally, were never fulfilled. Over and above this, the incident is a good illustration of the way in which the relation to one's own self, which is normally kept back, but which emerges victoriously in paranoia, disturbs and confuses us in our objective view of things.

[Footnote added 1924:] Some time ago one of my readers sent me a small volume from Franz Hoffmann's *Jugendbibliothek* [Library for Young People] in which a rescue scene like the one in my phantasy in Paris is recounted in detail. The agreement between the two extends even to certain not quite ordinary expressions that occur in both. It is not easy to avoid suspecting that I had in fact read this children's book while I was myself a boy. The library at my secondary school contained Hoffmann's series and was always ready to offer these books to pupils in place of any other mental pabulum. The phantasy which, at the age of 43, I thought I remembered as having been produced by someone else, and which I was subsequently forced to recognize as a creation of my own at the age of 28, may therefore easily have been an exact reproduction of an impression which I had received somewhere between the ages of 11 and 13. After all, the rescue phantasy which I attributed to the unemployed book-keeper in *Le Nabab* was merely meant to prepare the way for the phantasy of my own rescue, to make my longing for a patron and protector tolerable to my pride. This being so, it will not surprise anyone with an understanding of the mind to hear that in my conscious life I myself was highly resistant to the idea of being dependent on a protector's favour, and that I found it hard to tolerate the few real situations in which something of that nature occurred. Abraham (1922a) has brought to light the deeper meaning of phantasies with such a content [rescue phantasies] and has provided an almost exhaustive explanation of their special features.


2) [The rest of this section was added in 1907.]

3) [This was the first work of Otto Rank (1907).]
six months before—I had first mentioned it to him. This announcement, he said, had come into his mind at the time; and he further remarked that the author had changed the title: it no longer read “Versuch” but “Ansätze zu einer Sexualpsychologie” [Approach to a Sexual Psychology]. Careful enquiry of the author and a comparison of all the dates nevertheless showed that my patient was claiming to recall something impossible. No announcement of this work had appeared anywhere before publication, and certainly none a year and a quarter before it went to press. When I omitted to interpret this paramnesia, my patient produced a repetition of it of the same kind. He believed he had recently seen a work on agoraphobia in a bookshop window and was now looking through all the publishers' catalogues in order to get a copy. I was then able to explain to him why his efforts were bound to be fruitless. The work on agoraphobia existed only in his phantasy, as an unconscious intention: he meant to write it himself. His ambition to emulate the young man and become one of my followers on the strength of a similar scientific work was responsible for the first paramnesia and then for its repetition. Thereupon he recalled that the bookseller's announcement which had led him to make this false recognition dealt with a work entitled “Genesis, das Gesetz der Zeugung” [Genesis, the Law of Generation]. However, it was I who was responsible for the change in the title mentioned by him, for I could remember having myself been guilty of that inaccuracy—“Versuch” instead of “Ansätze”—in repeating the title.

(B) The Forgetting of Intentions

No group of phenomena is better qualified than the forgetting of intentions for demonstrating the thesis that, in itself, lack of attention does not suffice to explain paraprases. An intention is an impulse to perform an action: an impulse which has already found approval but whose execution is postponed to a suitable occasion. Now it can happen that during the interval thus created a change of such a kind occurs in the motives involved that the intention is not carried out; but in that case it is not forgotten: it is re-examined and cancelled.

\[1\] Except where otherwise specified, the whole of this section dates back to 1901.
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Keine andere Gruppe von Phänomenen eignet sich besser zum Beweis der These, daß die Geringfügigkeit der Aufmerksamkeit für sich allein nicht hinreiche, die Fehlleistung zu erklären, als die des Vergessens von Vorsätzen. Ein Vorsatz ist ein Impuls zur Handlung, der bereits Billigung gefunden hat, dessen Ausführung aber auf einen geeigneten Zeitpunkt verschoben wurde. Nun kann in dem so geschaffenen Intervall allerdings eine derartige Veränderung in den Motiven eintreten, daß der Vorsatz nicht zur Ausführung gelangt, aber dann wird er nicht vergessen, sondern revidiert und aufgehoben.
The forgetting of intentions, to which we are subject every day and in every possible situation, is not a thing that we are in the habit of explaining in terms of such a revision in the balance of motives. In general we leave it unexplained; or we try to find a psychological explanation by supposing that at the time when the intention was due to be carried out the attention necessary for the action was no longer at hand—attention which was, after all, an indispensable precondition for the coming into being of the intention and had therefore been available for the action at that time. Observation of our normal behaviour in regard to intentions leads us to reject this attempt at an explanation as being arbitrary. If I form an intention in the morning which is to be carried out in the evening, I may be reminded of it two or three times in the course of the day. It need not however become conscious at all throughout the day. When the time for its execution draws near, it suddenly springs to my mind and causes me to make the necessary preparations for the proposed action. If I am going for a walk and take a letter with me which has to be posted,¹ it is certainly not necessary for me, as a normal individual, free from neurosis, to walk all the way with it in my hand and to continually on the look-out for a letter-box in which to post it; on the contrary I am in the habit of putting it in my pocket, of walking along and letting my thoughts range freely, and I confidently expect that one of the first letter-boxes will catch my attention and cause me to put my hand in my pocket and take out the letter. Normal behaviour after an intention has been formed coincides fully with the experimentally-produced behaviour of people to whom what is described as a 'post-hypnotic suggestion at long range' has been given under hypnosis.² This phenomenon is usually described in the following way. The suggested intention slumbers on in the person concerned until the time for its execution approaches. Then it awakes and impels him to perform the action.

There are two situations in life in which even the layman is aware that forgetting—as far as intentions are concerned—cannot in any way claim to be considered as an elementary

¹ [In 1901 only: 'posted to-day'.]
² Cf. Bernheim (1891, [130 ff.]) [which Freud translated (Freud, 1892a)].
phenomenon not further reducible, but entitles him to conclude that there are such things as unavowed motives. What I have in mind are love-relationships and military discipline. A lover who has failed to keep a rendezvous will find it useless to make excuses for himself by telling the lady that unfortunately he completely forgot about it. She will not fail to reply: ‘A year ago you wouldn’t have forgotten. You evidently don’t care for me any longer.’ Even if he should seize on the psychological explanation mentioned above [p. 152] and try to excuse his forgetfulness by pleading pressure of business, the only outcome would be that the lady, who will have become as sharp-sighted as a doctor is in psycho-analysis, would reply: ‘How curious that business distractions like these never turned up in the past!’ The lady is not of course wanting to deny the possibility of forgetting through unforeseen circumstances, but she insists that the motive for his failure to carry out orders was that ‘I’m heartily sick of this wretched spit-and-polish’. For the sake of this saving of punishment—for reasons of economy, so to speak—he makes use of forgetting as an excuse, or it comes about as a compromise.

1 [An actual example of a similar occurrence was related by Freud among his associations to the ‘Botanical Monograph’ dream in The Interpretation of Dreams (1900a), Standard Ed., 4, 169-70.]

2 [Young men of higher social and educational standing in Austria who volunteered might have their term of military service reduced to one year.]
Both the service of women and military service demand that everything connected with them should be immune to forgetting. In this way they suggest the notion that, whereas in unimportant matters forgetting is permissible, in important matters it is a sign that one wishes to treat them as unimportant, i.e. to deny their importance. This view, which takes psychical considerations into account, cannot in fact be rejected here. No one forgets to carry out actions that seem to himself important, without incurring suspicion of being mentally disordered. Our investigation can therefore only extend to the forgetting of intentions of a more or less minor character; we cannot consider any intention as being indifferent, for otherwise it would certainly never have been formed.

As with the functional disturbances described on earlier pages, I have made a collection of the cases of omitting to do something as a result of forgetting which I have observed in myself, and I have endeavoured to explain them. I have invariably found that they could be traced to interference by unknown and unavowed motives—or, as one may say, to a counter-will. In a number of these cases I found myself in a position which was similar to being under conditions of service; I was under a constraint, against which I had not entirely given up struggling, so that I made a demonstration against it by forgetting. This accounts for the fact that I am especially prone to forget to send congratulations on occasions such as birthdays, anniversaries, wedding celebrations and promotions. I keep on making new resolutions on the subject and become more and more convinced that I shall not succeed. I am now on the point of giving the effort up and of yielding consciously to the motives that oppose it. While I was in a transitional

1) In dem Schausrpiel „Cäsar und Kleopatra“ von B. Shaw quält sich der von Ägypten scheidende Cäsar eine Weile mit der Idee, er habe noch etwas vorgehalten, was er jetzt vergessen. Endlich stellt sich heraus, was Cäsar vergessen hatte; von Kleopatra Abschied zu nehmen! Durch diesen kleinen Zug soll veranschaulicht werden — übrigens im vollen Gegensatz zur historischen Wahrheit — wie wenig sich Cäsar aus der kleinen ägyptischen Prinzessin gemacht hatte. (Nach E. Jones, 1. c., S. 488.)

8) 'Counter-will' was a term that had been used by Freud in an early paper on treatment by hypnotism (1892–93b).]
stage, a friend asked me to send a congratulatory telegram on a certain day on his behalf along with my own, but I warned him that I should forget both; and it was not surprising that the prophecy came true. It is due to painful experiences in the course of my life that I am unable to manifest sympathy on occasions where the expression of sympathy must necessarily be exaggerated, as an expression corresponding to the slight amount of my feeling would not be allowable. Since I have come to recognize that I have often mistaken other people’s ostensible sympathy for their real feelings, I have been in revolt against these conventional expressions of sympathy, though on the other hand I recognize their social usefulness. Condolences in the case of death are excepted from this divided treatment; once I have decided to send them I do not fail to do so. Where my emotional activity no longer has anything to do with social duty, its expression is never inhibited by forgetting.

Writing from a prisoner-of-war camp, Lieutenant T. reports an instance of a forgetting of this kind, in which an intention that had in the first place been suppressed broke through in the form of ‘counter-will’ and led to an unpleasant situation:

The most senior officer in a prisoner-of-war camp for officers was insulted by one of his fellow prisoners. To avoid further complications he wished to use the only authoritative measure at his disposal and have the officer removed and transferred to another camp. It was only on the advice of several friends that he decided—contrary to his secret wish—to abandon his plan and seek to satisfy his honour immediately, although this was bound to have a variety of disagreeable results. The same morning, as senior officer, he had to call the roll of the officers, under the supervision of the camp-guard. He had known his fellow officers for quite a long time and had never before made any mistakes over this. This time he passed over the name of the man who had insulted him, with the result that when all the others had been dismissed this man alone was obliged to remain behind till the error was cleared up. The name that had been overlooked was perfectly plainly written in the middle of a sheet. The incident was regarded by one party as a deliberate insult, and by the other as an unfortunate accident that was

\[\text{[This example was added in 1920.]}\]
likely to be misinterpreted. Later on, however, after making the acquaintance of Freud's *Psychopathology of Everyday Life*, the chief actor in the episode was able to form a correct picture of what had occurred.

The conflict between a conventional duty and the unavowed view which we privately take of it similarly provides an explanation for those cases in which we forget to carry out actions that we promised to do as a favour to someone. Here the regular result is that it is only the would-be benefactor who believes that forgetting has the power to act as an excuse; the person who asked the favour gives what is unquestionably the right answer: 'He is not interested in the matter, otherwise he would not have forgotten.' There are some people who are known as being forgetful in general, and who are for that reason excused their lapses in the same kind of way as shortsighted people who fail to greet us in the street. These people forget all their small promises, and they fail to carry out any of the commissions they receive. In this way they show themselves unreliable in little things, and they demand that we should not take these minor offences amiss—that is, that we should not attribute them to their character but refer them to an organic idiosyncrasy. I am not one of these people myself, and have...
had no opportunity of analysing the actions of a person of this kind, so that, by examining the choice of occasions for forgetting, I might discover its motivation. I cannot however help suspecting on the basis of analogy that in these cases the motive is an unusually large amount of unavowed contempt for other people which exploits the constitutional factor for its own ends.¹

In other cases the motives for forgetting are less easy to discover, and when found arouse greater surprise. Thus, for instance, in former years I noticed that, out of a fairly large number of visits to patients, I only forgot those to non-paying patients or to colleagues. My shame at this discovery led me to adopt the habit of making a note beforehand in the morning of the visits I intended to make during the day. I do not know if other doctors have arrived at the same practice by the same road. But in this way we get some idea of what causes the so-called neurasthenic patient to jot down, in his notorious 'notes', the various things he wants to tell the doctor. The ostensible reason is that he has no confidence in the reproductive capacity of his memory. That is perfectly correct, but the scene usually proceeds as follows. The patient has recounted his various complaints and enquiries in a very long-winded manner. After he has finished he pauses for a moment, then pulls out the jottings and adds apologetically: 'I've made some notes, as I can't remember things.' As a rule he finds that they contain nothing new. He repeats each point and answers it himself: 'Yes, I've asked about that already.' With the notes he is probably only demonstrating one of his symptoms: the frequency with which his intentions are disturbed through the interference of obscure motives.

I pass on to ailments that afflict the greater number of my healthy acquaintances as well as myself. I confess that—especially in former years—I was very apt to forget to return blunders in the treatment, left his pocket book at home, tried to pay a kreutzer too little for his tram-fare, found his clothes were not properly buttoned, and so on.

¹ [Footnote added 1912.] In this connection Ernest Jones [1911, 483] observes: 'Often the resistance is of a general order. Thus a busy man forgets to post letters entrusted to him—to his slight annoyance—by his wife, just as he may “forget” to carry out her shopping orders.'

² [This word was added in 1904.]
borrowed books, which I kept for long periods, and that it came about especially easily that I put off paying bills by forgetting them. One morning not long ago I left the tobacconist's where I had made my daily purchase of cigars without having paid for them. It was a most harmless omission, as I am well known there and could therefore expect to be reminded of my debt next day. But my trivial act of negligence, my attempt to contract a debt, was certainly not unconnected with budgetary thoughts which had occupied my mind during the preceding day. Among the majority even of what are called 'respectable' people traces of divided behaviour can easily be observed where money and property are concerned. It may perhaps be generally true that the primitive greed of the sulkling, who wants to take possession of every object (in order to put it into his mouth), has only been incompletely overcome by civilization and upbringing.¹

I am afraid all the examples I have given up to now will

¹For the sake of preserving the unity of the subject [of money] I may perhaps interrupt the general arrangement I have adopted, and, in addition to what I have said above, point out that people's memories show a particular partiality in money matters. Paramnesias of having already paid for something can often be very obstinate, as I know from my own experience. When free play is given to avaricious aims apart from the serious interests of life—for fun, in fact,—as in card-playing, the most honourable men show an inclination to make errors and mistakes in memory and counting, and, without quite knowing how, they even find themselves involved in petty cheating. The psychically refreshing nature of these games is partly due to liberties of this kind. We must admit the truth of the saying that in play we can get to know a person's character—that is, if we are not thinking of his manifest character. [In all the editions before 1924 this last clause ran: 'if we are ready to add: his suppressed character.']—If waiters still make unintentional mistakes in the bill, the same explanation obviously applies to them. In commercial circles a certain delay can frequently be observed in paying out sums of money (for settling accounts and so on) which in point of fact brings the owner no profit and can only be understood in psychological terms—as an expression of a counter-will against paying out money.—[The next sentence was added in 1912:] Brill [1912] puts the matter with epigrammatic brevity: 'We are more apt to mislay letters containing bills than cheques.'—The fact that women in particular evince a special amount of displeasure at paying their doctor is connected with the most intimate impulses, which are very far from having been elucidated. Women patients have usually forgotten their purse and so cannot pay at the time of consultation; they then regularly forget to
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seem merely commonplace. But after all it can only suit my aim if I come upon things that are familiar to everyone and that everyone understands in the same way, for my whole purpose is to collect everyday material and turn it to scientific use. I fail to see why the wisdom which is the precipitate of men’s common experience of life should be refused inclusion among the acquisitions of science. The essential character of scientific work derives not from the special nature of its objects of study but from its stricter method of establishing the facts and its search for far-reaching correlations.

Where intentions of some importance are concerned, we have found in general that they are forgotten when obscure motives rise against them. In the case of rather less important intentions we can recognize a second mechanism of forgetting: a counter-will is transferred to the intention from some other topic, after an external association has been formed between the other topic and the content of the intention. Here is an example. I set store by high-quality blotting paper ['Loschpapier'] and I decided one day to buy a fresh supply that afternoon in the course of my walk to the Inner Town.1 But I forgot for four days running, till I asked myself what reason I had for the omission. It was easy to find after I had recalled that though I normally write ‘Loschpapier’ I usually say ‘Fliesspapier’ [another word for ‘blotting-paper’]. ‘Fliess’ is the name of a friend in Berlin2 who had on the days in question given me occasion for a worrying and anxious thought. I could not rid myself of this thought, but the defensive tendency (cf. above, p. 147) manifested itself by transferring itself, by means of the verbal similarity, to the indifferent intention which on account of its indifference offered little resistance.

Direct counter-will and more remote motivation are found together in the following example of dilatoriness. I had written a short pamphlet On Dreams (1901a), summarizing the subject-matter of my Interpretation of Dreams [1900a], for the series Grenzfragen des Nerven- und Seelenlebens [Frontier Problems send the fee after they reach home, and thus arrange things so that one has treated them for nothing—for the sake of their beaux jeux. They pay one, as it were, by the sight of their countenance.
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of Nervous and Mental Life]. Bergmann [the publisher] of Wiesbaden had sent me the proofs, and had asked for them back by return of post, as the book was to be issued before Christmas. I corrected the proofs the same night and placed them on my desk so as to take them with me next morning. In the morning I forgot about them, and only remembered them in the afternoon when I saw the wrapper on my desk. In the same way I forgot the proofs that afternoon, that evening and the following morning, till I pulled myself together and took them to a letter-box on the afternoon of the second day, wondering what could be the reason for my procrastination. It was obvious that I did not want to send them off, but I could not discover why. However, in the course of the same walk I called in at my publisher's in Vienna—the firm that had published my Interpretation of Dreams. I placed an order for something and then said, as if impelled by a sudden thought: 'I suppose you know I have written the dream-book over again?'—'Oh, you can't mean that!' he said. 'Don't be alarmed', I replied; 'it's only a short essay for the Löwenfeld-Kurella series.' But he was still not satisfied; he was worried that the essay would interfere with the sales of the book. I disagreed with him and finally asked: 'If I'd come to you before, would you have forbidden my publishing it?'—'No, I certainly wouldn't.' Personally I believe I acted quite within my rights and did nothing contrary to common practice; nevertheless it seems certain that a misgiving similar to that expressed by the publisher was the motive for my delay in sending back the proofs. This misgiving goes back to an earlier occasion, on which a different publisher raised difficulties when it seemed unavoidable for me to introduce unaltered a few pages from an earlier work of mine on children's pulsies published by another firm, into my monograph on the same subject in Nothnagel's Handbuch. But in this case, as well, the reproach was not justified; this time, too, I had loyally informed my first publisher (the same one who published The Interpretation of Dreams) of my intention. However, if this chain of

1 [Franz Deuticke.]

* [Published by Hölder of Vienna (Freud, 1897a). He had written several earlier works on the subject, one of the more important of which (1893b, several times quoted in the later work) was published by Deuticke.]
FORGETTING OF INTENTIONS

memories is followed back still further, it brings to light an even earlier occasion involving a translation from the French, in which I really did infringe the rights of property that apply to publications. I added notes to the text which I translated, without asking the author’s permission, and some years later I had reason to suspect that the author was displeased with my arbitrary action.1

There is a proverb which reveals the popular knowledge that the forgetting of intentions is not accidental: ‘If one forgets to do a thing once, one will forget to do it many times more.’

Indeed, sometimes we cannot avoid an impression that everything that can be said about forgetting and about paraphrases is already familiar and self-evident to everyone. It is sufficiently surprising that it is nevertheless necessary to present to consciousness things that are so well-known. How often have I heard people say: ‘Don’t ask me to do that, I’m certain to forget it’ There is surely nothing mystical, then, if this prophecy is subsequently fulfilled. A person who talks in this way senses an intention not to carry out the request, and is merely refusing to admit it to himself.

Much light is thrown, moreover, on the forgetting of intentions by what may be called ‘the forming of spurious intentions’. I once promised a young author that I would write a review of his short work; but because of internal resistances, which I knew about, I put off doing so, till one day I yielded to pressure from him and promised it would be done that same evening. I really had seriously meant to do it then, but I had forgotten that I had set the evening aside for preparing a specialist report that could not be deferred. After this had shown me that my intention had been spurious, I gave up the struggle against my resistances and refused the author’s request.

1 [This relates to a volume of lectures by Charcot which Freud translated (Freud, 1892–93a), and to which he added a large number of footnotes.]
2 [This paragraph was added in 1910, and the final one in 1907.]
I will quote another passage from the work by Meringer and Mayer (1895, 98) which I have already mentioned [p. 53]:

'Slips of the tongue are not without their parallels. They correspond to the slips which often occur in other human activities and which are known by the somewhat foolish name of "oversights".'

Thus I am by no means the first to surmise that there is sense and purpose behind the minor functional disturbances in the daily life of healthy people.

If slips in speaking—which is clearly a motor function—can be thought of in this way, it is a short step to extend the same expectation to mistakes in our other motor activities. I have here formed two groups of cases. I use the term 'bungled actions' ['Vergreifen'] to describe all the cases in which a wrong result—i.e. a deviation from what was intended—seems to be the essential element. The others, in which it is rather the whole action which seems to be inappropriate, I call 'symptomatic and chance actions' ['Symptom- und Zufallshandlungen']. But no sharp line can be drawn between them, and we are indeed forced to conclude that all the divisions made in this study have no significance other than a descriptive one and run counter to the inner unity in this field of phenomena.

It is clear that the psychological understanding of 'bungled actions' will not be conspicuously helped if we classify them under the heading of 'ataxia' or, in particular, of 'cortical ataxia'. Let us rather try to trace the individual examples back to their

---

particular determinants. For this purpose I shall once more make use of self-observations, though in my case the occasions for these are not particularly frequent.

(a) In former years I visited patients in their homes more frequently than I do at present; and on numerous occasions when I was at the front door, instead of knocking or ringing the bell, I pulled my own latch key out of my pocket, only to thrust it back again in some confusion. When I consider the patients at whose houses this happened, I am forced to think that the parapraxis—taking out my key instead of ringing the bell—was in the nature of a tribute to the house where I made the mistake. It was equivalent to the thought 'Here I feel I am at home', for it only occurred at places where I had taken a liking to the patient. (Of course I never ring my own door bell.)

Thus the parapraxis was a symbolic representation of a thought which was not after all really intended to be accepted seriously and consciously; for a nerve specialist is in fact well aware that his patients remain attached to him only so long as they expect to be benefited by him, and that he in turn allows himself to feel an excessively warm interest in them only with a view to giving them psychical help.

Numerous self-observations made by other people² show that handling a key in this significantly incorrect way is certainly not a peculiarity of mine.

Maeder (1906) describes what is an almost identical repetition of my experiences: 'Il est arrivé à chacun de sortir son trousseau, en arrivant à la porte d'un ami particulièrement cher, de se surprendre pour ainsi dire, en train d'ouvrir avec sa clé comme chez soi. C'est un retard, puisqu'il faut sonner malgré tout, mais c'est une preuve qu'on se sent — ou qu'on voudrait se sentir — comme chez soi, auprès de cet ami.'³

Jones (1911b, 509): 'The use of keys is a fertile source of

² [This and the next four paragraphs were added in 1912.]
³ ['Everyone has had the experience of taking out his bunch of keys on reaching the door of a particularly dear friend, of catching himself, as it were, in the act of opening it with his key just as if he was at home. This causes a delay, as he has to ring the bell in the long run, but it is a sign that he feels—or would like to feel—at home with this friend.]

VIII. Das Vergreifen

zurückzuführen. Ich werde wiederum Selbstbeobachtungen hierzu verwenden, zu denen sich die Anlässe bei mir nicht besonders häufig finden.

(a) In früheren Jahren, als ich Hausbesuche bei Patienten noch häufiger machte als gegenwärtig, schlug es mir oft, daß ich, vor der Tür, an die ich anklopfen oder anläuten sollte, angekommen, die Schlüssel meiner eigenen Wohnung aus der Tasche zog, um — sie dann fast beschämt wieder einzustecken. Wenn ich mir zusammensetzte, bei welchen Patienten dies der Fall war, so muß ich annehmen, die Fehlhandlung — Schlüssel herausziehen anstatt läuten — bedeutete eine Huldigung für das Haus, wo ich in diesen Mißgriff verfiele. Sie war äquivalent dem Gedanken: „Hier bin ich wie zu Hause,“ denn sie trug sich nur zu, wo ich den Kranken liebgewonnen hatte. (An meiner eigenen Wohnungstür läute ich natürlich niemals.)

Die Fehlhandlung war also eine symbolische Darstellung eines doch eigentlich nicht für ernsthafte, bewußte Annahme bestimmten Gedankens, denn in der Realität weiß der Nervenarzt genau, daß der Kranke ihn nur so lange anhänglich bleibt, als er noch Vorteil von ihm erwartet, und daß er selbst nur zum Zwecke der psychischen Hilfeleistung ein übermäßig warmes Interesse für seine Patienten bei sich gewähren läßt.

Daß das sinngemäß fehlerhafte Handtieren mit dem Schlüssel keineswegs eine Besonderheit meiner Person ist, geht aus zahlreichen Selbstbeobachtungen anderer hervor.

Eine fast identische Wiederholung meiner Erfahrungen beschreibt A. Maeder (Contrib. à la psychopathologie de la vie quotidienne, Arch. de Psychol., VI, 1906): Il est arrivé à chacun de sortir son trousseau, en arrivant à la porte d'un ami particulièrement cher, de se surprendre pour ainsi dire, en train d'ouvrir avec sa clé comme chez soi. C'est un retard, puisqu'il faut sonner malgré tout, mais c'est une preuve qu'on se sent — ou qu'on voudrait se sentir — comme chez soi, auprès de cet ami.

E. Jones (l. c., p. 509): The use of keys is a fertile source
occurrences of this kind, of which two examples may be given. If I am disturbed in the midst of some engrossing work at home by having to go to the hospital to carry out some routine work, I am very apt to find myself trying to open the door of my laboratory there with the key of my desk at home, although the two keys are quite unlike each other. The mistake unconsciously demonstrates where I would rather be at the moment.

'Some years ago I was acting in a subordinate position at a certain institution, the front door of which was kept locked, so that it was necessary to ring for admission. On several occasions I found myself making serious attempts to open the door with my house key. Each one of the permanent visiting staff, to which I aspired to be a member, was provided with a key, to avoid the trouble of having to wait at the door. My mistakes thus expressed my desire to be on a similar footing, and to be quite "at home" there.'

Dr. Hanns Sachs reports a similar experience: 'I always have two keys on me, one for the door of my office and one for my flat. They are not at all easily confused with each other, for the office key is at least three times as big as the flat key. Moreover, I carry the former in my trouser pocket and the latter in my waistcoat pocket. Nevertheless it often happened that I noticed as I stood at the door that I had got out the wrong key on the stairs. I determined to make a statistical experiment. Since I stood in front of both the doors every day in more or less the same emotional state, the confusion between the two keys was bound to show a regular tendency, if, indeed, it was true that it had some psychical determinant. My observation of later instances then showed that I quite regularly took out my flat key at the door of the office, whereas the opposite happened only once. I came home tired, knowing that a guest would be waiting for me there. When I reached the door I made an attempt to unlock it with the office key—which was of course much too large.'

(b) There is a house where twice every day for six years, at regular hours, I used to wait to be let in outside a door on the second floor. During this long period it has happened to me on

1 [Cf. below, p. 177.]

of occurrences of this kind, of which two examples may be given. If I am disturbed in the midst of some engrossing work at home by having to go to the hospital to carry out some routine work, I am very apt to find myself trying to open the door of my laboratory there with the key of my desk at home, although the two keys are quite unlike each other. The mistake unconsciously demonstrates where I would rather be at the moment.

Some years ago I was acting in a subordinate position at a certain institution, the front door of which was kept locked, so that it was necessary to ring for admission. On several occasions I found myself making serious attempts to open the door with my house key. Each one of the permanent visiting staff, to which I aspired to be a member, was provided with a key, to avoid the trouble of having to wait at the door. My mistakes thus expressed my desire to be on a similar footing, and to be quite "at home" there.


(b) In einem bestimmten Hause, wo ich seit sechs Jahren zweimal täglich zu festgesetzten Zeiten vor einer Tür im zweiten Stock auf Einlaß warte, ist es mir während dieses langen Zeit-
two occasions, with a short interval between them, that I have gone a floor too high—i.e. I have ‘climbed too high’. On the first occasion I was enjoying an ambitious day-dream in which I was ‘climbing ever higher and higher’. On this occasion I even failed to hear that the door in question had opened as I put my foot on the first step of the third flight. On the other occasion, I again went too far while I was deep in thought; when I ‘climbing ever higher and higher’.

On this occasion I again achieved the not very respectful expression ‘climbing too high’. This I had now replaced by the not very respectful expression ‘climbing too high’.

(c) For many years a reflex hammer and a tuning fork have been lying side by side on my writing table. One day I left in a hurry at the end of my consulting hour as I wanted to catch a particular suburban train; and in broad daylight I put the tuning fork in my coat pocket instead of the hammer. The weight of the object pulling down my pocket drew my attention to my mistake. Anyone who is not in the habit of giving consideration to such minor occurrences will doubtless explain and excuse the mistake by pointing to the haste of the moment. Nevertheless I preferred to ask myself the question why it actually was that I took the tuning fork instead of the hammer. My haste could just as well have been a motive for picking up the right object so as not to have to waste time in correcting my mistake.

‘Who was the last person to take hold of the tuning fork?’ was the question that sprang to my mind at that point. It was an imbecile child, whom I had been testing some days before for his attention to sensory impressions; and he had been so fascinated by the tuning fork that I had had some difficulty in tearing it away from him. Could the meaning be, then, that I was an imbecile? It certainly seemed so, for my first association to ‘hammer’ was ‘Chamer’ (Hebrew for ‘ass’).

But why this abusive language? At this point we must look into the situation. I was hurrying to a consultation at a place 1

1 ‘The German ‘versteigen’ would, on the analogy of ‘verlesen’, ‘vorschreiben’, etc., mean ‘to mis-climb’; but its normal meaning is ‘to climb too high’ or, figuratively, ‘to over-reach oneself’.


Was soll aber dieses Geschimpfe? Man muß hier die Situation befragen. Ich eile zu einer Konsultation in einem Orte an der
on the Western railway line, to visit a patient who, according to the anamnese I had received by letter, had fallen from a balcony some months earlier and had since then been unable to walk. The doctor who called me in wrote that he was nevertheless uncertain whether it was a case of spinal injury or of a traumatic neurosis—hysteria. That was what I was now to decide. It would therefore be advisable for me to be particularly wary in the delicate task of making a differential diagnosis. As it is, my colleagues are of the opinion that I make a diagnosis of hysteria far too carelessly where graver things are in question. But so far this did not justify the abusive language. Why, of course! it now occurred to me that the little railway station was at the same place at which some years before I had seen a young man who had not been able to walk properly after an emotional experience. At the time I made a diagnosis of hysteria and I subsequently took the patient on for psychical treatment. It then turned out that though my diagnosis had not, it is true, been incorrect, it had not been correct either. A whole number of the patient's symptoms had been hysterical, and they rapidly disappeared in the course of treatment. But behind these a remnant now became visible which was inaccessible to my therapy; this remnant could only be accounted for by multiple sclerosis. It was easy for those who saw the patient after me to recognize the organic affection. I could hardly have behaved otherwise or formed a different judgement, yet the impression left was that a grave error had been made; the promise of a cure which I had given him could naturally not be kept.

The error of picking up the tuning fork instead of the hammer could thus be translated into words as follows: 'You idiot! You ass! Pull yourself together this time, and see that you don't diagnose hysteria again where there's an incurable illness, as you did years ago with the poor man from that same place!' And fortunately for this little analysis, if not fortunately for my mood, the same man, suffering from severe spastic paralysis, had visited me during my consulting hour a few days before, and a day after the imbecile child.

It will be observed that this time it was the voice of self-criticism which was making itself heard in the bungled action. A bungled action is quite specially suitable for use in this way.
BUNGLED ACTIONS
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as a self-reproach: the present mistake seeks to represent the mistake that has been committed elsewhere.

(d) Bungled actions can, of course, also serve a whole number of other obscure purposes. Here is a first example. It is very rare for me to break anything. I am not particularly dexterous but a result of the anatomical integrity of my nerve-muscle apparatus is that there are clearly no grounds for my making clumsy movements of this kind, with their unwelcome consequences. I cannot therefore recall any object in my house that I have ever broken. Shortsage of space in my study has often forced me to handle a number of pottery and stone apparatus that anxiety that I should knock something down and break it. That however has never happened. Why then did I once dash the marble cover of my plain inkpot to the ground so that it broke?

My inkstand is made out of a flat piece of Untersberg marble which is hollowed out to receive the glass inkpot; and the inkpot has a cover with a knob made of the same stone. Behind this inkstand there is a ring of bronze statuette and terra cotta figures. I sat down at the desk to write, and then moved the hand that was holding the pen-holder forward in a remarkably clumsy way, sweeping on to the floor the inkpot cover which was lying on the desk at the time.

The explanation was not hard to find. Some hours before, my sister had been in the room to inspect some new acquisitions. She admired them very much, and then remarked: 'Your writing table looks really attractive now; only the inkstand doesn't match. You must get a nicer one.' I went out with my sister and did not return for some hours. But when I did I carried out, so it seems, the execution of the condemned inkstand. Did I perhaps conclude from my sister's remark that she intended to make me a present of a nicer inkstand on the next festive occasion, and did I smash the unlovely old one so as to force her to carry out the intention she had hinted at? If that is so, my sweeping movement was only apparently clumsy; in reality it was exceedingly adroit and well-directed, and

1 [In 1901 and 1904 only: 'recently'].

Der Mißgriff hier will den Mißgriff, den man anderswo begangen hat, darstellen.


understood how to avoid damaging any of the more precious objects that stood around.

It is in fact my belief that we must accept this judgement for a whole series of seemingly accidental clumsy movements. It is true that they make a show of something violent and sweeping, like a spastic-atatic movement, but they prove to be governed by an intention and achieve their aim with a certainty which cannot in general be credited to our conscious voluntary movements. Moreover they have both features—their violence and their unerring aim—in common with the motor manifestations of the hysterical neurosis, and partly, too, with the motor performances of somnambulism. This fact indicates that both in these cases and in the movements under consideration the same unknown modification of the innervatory process is present.

Another self-observation, reported by Frau Lou Andreas-Salomé, may give a convincing demonstration of how obstinate persistence in an act of 'clumsiness' serves unavowed purposes in a far from clumsy way:

'Just at the time when milk had become scarce and expensive I found that I let it boil over time and time again, to my constant horror and vexation. My efforts to get the better of this were unsuccessful, though I cannot by any means say that on other occasions I have proved absent-minded or inattentive. I should have had more reason to be so after the death of my dear white terrier (who deserved his name of "Druzhok"—the Russian for "Friend"—as much as any human being ever did). But—lo and behold!—never since his death has even a drop of milk boiled over. My first thought about this ran: "That's lucky, for the milk split over on to the hearth or floor wouldn't even be of any use!" And in the same moment I saw my "Friend" before my eyes, sitting eagerly watching the cooking, his head cocked a little to one side, his tail wagging expectantly, waiting in trustful confidence for the splendid mishap that was about to occur. And now everything was clear to me, and I realized too that I had been even more fond of him than I myself was aware.'

1 [Freud returned to the notion of 'somnambulistic certainty' at the end of this book, p. 250, below. In later editions it reappeared in two examples quoted by him, pp. 140 and 142, above.]

2 [This example was added in 1919.]
In the last few years, during which I have been collecting such observations, I have had a few more experiences of smashing or breaking objects of some value, but the investigation of these cases has convinced me that they were never the result of chance or of unintentional clumsiness on my part. One morning, for example, when I was passing through a room in my dressing-gown with straw slippers on my feet, I yielded to a sudden impulse and hurled one of my slippers from my foot at the wall, causing a beautiful little marble Venus to fall down from its bracket. As it broke into pieces, I quoted quite un搬erved these lines from Busch:

'Ach! die Venus ist verloren—
Klickeradoms!—von Medici!'

This wild conduct and my calm acceptance of the damage are to be explained in terms of the situation at the time. One of my family was gravely ill, and secretly I had already given up hope of her recovery. That morning I had learned that there had been a great improvement, and I know I had said to my self: 'So she's going to live after all!' My attack of destructive fury served therefore to express a feeling of gratitude to fate and allowed me to perform a 'sacrificial act'—rather as if I had made a vow to sacrifice something or other as a thank-offering if she recovered her health! The choice of the Venus of Medici for this sacrifice was clearly only a gallant act of homage towards the convalescent; but even now it is a mystery to me how I made up my mind so quickly, aimed so accurately and avoided hitting anything else among the objects so close to it.

Another case of breaking something, for which I once again made use of a pen-holder that slipped from my hand, likewise had the significance of a sacrifice; but on this occasion it took the form of a propitiatory sacrifice to avert evil. I had once seen fit to reproach a loyal and deserving friend on no other grounds than the interpretation I placed on certain indications coming

1 [This paragraph and the four following ones were added in 1907.]
2 'Oh! the Venus! Lost is she!
Klickeradoms!—von Medici!'
From Wilhelm Busch's *Die fromme Helene*, Chapter VIII.
3 [This refers to the illness of Freud's eldest daughter in 1905. (Jones, 1957, 409.) Cf. also p. 180 below.]
from his unconscious. He was offended and wrote me a letter asking me not to treat my friends psycho-analytically. I had to admit he was in the right, and wrote him a reply to pacify him. While I was writing this letter I had in front of me my latest acquisition, a handsome glazed Egyptian figure. I broke it in the way I have described, and then immediately realized that I had caused this mischief in order to avert a greater one. Luckily it was possible to cement both of them together—the friendship as well as the figure—so that the break would not be noticed.

A third breakage was connected with less serious matters; it was only the disguised 'execution'—to borrow an expression from Vischer's *Auch Einer*1—of an object which no longer enjoyed my favour. For some time I used to carry a stick with a silver handle. On one occasion the thin metal got damaged, through no fault of mine, and was badly repaired. Soon after the stick came back, I used the handle in a mischievous attempt to catch one of my children by the leg—with the natural result that it broke, and I was thus rid of it.

The equanimity with which we accept the resulting damage in all these cases can no doubt be taken as evidence that there is an unconscious purpose behind the performance of these particular actions.

In investigating the reasons for the occurrence of even so trivial a parapraxis as the breaking of an object,2 one is liable to come across connections which, besides relating to a person's present situation, lead deep into his prehistory. The following analysis by Jekels (1913) may serve as an example:

'A doctor had in his possession an earthenware flower vase which, though not valuable, was of great beauty. It was among the many presents—including objects of value—which had been sent to him in the past by a (married) woman patient. When a psychosis became manifest in her, he restored all the presents to her relatives—except for this far less expensive vase, with

1 [Theodor Vischer (1807-87), the author of this novel (1878), was a Professor of Aesthetics, who is repeatedly quoted in Freud's book on jokes (1905c). The novel, as well as another of Vischer's lighter works, is referred to again in *On Psychotherapy* (1905a), Standard Ed., 7, 259 and 267. See also above, p. 140 n. 1.]

2 [This paragraph and the example which follows were added in 1917.]
which he could not bear to part, ostensibly because it was so beautiful. But this embezzlement cost a man of his scrupulousness a considerable internal struggle. He was fully aware of the impropriety of his action, and only managed to overcome his pangs of conscience by telling himself that the vase was not in fact of any real value, that it was too awkward to pack, etc.—Some months later he was on the point of getting a lawyer to claim and recover the arrears (which were in dispute) of the fees for the treatment of this same patient. Once again the self-reproaches made their appearance; and he suffered some momentary anxiety in case the relatives discovered what could be called his embezzlement and brought it against him during the legal proceedings. For a while indeed the first factor (his self-reproaches) was so strong that he actually thought of renouncing all claims on a sum of perhaps a hundred times the value of the vase—a compensation, as it were, for the object he had appropriated. However, he at once got the better of the notion and set it aside as absurd.

While he was still in this mood he happened to be putting some fresh water in the vase; and despite the extreme infrequency with which he broke anything and the good control that he had over his muscular apparatus, he made an extraordinarily "clumsy" movement—one that was not in the least organically related to the action he was carrying out—which knocked the vase off the table, so that it broke into some five or six largish pieces. What is more, this was after he had made up his mind on the previous evening, though not without considerable hesitation, to put precisely this vase, filled with flowers, on the dining-room table before his guests. He had remembered it only just before it got broken, had noticed with anxiety that it was not in his living-room and had himself brought it in from the other room. After his first moments of dismay he picked up the pieces and by putting them together was just deciding that it would still be possible to make an almost complete repair of the vase, when the two or three larger fragments slipped from his hand; they broke into a thousand splinters, and with that vanished all hope for the vase.

There is no doubt that this parapraxis had the current purpose of assisting the doctor in his law-suit, by getting rid of something which he had kept back and which to some extent he could not bear to part, ostensibly because it was so beautiful. Doch kostete diese Unterschlagung den sonst so skrupulösen Menschen einen gewissen inneren Kampf, war er sich doch der Ungehörigkeit dieser Handlung vollkommen bewußt und half sich bloß über seine Gewissensbisse mit dem Vorhalt hinweg, die Vase habe eigentlich keinen Materialwert, sei schwerer einzupacken usw.—

Als er nun einige Monate später im Begriffe war, den ihm streitig gemachten Restbetrag für die Behandlung dieser Patientin durch einen Rechtsanwalt reklamieren und eintreiben zu lassen, meldeten sich die Selbstvorwürfe wieder; flüchtig beifügte ihn auch die Angst, die vermeintliche Unterschlagung könnte von den Angehörigen entdeckt und ihm im Strafverfahren entgegengestellt werden. Besonders jedoch das erste Moment war eine Weile hindurch so stark, daß er schon daran dachte, auf eine etwa hundertmal höhere Forderung zu verzichten — quasi als Entschädigung für den unterschlagenen Gegenstand — er überwand jedoch alsbald diesen Gedanken, indem er ihn als absurd beiseite schob.

Während dieser Stimmung passierte es ihm nun, daß er, der sonst außerordentlich selten etwas zerbricht und seinem Muskelapparat gut beherrscht, beim Erneuern des Wassers in der Vase dieselbe durch eine organisch mit dieser Handlung gar nicht zusammenhängende, sonderbar 'ungeschickte' Bewegung vom Tische wirft, so daß sie etwa in fünf oder sechs größere Stücke zerbricht. Und dies, nachdem er am Abend zuvor, nur nach vorherigem starken Zögern, sich entschlossen hatte, gerade diese Vase blumengefüllt vor die geladenen Gäste auf den Tisch des Speiseszimmers zu stellen, und nachdem er knapp vor dem Zerbrechen an sie gedacht, sie in seinem Wohnzimmer angestellt und eigenhändig aus dem anderen Zimmer geholt hat. Als er nun nach der anfanglichen Bestürzung die Stücke aufsammelt, und gerade als er durch Zusammenpassen derselben konstatiert, es werde noch möglich sein, die Vase fast lückenlos zu rekonstruieren, da — gleiten ihm die zwei oder drei größeren Bruchstücke aus den Händen; sie zerstieben in tausend Splitter und mit ihnen auch jegliche Hoffnung auf diese Vase.

Fraglos hatte diese Fehlleistung die aktuelle Tendenz, dem Arzte das Verfolgen seines Rechtes zu ermöglichen, indem dieselbe das besetzte, was er zurückbehalten hatte und was ihn
.extent prevented his claiming what had been kept back from him.

But apart from this direct determinant, every psycho-

analyst will see in the parapraxis a further and much deeper and more important symbolic determinant; for a vase is an un-
mistakable symbol of a woman.

The hero of this little story had lost his young, beautiful and dearly-loved wife in a tragic manner. He fell ill of a neurosis whose main theme was that he was to blame for the mis-

fortune ("he had broken a lovely vase"). Moreover, he had no further relations with women and took a dislike to marriage and lasting love-relationships, which unconsciously he thought of as being unfaithful to his dead wife but which he consciously rationalized in the idea that he brought misfortune to women, that a woman might kill herself on his account, etc. (Hence his natural reluctance to keep the vase permanently!)

In view of the strength of his libido it is therefore not surprising that the most adequate relationships appeared to him to be those—transient from their very nature—with married women (hence his keeping back of another person's vase).

This symbolism is neatly confirmed by the two following factors. Because of his neurosis he entered psycho-analytic treatment. In the course of the session in which he gave an account of breaking the "earthenware" vase, he happened much later to be talking once more about his relations with women and said he thought he was quite unreasonably hard to please; thus for example he required women to have "un-

earthly beauty". This is surely a very clear indication that he was still dependent on his (dead, i.e., un earthly) wife and wanted to have nothing to do with "earthly beauty"; hence the breaking of the earthenware ("earthly") vase.

And at the exact time when in the transference he formed a phantasy of marrying his physician's daughter, he made him a present of a vase, as though to drop a hint of the sort of return-

present he would like to have.

Probably the symbolic meaning of the parapraxis admits of a number of further variations—for example, his not wanting to fill the vase, etc. What strikes me, however, as more interesting is the consideration that the presence of several, at the least of ever.
two, motives (which probably operated separately out of the preconscious and the unconscious) is reflected in the doubling of the paraphrase—his knocking over the vase and then letting it fall from his hands.\(^1\)

(\(e\))\(^2\) Dropping, knocking over and breaking objects are acts which seem to be used very often to express unconscious trains of thought, as analysis can occasionally demonstrate, but as may more frequently be guessed from the superstitious or facetious interpretations popularly connected with them. The interpretations attached to salt being spilt, a wine-glass being knocked over, a dropped knife sticking in the ground, etc., are well known. I shall not discuss till later [p. 254 ff.] the question of what claims such superstitious interpretations have to being taken seriously. Here I need only remark that individual clumsy actions do not by any means always have the same meaning, but serve as a method of representing one purpose or another according to circumstances.

Recently we passed through a period in my house during which an unusually large amount of glass and china crockery was broken; I myself was responsible for some of the damage. But the little psychical epidemic could easily be explained; these were the days before my eldest daughter’s wedding. On such festive occasions it used to be the custom deliberately to break some utensil and at the same time utter a phrase to bring good luck. This custom may have the significance of a sacrifice and it may have another symbolic meaning as well.

When servants drop fragile articles and so destroy them, our first thought is certainly not of a psychological explanation, yet it is not unlikely that here, too, obscure motives play their part. Nothing is more foreign to uneducated people than an appreciation of art and works of art. Our servants are dominated by a mute hostility towards the manifestations of art, especially when the objects (whose value they do not understand) become a source of work for them. On the other hand people of the same education and origin often show great dexterity and reliability in handling delicate objects in scientific institutions,

---

\(^1\) [Cf. p. 105 (\(\delta\)).]

\(^2\) [The first and third paragraphs in this section date back to 1901; the second was added in 1910.]
once they have begun to identify themselves with their chief and to consider themselves an essential part of the staff.

I insert here a communication from a young technician which gives us some insight into the mechanism of a case of material damage:

"Some time ago I worked with several fellow-students in the laboratory of the technical college on a series of complicated experiments in elasticity, a piece of work which we had undertaken voluntarily but which was beginning to take up more time than we had expected. One day as I returned to the laboratory with my friend F., he remarked how annoying it was to him to lose so much time on that particular day as he had so much else to do at home. I could not help agreeing with him and added half jokingly, referring to an incident the week before: "Let us hope that the machine will go wrong again so that we can stop work and go home early."—In arranging the work it happened that F. was given the regulation of the valve of the press; that is to say, he was, by cautiously opening the valve, to let the fluid under pressure flow slowly out of the accumulator into the cylinder of the hydraulic press. The man conducting the experiment stood by the manometer and when the right pressure was reached called out a loud "stop!". At the word of command F. seized the valve and turned it with all his might—to the left! (All valves without exception are closed by being turned to the right.) This caused the full pressure of the accumulator to come suddenly on to the press, a strain for which the connecting-pipes are not designed, so that one of them immediately burst—quite a harmless accident to the machine, but enough to oblige us to suspend work for the day and go home.—It is characteristic, by the way, that when we were discussing the affair some time later my friend F. had no recollection whatever of my remark, which I recalled with certainty."

Similarly, falling, stumbling and slipping need not always be interpreted as purely accidental miscarriages of motor actions. The double meanings that language attaches to these expressions are enough to indicate the kind of phantasies involved,

---

1 [This example, which was later quoted by Freud in the fourth of his Introductory Lectures (1916-17), was added in 1912.]
2 [This paragraph dates back to 1901.]
BUNGLED ACTIONS

which can be represented by such losses of bodily equilibrium. I can recall a number of fairly mild nervous illnesses in women and girls which set in after a fall not accompanied by any injury, and which were taken to be traumatic hysterias resulting from the shock of the fall. Even at that time I had an impression that these events were differently connected and that the fall was already a product of the neurosis and expressed the same unconscious phantasies with a sexual content, which could be assumed to be the forces operating behind the symptoms. Is not the same thing meant by a proverb which runs: 'When a girl falls she falls on her back'?

We can also count as bungled actions cases of giving a beggar a gold piece instead of a copper or small silver coin. The explanation of such mistakes is easy. They are sacrificial acts designed to appease fate, to avert harm, and so on. If a devoted mother or aunt, directly before going for a walk in the course of which she displays unwilling generosity of this kind, is heard to express concern over a child's health, we can have no more doubts about the meaning of the apparently disagreeable accident. In this way our parapraxes make it possible for us to practise all those pious and superstitious customs that must shun the light of consciousness owing to opposition from our reason, which has now grown sceptical.

(f) There is no sphere in which the view that accidental actions are really intentional will command a more ready belief than that of sexual activity, where the border line between the two possibilities seems really to be a faint one. A good example from my own experience of a few years ago shows how an apparently clumsy movement can be most cunningly used for sexual purposes. In the house of some friends I met a young girl who was staying there as a guest and who aroused a feeling of pleasure in me which I had long thought was extinct. As a result I was in a jovial, talkative and obliging mood. At the time I also endeavoured to discover how this came about; a year before, the same girl had made no impression on me. As the girl's uncle, a very old gentleman, entered the room, we both jumped to our feet to bring him a chair that was standing

1 [Added in 1907.]
2 [This paragraph and the following one date back to 1901.]
in the corner. She was nimbler than I was and, I think, nearer to the object; so she took hold of the chair first and carried it in front of her with its back towards her, gripping the sides of the seat with both hands. As I got there later, but still stuck to my intention of carrying the chair, I suddenly found myself standing directly behind her, and throwing my arms round her from behind; and for a moment my hands met in front of her waist. I naturally got out of the situation as rapidly as it had arisen. Nor does it seem to have struck anyone how dexterously I had taken advantage of this clumsy movement.

Occasionally, too, I have had to tell myself that the irritating and clumsy process of dodging someone in the street, when for several seconds one steps first to one side and then to the other, but always to the same side as the other person, till finally one comes to a standstill face to face with him (or her)—this 'getting in someone's way', I have had to tell myself, is once more a repetition of an improper and provocative piece of behaviour from earlier times and, behind a mask of clumsiness, pursues sexual aims. I know from my psycho-analyses of neurotics that what is described as the naiveté of young people and children is frequently only a mask of this sort, employed so that they may be able to say or do something improper without feeling embarrassed.

Wilhelm Stekel has reported very similar self-observations. I entered a house and offered my right hand to my hostess. In a most curious way I contrived in doing so to undo the bow that held her loose morning-gown together. I was conscious of no dishonourable intention; yet I carried out this clumsy move­ment with the dexterity of a conjurer.

I have already been able again and again [cf. pp. 96 and 132] to produce evidence that creative writers think of parapraxes as having a meaning and a motive, just as I am arguing here. We shall not be surprised, therefore, to see from a fresh example how a writer invests a clumsy movement with significance, too, and makes it foreshadow later events.

Here is a passage from Theodor Fontane's novel L'Adultera [The Adulteress, 1882]. Melanie jumped up and threw one of the large balls to her husband as though in greeting. But her

---

1 [In 1901 only: 'for half a minute.']  
2 [Added in 1907.]  
3 [This paragraph and the following one were added in 1917.]
aim was not straight, the ball flew to one side and Rubehn caught it.' On the return from the outing that led to this little episode a conversation between Melanie and Rubehn takes place which reveals the first signs of a budding affection. This affection blossoms into passion, so that Melanie finally leaves her husband and gives herself entirely to the man she loves. (Communicated by H. Sachs.)

(g) The effects produced by the parapraxes of normal people are as a rule of the most harmless kind. Precisely for this reason it is an especially interesting question whether mistakes of considerable importance which may be followed by serious consequences—for example, mistakes made by a doctor or a chemist—are in any way open to the approach presented here. [Cf. also above, pp. 122-5.]

As I very rarely find myself undertaking medical treatment, I can report only one example from my personal experience of a bungled action of a medical kind. There is a very old lady whom I have been visiting twice a day for some years. On my morning visit my medical services are limited to two actions. I put a few drops of eye-lotion into her eye and give her a morphine injection. Two bottles are always prepared for me: a blue one with the collyrium and a white one with the morphine solution. During the two operations my thoughts are no doubt usually busy with something else; by now I have performed them so often that my attention behaves as if it were at liberty. One morning I noticed that the automaton had worked wrong. I had put the dropper into the white bottle instead of the blue one and had put morphine into the eye instead of collyrium. I was greatly frightened and then reassured myself by reflecting that a few drops of a two per cent solution of morphine could not do any harm even in the conjunctival sac. The feeling of fright must obviously have come from another source.

In attempting to analyse this small mistake I first thought of

1 [The first four paragraphs in this section date back to 1901.]
2 [This old lady, who is also mentioned on pp. 164 and 256, appears as well in The Interpretation of Dreams (1900a), Standard Ed., 4, 118 and 239. Her death is reported in a letter to Fliess of July 8, 1901 (Freud, 1950a, Letter 145).]
the phrase ‘sich an der Alten vergreifen’, which provided a short cut to the solution. I was under the influence of a dream which had been told me by a young man the previous evening and the content of which could only point to sexual intercourse with his own mother. The strange fact that the [Oedipus] legend finds nothing objectionable in Queen Jocasta’s age seemed to me to fit in well with the conclusion that in being in love with one’s own mother one is never concerned with her as she is in the present but with her youthful mnemic image carried over from one’s childhood. Such incongruities always appear when a phantasy that fluctuates between two periods is made conscious and so becomes definitely attached to one of the two periods. While absorbed in thoughts of this kind I came to my patient, who is over ninety, and I must have been on the way to grasping the universal human application of the Oedipus myth as correlated with the Fate which is revealed in the oracles; for at that point I did violence to or committed a blunder on ‘the old woman’. Here again the bungled action was a harmless one; of the two possible errors, using the morphine solution for the eye or the eye lotion for the injection, I had chosen by far the more harmless one. This still leaves the question open of whether we may admit the possibility of an unconscious intention in mistakes that can cause serious harm, in the same way as in the cases which I have discussed.

Here then my material leaves me in the lurch, as might be expected, and I have to fall back on conjectures and inferences. It is well known that in the severer cases of psychoneurosis instances of self-injury are occasionally found as symptoms and that in such cases suicide can never be ruled out as a possible outcome of the psychical conflict. I have now learnt and can prove from convincing examples that many apparently accidental injuries that happen to such patients are really

1 [To do violence to the old woman.’ The German word ‘vergreifen’ means both ‘to make a blunder’ and ‘to commit an assault’.

2 The ‘Oedipus dream’, as I am in the habit of calling it, because it contains the key to the understanding of the legend of King Oedipus. In the text of Sophocles a reference to such a dream is put into Jocasta’s mouth [line 982 ff.]. Cf. The Interpretation of Dreams (1900a), Standard Ed. 4, 261–4.

3 [In the editions before 1924 the sentence ran: ‘and shall one day prove...’]
instances of self-injury. What happens is that an impulse to self-punishment, which is constantly on the watch and which normally finds expression in self-reproach or contributes to the formation of a symptom, takes ingenious advantage of an external situation that chance happens to offer, or lends assistance to that situation until the desired injurious effect is brought about. Such occurrences are by no means uncommon in cases even of moderate severity, and they betray the part which the unconscious intention plays by a number of special features—e.g. by the striking composure that the patients retain in what is supposed to be an accident.

Instead of a number of cases I will give a detailed report of only a single example from my medical experience. A young married woman broke her leg below the knee in a carriage accident, so that she was bedridden for weeks; what was striking was the absence of any expressions of pain and the calmness with which she bore her misfortune. This accident introduced a long and severe neurotic illness of which she was finally cured by psycho-analysis. In treating her I learnt of the circumstances surrounding the accident and of certain events that had preceded it. The young woman was staying with her very jealous husband on the estate of a married sister, in company with her numerous other sisters and brothers with their husbands and wives. One evening in this intimate circle she showed off one of her accomplishments: she gave an accurate performance of the can-can, which was received with hearty applause by her relatives but with scanty satisfaction by her husband, who afterwards whispered to her: 'Carrying on like a tart again!' The remark struck home—we will not enquire whether it was only on account of the dancing display. She spent a restless night. Next morning she felt a desire to go for a drive. She selected the horses herself, refusing one pair and asking for another. Her youngest sister wanted her baby and its nurse to go in the carriage with her; my patient vigorously

1) The Selbstdurchschlagung, die nicht auf volle Selbstvernichtung hinaus, hat in unserem gegenwärtigen Kulturzustand überhaupt keine andere Wahl, als sich hinter der Zufälligkeit zu verbergen, oder sich durch Simulation einer spontanen Erkrankung durchzusetzen. Früher einmal war sie ein gebräuchliches Zeichen der Trauer; zu anderen Zeiten konnte sie Tendenzen der Frömigkeit und Weltsagung Ausdruck geben.
opposed this. During the drive she showed signs of nerves; she warned the coachman that the horses were growing skittish, and when the restless animals were really causing a moment’s difficulty she jumped out in a fright and broke her leg, while the others who stayed in the carriage were unharned. Although after learning these details we can hardly remain in doubt that this accident was really contrived, we cannot fail to admire the skill which forced chance to mete out a punishment that fitted the crime so well. For it had now been made impossible for her to dance the can-can for quite a long time.

As regards self-injuries of my own, there is little that I can report in uneventful times; but in extraordinary circumstances I find that I am not incapable of them. When a member of my family complains to me of having bitten his tongue, pinched a finger, or the like, he does not get the sympathy he hopes for, but instead the question: ‘Why did you do that?’ I myself once gave my thumb a most painful pinch when a youthful patient told me during the hour of treatment of his intention (not of course to be taken seriously) of marrying my eldest daughter—I knew that at the time she was lying critically ill in a sanatorium.

One of my boys, whose lively temperament used to make it difficult to nurse him when he was ill, had a fit of anger one day because he was ordered to spend the morning in bed, and threatened to kill himself, a possibility that was familiar to him from the newspapers. In the evening he showed me a swelling on one side of his chest which he had got by bumping against a door-handle. To my ironical question as to why he had done it and what he meant by it, the eleven-year-old child answered as though it had suddenly dawned on him: ‘That was my attempt at suicide that I threatened this morning.’ I do not think, by the way, that my views on self-injury were accessible to my children at the time.

Anyone who believes in the occurrence of half-intentional self-injury—if I may use a clumsy expression—will be prepared also to assume that in addition to consciously intentional suicide there is such a thing as half-intentional self-destruction (self-destruction with an unconscious intention), capable of making skillful use of a threat to life and of disguising it as a

---

1 [See footnote 3, p. 169.]
2 [This paragraph and the next one date back to 1901.]
chance mishap. There is no need to think such self-destruction rare. For the trend to self-destruction is present to a certain degree in very many more human beings than those in whom it is carried out; self-injuries are as a rule a compromise between this instinct and the forces that are still working against it, and even where suicide actually results, the inclination to suicide will have been present for a long time before in lesser strength or in the form of an unconscious and suppressed trend.

Even a conscious intention of committing suicide chooses its time, means and opportunity; and it is quite in keeping with this that an unconscious intention should wait for a precipitating occasion which can take over a part of the causation and, by engaging the subject’s defensive forces, can liberate the inclination from their pressure. The views I am putting forward here are far from being idle ones. I have learned of more than one apparently chance mishap (on horseback or in a carriage) the details of which justify a suspicion that suicide was unconsciously allowed to come about. For example, an officer, riding in a race with some fellow-officers, fell from his horse and was so severely injured that he died some days later. His behaviour on regaining consciousness was striking in some ways; and his previous behaviour had been even more remarkable. He had been deeply depressed by the death of his beloved mother, had had fits of sobbing in the company of his fellow officers, and to his trusted friends had spoken of being weary of life. He had

1 After all, the case is no different from that of a sexual assault upon a woman, where the man’s attack cannot be repelled by her full muscular strength because a portion of her unconscious impulses meets the attack with encouragement. It is said, as we know, that a situation of this kind paralyses a woman’s strength; all we need do is to add the reasons for this paralysis. To that extent the ingenious judgement delivered by Sancho Panza as governor of his island is psychologically unjust (Don Quixote, Part 2, Chapter 45). A woman dragged a man before the judge alleging he had robbed her of her honour by violence. In compensation Sancho gave her a full purse of money which he took from the accused; but after the woman’s departure he gave him permission to pursue her and snatch his purse back again from her. The two returned struggling, the woman priding herself on the fact that the villain had not been able to take the purse from her. Thereupon Sancho declared: ‘If you had defended your honour with half the determination with which you have defended this purse, the man could not have robbed you of it.”

maskieren weiß. Eine solche braucht keineswegs selten zu sein. Denn die Tendenz zur Selbstvernichtung ist bei sehr viel mehr Menschen in einer gewissen Stärke vorhanden, als bei denen sie sich durchsetzt; die Selbstbeschädigungen sind in der Regel ein Kompromiß zwischen diesem Trieb und den ihm noch entgegenwirkenden Kräften, und auch wo es wirklich zum Selbstmord kommt, da ist die Neigung dazu eine lange Zeit vorher in geringerer Stärke oder als unbewußte und unterdrückte Tendenz vorhanden gewesen.

Auch die bewußte Selbstmordabsicht wählt ihre Zeit, Mittel und Gelegenheit; es ist ganz im Einklang damit, wenn die unbewußte einen Anlaß abwartet, der einen Teil der Verursachung auf sich nehmen und sie durch Inanspruchnahme der Abwehrkräfte der Person von ihrer Bedrückung frei machen kann. Es sind keineswegs müßige Erwägungen, die ich da vorbringe; mir ist mehr als ein Fall von anscheinend zufälligem Verunglücken (zu Pferde oder aus dem Wagen) bekannt geworden, dessen nähere Umstände den Verdacht auf unbewußt zugelassenen Selbstmord rechtfertigen. Da stürzt z. B. während eines Offizierswettrennens ein Offizier vom Pferde und verletzt sich so schwer, daß er mehrere Tage nachher erliegt. Sein Benehmen, nachdem er zu sich gekommen, ist in manchen Stücken auffällig. Noch bemerkenswerter ist sein Benehmen vorher gewesen. Er ist tief verstimmt durch den Tod seiner geliebten Mutter, wird von Weinkrämpfen in der Gesellschaft seiner Kameraden befallen, er äußert Lebensüberbrück gegen seine vertrauten Freunde, will den Dienst

1 Der Fall ist dann schließlich keiner anderer als der des sexualen Attentats auf eine Frau, bei dem der Angriff des Mannes nicht durch die volle Muskellast der Weibes abgewehrt werden kann, weil ihm ein Teil der unbewußten Regungen der Angegriffenen fördernd entgegenkommt. Man sagt ja wohl, eine solche Situation läßt der Frau; man braucht dann nur noch die Gründe für diese Lähmung hinzunutigen. Insofern ist der geistreiche Richterspruch des Sancho Pansa, der zu diesem Fall auf seiner Insel fällt (psychologisch unerlaubt (Don Quixote, II. Teil, Kap. XLV). Eine Frau sterbt einen Mann vor den Richter, der sie angeblich gewaltsam ihrer Ehre beraubt hat. Sancho entscheidet sie durch die volle Geldbeträge, die er dem Angeklagten abnimmt, und gibt diesem nach dem Abzug der Frau die Erlaubnis, ihr nachzueilen und ihr die Büste wieder zu entzwingen. Sie kommen beide ringend wieder, und die Frau ruft nach, daß der Büsenrichter nicht das darübige gewesen sei, sich der Büste zu bemächtigen. Darauf Sancho: „Hättet du deinen Ehre halb so ernsthaft verteidigt wie diese Büste, so hätte sie dir der Mann nicht rauben können.“

2 Daß die Situation des Schachfeldes eine solche ist, wie sie der bewußten Selbstmordabsicht entgegenkommt, die doch den direkten Weg scheint, ist einleuchtend. Vgl. im „Wallenstein“ die Worte des schwedischen Hauptmannes über den Tod des Max Piccolomini: „Man sagt, er wollte sterben.“
wanted to leave the service to take part in a war in Africa which
had not interested him previously; formerly a dashing rider,
he now avoided riding whenever possible. Finally, before the
race, from which he could not withdraw, he expressed gloomy
forebodings; with the view that we hold in these matters, it will
not remain a surprise to us that these forebodings turned out to
be justified. I shall be told that it is not to be wondered at if a
person in such a state of nervous depression cannot manage a
horse as well as on normal days. I quite agree; but the mechani-
sm of the motor inhibition produced by this state of 'nerves'
should, I think, be looked for in the intention of self-destruction
that I am insisting on.

S. Ferenczi of Budapest has handed over to me for publica-
tion the analysis of an ostensibly accidental injury by shooting, 1
which he explains as an unconscious attempt at suicide. I can
only declare my agreement with his view of the matter:

J. Ad., twenty-two years old, a journeyman carpenter, con-
sulted me on January 18, 1908. He wanted to find out from me
whether the bullet that penetrated his left temple on March
20, 1907 could or should be removed by operation. Apart from
occasional, not too severe, headaches he felt perfectly well, and
the objective examination revealed nothing at all apart from
the characteristic powder-blackened bullet scar on the left
temple, so I advised against an operation. When asked about
the circumstances of the case he explained that he had injured
himself accidentally. He was playing with his brother's re-

1 It is evident that conditions on a field of battle are such as to come
to the help of a conscious intention to commit suicide which neverthe-
less shuns the direct way. Compare the words of the Swedish captain
concerning the death of Max Piccolomini in [Schiller's] Walhalla's
Tod [Act IV, Scene 11]: "They say he wanted to die."

2 [This example was added in 1910.]

voltieren, um an einem Kriege in Afrika Anteil zu nehmen,
der ihn sonst nicht berührt; früher ein schneidiger Reiter, weicht
er jetzt dem Reiten aus, wo es nur möglich ist. Vor dem Wett-
rennen endlich, dem er sich nicht entziehen kann, äußert er eine
trübe Ahnung; wir werden uns bei unserer Auffassung nicht
mehr verwundern, daß diese Ahnung recht behielt. Man wird
mir entgegenhalten, es sei ja ohne weiteres verständlich, daß ein
Mensch in solch nervöser Depression das Tier nicht zu meistern
versteht wie in gesunden Tagen. Ich bin ganz einverstanden; nur
möchte ich den Mechanismus dieser motorischen Hemmung durch
die „Nervosität“ in der hier betonten Selbstvermischungsabsicht
suchen.

S. Ferenczi in Budapest hat mir die Analyse eines Falles
von angeblich zufälliger Schußverletzung, den er für einen
unbewußten Selbstmordversuch erklärt, zur Veröffentlichung über
lassen. Ich kann mich mit seiner Auffassung nur einverstanden
erklären:

J. Ad., 29jähriger Tischlergeselle, suchte mich am 18. Januar
1908 auf. Er wollte von mir erfahren, ob die Kugel, die ihm
am 20. März 1907 in die linke Schläfe eindrang, operativ entfernt
werden könne oder müsse. Von zeitweise auftretenden, nicht allzu
heftigen Kopfschmerzen abgesehen, fühlte er sich ganz gesund,
auch die objektive Untersuchung ergibt außer der charakteristischen,
pulverschmutzten Schußnarbe an der linken Schläfe gar nichts,
so daß ich die Operation widerrate. Über die Umstände des Falles
befragt, erklärt er, sich zufällig verletzt zu haben. Er spielte mit
dem Revolver des Bruders, glaubte, daß er nicht geladen
ist, drückte ihn mit der linken Hand an die linke Schläfe (er
ist nicht Linkshänder), legte den Finger an den Hahn, und der
Schuß ging los. Drei Patronen waren in der sechs-

2 Die Patro-Morgensterns für untauglich
varicose veins; he was very ashamed of this. He went home and
played with the revolver, but had no intention of hurting him-
self—and then the accident happened. When questioned further
whether he was otherwise satisfied with life, he sighed in answer
and told the story of his love for a girl who also loved him but
left him all the same. She emigrated to America simply out of
desire for money. He wanted to follow her, but
left him all the same.

Another analysis\(^1\) of an apparently accidental self-inflicted
injury, which its observer (Van Emeden, 1911) has passed on to
me, recalls the proverb: ‘He who digs a pit for others falls in it
himself.’

‘Frau X., who comes of a good middle-class family, is married
with three children. She suffers from her nerves, it is true, but
has never needed any energetic treatment as she is sufficiently
able to cope with life. One day she incurred a facial disfigure-
ment which was somewhat striking at the time though it was
only temporary. It happened as follows. She stumbled on a
heap of stones in a street under repair and struck her face
against the wall of a house. The whole of her face was scratched;
her eyelids became blue and oedematous and as she was afraid
that something might happen to her eyes she had the doctor
called in. After she had been reassured on that score, I asked
her: ‘But why did you in fact fall in that way?’ She replied that,
directly before this, she had warned her husband, who had

\(^1\) [Added in 1912.]
\(^2\) [Cf. Ecclesiastes, x, 8: ‘He that diggeth a pit shall fall into it.’]
been suffering for some months from a joint affection and therefore had difficulty in walking, to take great care in that street, and it had been a fairly frequent experience of hers to find in cases of the kind that in some remarkable way the very thing happened to her that she had warned someone else against.

"I was not satisfied that this was what had determined her accident and asked if perhaps she had something more to tell me. Yes, just before the accident she had seen an attractive picture in a shop on the other side of the street; she had quite suddenly desired it as an ornament for the nursery and therefore wanted to buy it immediately. She walked straight towards the shop, without looking at the ground, stumbled over the heap of stones and in falling struck her face against the wall of the house without making even the slightest attempt to shield herself with her hands. The intention of buying the picture was immediately forgotten and she returned home as fast as possible.

"-"But why didn’t you keep a better look-out?" I asked. "Well," she answered, "perhaps it was a punishment—on account of that episode I told you about perhaps she had something more to tell me."

"The reference was to an abortion which she had had carried out with her husband’s consent, as, owing to their financial circumstances, the couple did not wish to be blessed with any further children. This abortion had been started by a woman quack but had had to be completed by a specialist.

"I often reproach myself by thinking ‘You really had your child killed’ and I was afraid such a thing couldn’t go unpunished. Now that you’ve assured me there’s nothing wrong with my eyes, my mind’s quite at rest: I’ve been sufficiently punished now in any case.

"This accident was therefore a self-punishment, firstly to atone for her crime, but secondly also to escape from an unknown punishment of perhaps much greater severity of which she had been in continual dread for months. In the moment that she dashed towards the shop to buy the picture, the memory of the whole episode with all its fears, which had already been fairly strongly active in her unconscious when she had warned her husband, became overwhelming and might perhaps have..."
been expressed in some words like these: "Why do you need an ornament for the nursery?—you had your child destroyed! You're a murderess. The great punishment's just coming down on you for certain!"

"This thought did not become conscious; but instead of it she used the situation, at what I might call this psychological moment, for punishing herself unbosomingly with the help of the heap of stones which seemed suitable for the purpose. This is the reason why she did not even put out her hands as she fell and also why she was not seriously frightened. The second and probably less important determinant of her accident was no doubt self-punishment for her unconscious wish to be rid of her husband, who, incidentally, had been an accomplice in the crime. This wish was betrayed by her entirely superfluous warning to him to keep an eye open for the heap of stones which seemed suitable for the purpose.

When the details of the case are considered one will also be likely to feel that Säcke (1916) is right in regarding an apparently accidental self-injury by burning as a 'sacrificial act':

'A lady whose son-in-law had to leave for Germany for military service scalded her foot in the following circumstances. Her daughter was expecting her confinement soon and reflections on the perils of war naturally did not put the family into a cheerful mood. The day before her departure she had asked her son-in-law and daughter in for a meal. She herself prepared the meal in the kitchen after having first—strangely enough—changed her high, laced boots with arch-supports, which were comfortable for walking and which she usually wore indoors as well, for a pair of her husband's slippers that were too large and were open at the top. While taking a large pan of boiling soup off the fire she dropped it and in this way scalded one foot

3 [Footnote added 1920:] A correspondent writes to me as follows on the subject of 'self-punishment by means of paraphraxes': 'If one studies the way people behave in the street one has a chance of seeing how often men who turn round to look back at passing women—not an unusual habit—meet with a minor accident. Sometimes they will sprain an ankle—on a level pavement; sometimes they will bump into a lamppost or hurt themselves in some other way.'

4 [Added in 1917.]
fairly badly—especially the instep, which was not protected by the open slipper. Everyone naturally put this accident down to her understandable “nerves”. For the first few days after this burnt offering she was particularly careful with anything hot, but this did not prevent her some days later from scalding her wrist with hot gravy.\footnote{1}  

\footnote{1} In a very large number of cases like these of injury or death in accidents the explanation remains a matter of doubt. The outsider will find no occasion to see in the accident anything other than a chance occurrence, while someone who is closely connected with the victim and familiar with intimate details has reason to suspect the unconscious intention behind the chance occurrence. The following account by a young man whose fiancé was run over in the street gives a good example of the kind of intimate knowledge that I mean and of the type of accessory details in question:  

"Last September I made the acquaintance of a Fräulein Z., aged 34. She was in well-to-do circumstances, and had been engaged before the war, but her fiancé had fallen in action in 1916 while serving as an officer. We came to know and become fond of each other, not at first with any thought of marrying, as the circumstances on both sides, in particular the difference in our ages (I myself was 27), seemed to rule that out. As we lived opposite each other in the same street and met every day, our relationship took an intimate turn in course of time. Thus the idea of marriage came more into view and I finally agreed to it myself. The betrothal was planned for Easter; Fräulein Z., however, intended first to make a journey to her relatives at M., but this was suddenly prevented by a railway strike that had been called as a result of the Kapp-Putsch [an attempted counter-revolutionary coup d'État in Berlin in March, 1920]. The gloomy prospects and its consequences appeared to hold out for the future had their effect for a brief time on our mood, too, but especially on Fräulein Z., always a person of very changeable moods, since she thought she was fated to be unfortunate. However, after having fixed a certain time, she telephoned to ask me to fetch her to church straight away; but I refused, as I could not have got ready in time, and had, besides, work I wanted to finish. Fräulein Z. was noticeably disappointed; she then set out alone, met an acquaintance at her house and walked with him for the short distance along the Taubenstrasse to the Rankestrasse, in the best of humour and without referring at all to our conversation. The gentleman bade her good-bye with a joking remark. [In order to reach the church] Fräulein Z. had taken the Kursbus from her house, walked with him from the tram stop near the Tannenstrasse to the Rankestrasse, in the best of humour and without referring at all to our conversation. The gentleman bade her good-bye with a joking remark. [In order to reach the church] Fräulein Z. had an offer to her understandab...
If a furious raging against one's own integrity and one's own life can be hidden in this way behind apparently accidental clumsiness and motor inefficiency, it is not a very large step to find it possible to transfer the same view to mistakes that seriously endanger the lives and health of other people. What evidence I have to show that this view is a valid one is drawn from my experience with neurotics, and thus does not wholly meet the demands of the situation. I will give an account of a case in which something that was not strictly a faulty action but that rather deserves the name of a symptomatic or chance action gave me the clue which subsequently made it possible to resolve the patient's conflict. I once undertook the task of bringing an improvement to the marriage of a very intelligent man, whose disagreements with his fondly attached young wife

only to cross the Kurfürstendamm (the main street in West Berlin) where it widened out and one could have a clear view along it; but, close to the pavement, the cab was run over by a horse-drawn cab. (Continuation of the liver, which led to her death a few hours later.)—We had crossed at that point hundreds of times before; Fraulein Z. was exceedingly careful, and very often prevented me from being rash; on this morning there was almost no traffic whatever, the trams, omnibuses, etc. were on strike. Just about that time there was almost absolute quiet; even if she did not see the cab she must at all events have heard it! Everybody supposed it was an "accident". My first thought was: "That's impossible—but on the other hand there can be no question of its having been intentional." I tried to find a psychological explanation. After some considerable time I thought I had found it in your Psychopathology of Everyday Life. In particular, Fraulein Z. showed at various times a certain leaning in the direction of suicide and even tried to induce me to think the same way—thoughts from which I have often enough dissuaded her; for example, only two days before, after returning from a walk, she began, without any external reason at all, to talk about her death and the provisions for dealing with her estate. (She had not, by the way, done anything about this!—an indication that these remarks definitely did not have any intention behind them.) If I may venture on an opinion, I should regard this calamity not as an accident, nor as an effect of a clouding of consciousness, but as an intentional self-destruction performed with an unconscious purpose, and disguised as a chance mishap. This view of mine is confirmed by remarks which Fraulein Z. made to her relatives, both earlier, before she knew me, and also more recently, as well as by remarks to me up to within the last few days; so that I am tempted to regard the whole thing as an effect of the loss of her former fiancé, whom in her eyes nothing could replace.

* [This paragraph dates back to 1901.]
could undoubtedly be shown to have a real basis, but could not, as he himself admitted, be completely accounted for in that way. He was continually occupied with the thought of a divorce, which he then dismissed once more because of his warm love for his two small children. In spite of this he constantly returned to his intention and made no attempt to find a way of making the situation tolerable to himself. Such inability to deal with a conflict is taken by me as proof that unconscious and repressed motives have lent a hand in strengthening the conscious ones which are struggling against each other, and I undertake in such cases to end the conflict by psychical analysis.

One day the man told me of a small incident which had frightened him extremely. He was romping ['heizen'] with his elder child, who was by far his favourite; he was swinging him high in the air and down again, and once he swung him so high while he was standing at a particular spot that the top of the child's head almost struck the heavy gas chandelier that was hanging there. Almost, but not quite—or perhaps just! No harm came to the child, but it was made giddy with fright. The father stood horrified with the child in his arms, and the mother had a hysterical attack. The peculiar adroitness of this imprudent movement and the violence of the parents' reaction prompted me to look for a symptomatic act in this accident—one which aimed at expressing an evil intention directed against the beloved child. I was able to remove the contradiction between this and the father's contemporary affection for his child by shifting the impulse to injure it back to the time when this child had been the only one and had been so small that its father had not yet had any reason to take an affectionate interest in it. It was then easy for me to suppose that, as he was getting little satisfaction from his wife, he may at that time have had a thought or formed a decision of this kind: 'If this little creature that means nothing at all to me dies, I shall be free and able to get a divorce.' A wish for the death of the creature that he now loved so dearly must therefore have persisted unconsciously. From this point it was easy to find the path by which this wish had become unconsciously fixated. A powerful determinant was in fact provided by a memory from the patient's childhood: namely that the death of a small brother, for which his mother blamed his father's negligence, had led
to violent quarrels between the parents and threats of a divorce. The subsequent course of my patient's marriage, as well as my therapeutic success, confirmed my conjecture.

Stärcke (1916) has given an example of the way in which creative writers do not hesitate to put a bungled action in the place of an intentional action and to make it in this way the source of the gravest consequences:

In one of Heijermans' (1914) sketches there occurs an example of a bungled action, or, more precisely, of a faulty action which the author uses as a dramatic motif.

'The sketch is called "Tom and Teddy". They are a pair of divers who appear in a variety theatre; their act is given in an iron tank with glass walls, in which they stay under water for a considerable time and perform tricks. Recently the wife has started an affair with another man, an animal-trainer. Her diver-husband has caught them together in the dressing-room just before the performance. Dead silence, menacing looks, with the diver saying: "Afterwards!"—The act begins. The diver is about to perform his hardest trick: he will remain "two and a half minutes under water in a hermetically sealed trunk"—This is a trick they had performed often enough; the trunk was locked and "Teddie used to show the key to the audience, who checked the time by their watches". She also used purposely to drop the key once or twice into the tank and then dive hurriedly after it, so as not to be too late when the time came for the trunk to be opened.

"This particular evening, January 31st, saw Tom locked up as usual by the neat fingers of his brisk and nimble wife. He smiled behind the peep-hole—she played with the key and waited for his warning sign. The trainer stood in the wings, in his impeccable evening dress, with white tie and his horsewhip. Here was the 'other man'. To catch her attention he gave a very short whistle. She looked at him, laughed, and with the clumsy gesture of someone whose attention is distracted she threw the key so wildly in the air that at exactly two minutes and twenty seconds, by an accurate reckoning, it fell by the side of the tank in the middle of the bunting covering the pedestal. No one had seen it. No one could see it. Viewed from the house the optical illusion was such that everyone saw the key fall into

[This final example was added in 1917.]
Laughing, Teddie clambered without delay, over the edge of the tank. Laughing—Tom was holding out well—she came down the ladder. Laughing, she disappeared under the pedestal to look there and, when she did not find the key at once, she bowed in front of the bunting with a priceless gesture, and an expression on her face as if to say 'Gracious me! what a nuisance this is!'.

"Meanwhile Tom was grimacing in his droll way behind the peep-hole, as if he too was becoming agitated. The audience saw the white of his false teeth, the champing of his lips under the flaxen moustache, the comical bubble-blowing that they had seen earlier, when he was eating the apple. They saw his pale knuckles as he grappled and clawed, and they laughed as they had laughed so often already that evening.

"Two minutes and fifty-eight seconds...

"Three minutes and seven seconds... twelve seconds...

"Bravo! Bravo! Bravo!

"Then consternation broke out in the house and there was a shuffling of feet, when the stage hands and the trainer began to search too and the curtain came down before the lid had been raised.

"Six English dancing-girls came on—then the man with the ponies, dogs and monkeys. And so on.

"It was not till the next morning that the public knew there had been an accident, that Teddie had been left a widow..."

'It is clear from this quotation what an excellent understanding the author must himself have had of the nature of a symptomatic act, seeing that he demonstrates to us so strikingly the deeper cause of the fatal clumsiness.'
The actions described so far [Chapter VIII], in which we recognized the carrying out of an unconscious intention, made their appearance in the form of disturbances of other intended actions and concealed themselves behind the pretext of clumsiness. The 'chance' actions which are now to be discussed differ from 'bungled' actions merely in the fact that they scorn the support of a conscious intention and are therefore in no need of a pretext. They appear on their own account, and are permitted because they are not suspected of having any aim or intention. We perform them 'without thinking there is anything in them', 'quite accidentally', 'just to have something to do'; and such information, it is expected, will put an end to any enquiry into the significance of the action. In order to be able to enjoy this privileged position, these actions, which no longer put forward the excuse of clumsiness, have to fulfil certain conditions: they must be unobtrusive and their effects must be slight.

I have collected a large number of such chance actions from myself and from others, and after closely examining the different examples I have come to the conclusion that the name of symptomatic acts is a better one for them. They give expression to something which the agent himself does not suspect in them, and which he does not as a rule intend to impart to other people but to keep to himself. Thus, exactly like all the other phenomena which we have so far considered, they play the part of symptoms.

The richest supply of such chance or symptomatic acts is in fact to be obtained during the psycho-analytic treatment of neurotics. I cannot resist quoting two examples from this source.

[The earlier portion of this chapter, up to p. 195, dates back to 1901.]

[In 1901 only: 'a certain condition.']
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which show how extensively and in what detail these insignificant occurrences are determined by unconscious thoughts. The borderline between symptomatic acts and bungled actions is so ill-defined that I might equally well have included these examples in the last chapter.

(1) During a session a young married woman mentioned by way of association that she had been cutting her nails the day before and 'had cut into the flesh while she was trying to remove the soft cuticle at the bottom of the nail'. This is of so little interest that we ask ourselves in surprise why it was recalled and mentioned at all, and we begin to suspect that what we are dealing with is a symptomatic act. And in fact it turned out that the finger which was the victim of her small act of clumsiness was the ring-finger, the one on which a wedding ring is worn. What is more, it was her wedding anniversary; and in the light of this the injury to the soft cuticle takes on a very definite meaning, which can easily be guessed. At the same time, too, she related a dream which alluded to her husband's clumsiness and her anaesthesia as a wife. But why was it the ring-finger on her left hand which she injured, whereas a wedding ring is worn [in her country] on the right hand? Her husband is a lawyer, a 'doctor of law' ['Doktor der Rechte'], literally 'doctor of right(s)'; and as a girl her affections belonged in secret to a physician (jokingly called 'Doctor der Linke' ['doctor of the left']). A 'left-handed marriage', too, has a definite meaning.

(2) A young unmarried lady said to me: 'Yesterday I quite unintentionally tore a hundred florin note in two and gave half to a lady who was visiting me. Am I to take this as a symptomatic act as well?' Closer investigation disclosed the following particulars. The hundred florin note:—She devoted part of her time and means to charitable work. Together with another lady she was providing for the bringing up of an orphan. The hundred florins were the contribution sent to her by the other lady. She had put them in an envelope and placed it on her writing table for the time being.

The visitor was a lady of good standing whom she was assisting in another charitable cause. This lady wished to make a note of the names of a number of people whose support could have been helpful.

1 [Worth at the time approximately £8 or $40]
be enlisted. There was no paper at hand, so my patient reached for the envelope on her desk, and without thinking of what it contained tore it in two; one piece she kept herself, so as to have a duplicate set of names, and the other she handed to her visitor. It should be observed that her act, though certainly inappropriate, was perfectly harmless. If a hundred florin note is torn up, it does not, as is well known, lose any of its value so long as it can be put together again completely from the fragments. The importance of the names on the piece of paper was a guarantee that the lady would not throw it away, and it was equally certain that she would restore the valuable contents as soon as she noticed them.

But what was the unconscious thought to which this chance action, made possible by forgetfulness, was meant to give expression? The visitor stood in a very definite relation to my patient's treatment. It was this lady who had formerly recommended me to her as a doctor, and, if I am not mistaken, my patient felt herself under an obligation to her for this advice. Was the half of the hundred florin note perhaps meant to represent a fee for her services as an intermediary? That would still be very strange.

Further material was however forthcoming. A little time before, a woman who was an intermediary of a very different kind had enquired of a relative of the patient's whether the young lady would perhaps like to make a certain gentleman's acquaintance; and that morning, a few hours before the lady's visit, the suitor's letter of proposal had arrived and had caused much amusement. So when the lady opened the conversation by enquiring after my patient's health, the latter might well have thought: 'You certainly found me the right doctor, but if you could help me to get the right husband' (with the further thought: 'and to get a child') 'I should be more grateful.' This thought, which was kept repressed, formed the starting-point from which the two intermediaries became fused into one, and she handed her visitor the fee which her phantasy was ready to give the other woman. This solution becomes entirely convincing when I add that I had been telling the patient about such chance or symptomatic acts only the evening before. She thereupon took the first opportunity of producing something analogous.
These extremely frequent chance and symptomatic acts might be arranged in three groups, according to whether they occur habitually, regularly under certain conditions, or sporadically. Actions of the first group (such as playing with one’s watch-chain, fingering one’s beard and so on), which can almost be taken as characteristics of the person concerned, trench upon the multifarious movements known as *tics* and no doubt deserve to be dealt with in connection with them. In the second group I include playing with a stick or scribbling with a pencil that one happens to be holding, jingling coins in one’s pocket, kneading bread-crumbs and other plastic materials, fiddling with one’s clothing in all kinds of ways and so forth. During psychical treatment idle play of this sort regularly conceals a sense and meaning which are denied any other form of expression. Generally the person concerned is quite unaware that he is doing anything of the kind or that he has modified his usual play in certain ways; and he fails to see and hear the effects of these actions. He does not, for example, hear the noise made by the jingling of coins, and, if his attention is drawn to it, he behaves as though he were astonished and incredulous. All the things that a person does with his clothing, often without realizing it, are no less important and deserve the doctor’s attention. Every change in the clothing usually worn, every small sign of carelessness—such as an unfastened button—every trace of exposure, is intended to express something which the wearer of the clothes does not want to say straight out and which for the most part he is unaware of. The interpretations of these small chance actions, and the evidence for these interpretations, emerge each time with sufficient certainty from the material which accompanies them during the session, from the topic that is under discussion and from the associations that occur when attention is drawn to the apparently chance action. Because of this I shall not proceed to support my assertions with examples accompanied by analyses; but I mention these actions because I believe that they have

1 [The first two of these three groups are dealt with in the present paragraph. The third is not explicitly reached until p. 210, most of the intervening material having been added in the later editions of the book—though many of the interpolated examples seem in fact to belong already to the third ‘sporadic’ category.]
the same meaning in the case of normal people as they have in my patients.

I cannot refrain from showing by at least one example 1 how close the connection can be between a symbolic action performed through force of habit and the most intimate and important aspects of a healthy person's life:

1 As Professor Freud has taught us, symbolism plays a greater role in the childhood of normal people than earlier psychoanalytical experiences had led one to expect. In this connection the following short analysis may be of some interest, especially in view of its medical subject-matter.

'A doctor on rearranging his furniture in a new house came across an old-fashioned, straight wooden stethoscope, and, after pausing to decide where he should put it, was impelled to place it on the side of his writing-desk in such a position that it stood exactly between his chair and the one reserved for his patients. The act in itself was somewhat odd, for two reasons. In the first place he does not use a stethoscope at all often (he is in fact a neurologist) and if he needs one he uses a binaural one. In the second place all his medical apparatus and instruments were kept in drawers, with the sole exception of this one. However, he gave no further thought to the matter until one day a patient, who had never seen a straight stethoscope, asked him where he kept it just there; he answered in an off-hand way that that place was as good as any other. This started him thinking, however, and he wondered whether there had been any unconscious motive in his action, and being familiar with the psycho-analytical method he decided to investigate the matter.

The first memory that occurred to him was the fact that when a medical student he had been struck by the habit his hospital interne had of always carrying in his hand a straight stethoscope on his ward visits, although he never used it. He greatly admired this interne, and was much attached to him.

1 [Added in 1912.]

1) Jones, Beitrag zur Symbolik im Alltag. (Zentralblatt für Psychoanalyse, 1, 5, 1911).
Later on, when he himself became an interne, he contracted the same habit, and would feel very uncomfortable if by mistake he left his room without having the instrument to swing in his hand. The aimlessness of the habit was however shown, not only by the fact that the only stethoscope he ever used was a binaural one, which he carried in his pocket, but also in that it was continued when he was a surgical intern and never needed any stethoscope at all. The significance of these observations immediately becomes clear if we refer to the phallic nature of this symbolic action.

He next recalled the fact that in his early childhood he had been struck by the family doctor's habit of carrying a straight stethoscope inside his hat; he found it interesting that the doctor should always have his chief instrument handy when he went to see patients and only had to take off his hat (i.e. a part of his clothing) and "pull it out". As a small child he had been strongly attached to this doctor; and a brief self-analysis enabled him to discover that at the age of three and a half he had had a double phantasy concerning the birth of a younger brother—namely that she was the child, firstly, of himself and his mother, and secondly, of the doctor and himself. Thus in this phantasy he played both a masculine and a feminine part. He further recalled having been examined by the same doctor when he was six, and distinctly recollected the voluptuous sensation of feeling the doctor's head near him pressing the stethoscope into his chest, and the rhythmic to-and-fro respiratory movement. At the age of three he had had a chronic chest affection which necessitated repeated examination, although he could not in fact still remember it.

At the age of eight he was impressed by being told by an older boy that it was the doctor's custom to get into bed with his women patients. There certainly was some real basis for this rumour; at all events the women of the neighbourhood, including the subject's own mother, were very attached to the young and handsome doctor. The subject had himself on several occasions experienced sexual temptations in regard to his women patients; he had twice fallen in love with one and finally had married one. It can hardly be doubted that his unconscious identification with the doctor was the chief motive for his adoption of the medical profession. Other analyses lead us to consider the phallic nature of this symbolic action.

As next he erinnert er die Tatsache, daß ihn als kleinen Jungen die Gewohnheit seines Hausarztes frappierte, ein einfaches Stethoskop im Innern seines Hut es zu tragen; er fand es interessant, daß der Doktor sein Hauptinstrument immer zur Hand habe, wenn er Patienten besuchen ging, und daß er nur den Hut ab (d.i. einen Teil seiner Kleidung) abnehmen und es herauszuziehen" hatte. Er war als kleines Kind diesem Arzte überaus anhänglich gewesen und konnte kürzlich durch Selbstanalyse aufdecken, daß er im Alter von dreieinhalb Jahren eine doppelte Phantasie in betreff der Geburt einer jüngeren Schwester gehabt hatte: nämlich, daß sie das Kind war erstens von ihm selbst und seiner Mutter, zweitens vom Doktor und ihm selbst. In dieser Phantasie spielte er also sowohl die männliche wie die weibliche Rolle. Er erinnerte ferner, im Alter von sechs Jahren von demselben Arzt untersucht worden zu sein, und enttäuschte sich deutlich der willentlichen Empfindung, als er den Kopf des Doktors, der ihm das Stethoskop an die Brust drückte, in seiner Nähe fühlte, sowie der rhythmisch hin- und hergehenden Atmungsbe wegung. Im Alter von drei Jahren hatte er ein chronisches Brustfell gehabt und mußte wiederholt untersucht worden sein, wenn er das auch tatsächlich nicht mehr erinnern konnte.

Im Alter von acht Jahren machte die Mitteilung eines älteren Knaben Eindruck auf ihn, der ihm sagte, es sei die Praxis des Arztes, mit seinen Patientinnen zu Bett zu gehen. Es gab sicherlich in Wahrheit einen Grund zu diesem Geruchte und auf alle Fälle waren die Frauen der Nachbarschaft, einschließlich seiner eigenen Mutter, dem jungen und netten Arzte sehr zugetan. Der Analyse selbst hatte bei verschiedenen Gelegenheiten sexuelle Versuchungen in bezug auf seine Patientinnen erfahren, hatte sich zweimal in solche verliebt und schließlich eine geheiratet. Es ist kaum zweifelhaft, daß seine unbewußte Identifizierung mit dem Doktor der hauptsächlichste Grund war, der ihn bewog, den Beruf des Mediziners zu ergreifen. Aus anderen Analysen läßt sich
SYMPTOMATIC AND CHANGE ACTIONS

I suppose that this is undoubtedly the commonest motive (though it is hard to determine just how common). In the present case it was doubly determined: firstly by the superiority of the doctor on several occasions over the father, of whom the son was very jealous, and secondly by the doctor's knowledge of forbidden topics and his opportunities for sexual satisfaction.

'Then came a dream which I have already published elsewhere (Jones 1910b); it was plainly of a homosexual-masochistic nature. In this dream a man was a substitutive figure for the doctor attacked the subject with a "sword". The sword reminded him of a passage in the Volsung Nibelungen Saga, where Sigurd places a naked sword between himself and the sleeping Brünhilde. The same episode occurs in the Arthurian legend which our subject also knows well.

The meaning of the symptomatic act now becomes clear. Our doctor placed his straight stethoscope between himself and his women patients exactly as Sigurd placed his sword between himself and the woman he was not to touch. The act was a compromise-formation: it satisfied two impulses. It served to satisfy in his imagination the suppressed wish to enter into sexual relations with any attractive woman patient, but at the same time it served to remind him that this wish could not become a reality. It was, so to speak, a charm against yielding to temptation.

'I might add that the following lines from Lord Lytton's Richelieu made a great impression on the boy:

Beneath the rule of men entirely great
The pen is mightier than the sword ...

and that he has become a prolific writer and uses an exceptionally large fountain pen. When I asked him why he needed it he gave the characteristic response: "I have so much to express."

'This analysis again reminds us what profound insight is afforded into mental life by "innocent" and "meaningless" acts, and how early in life the tendency to symbolization develops.'

I can quote a further instance from my psychotherapeutic

1 Compare Oldham: "I wear my pen as others do their sword."
2 [This example dates back to 1901.]

vermuten, daß dies sicherlich das häufigste Motiv ist (obgleich es schwer ist zu bestimmen, wie häufig). Im vorliegenden Falle war es zweifach bedingt: erstens durch die bei mehreren Gelegenheiten erwiesene Überlegenheit des Arztes dem Vater gegenüber, auf den der Sohn sehr eifersüchtig war, und zweitens durch das Doktors Kenntnis verbotener Dinge und Gelegenheiten zu sexueller Befriedigung.


Der Sinn der Symptomhandlung wird nun klar. Der Arzt hatte das einfache Stethoskop zwischen sich und seine Patientinnen gestellt, genau so wie Sigurd sein Schwert zwischen sich und die Frau legte, die er nicht berühren durfte. Die Handlung war eine Kompromißbildung; sie diente zweierlei Regungen: in seiner Einbildung dem unterdrückten Wunsche nachzugeben, mit irgendeiner reizenden Patientin in sexuelle Beziehungen zu treten, ihn aber zugleich zu erinnern, daß dieser Wunsch nicht verwirklicht werden konnte. Es war sozusagen ein Zauber gegen die Anfechtungen der Versuchung.

Ich möchte hinzufügen, daß auf den Knaben die Stelle aus Lord Lyttons \'Richelieu\' großen Eindruck machte:

\"Beneath the rule of men entirely great
The pen is mightier than the sword\";

das er ein fruchtbaren Schriftsteller geworden ist und eine außergewöhnlich große Füllfeder benützt. Als ich ihn fragte, wozu er dies nötig habe, erwiderte er charakteristischerweise: \'Ich kann soviel auszudrücken.\'

Diese Analyse mahnt uns wieder einmal daran, welch weitreichende Einblicke in das Seelenleben uns die \'harmlosen\' und \'sinnlosen\' Handlungen gewähren, und wie frühzeitig im Leben die Tendenz zur Symbolisierung entwickelt ist.\'

Ich kann noch etwa aus meiner psychotherapeutischen

1) \"Freuds Theory of Dreams\", American Journ. of Psychol, April 1910, p. 501, Nr. 7.
2) Vgl. Oldhams \"I wear my pen as others do their sword.\"
experience in which eloquent testimony was borne by a hand playing with a lump of bread-crum. My patient was a boy of not yet thirteen; for almost two years he had been severely hysterical and I finally took him for psycho-analytic treatment after a lengthy stay in a hydroptic institution had brought no success. I was going on the assumption that he must have had sexual experiences and be tormented by sexual questions, which was likely enough at his age; but I refrained from helping him with explanations as I wished to put my hypotheses once again to the test. I was therefore naturally curious as to the way in which he would bring out what I was looking for. One day it struck me that he was rolling something between the fingers of his right hand; he would thrust it in his pocket and continue playing with it there, and then take it out again, and so on. I did not ask what he had in his hand; but he suddenly opened his hand and showed me. It was bread-crum kneaded into a lump. At the next session he again brought along a similar lump and this time, while we were talking, he modelled figures out of it which excited my interest; he did this with incredible rapidity, with his eyes closed. They were undoubtedly little men, with a head, two arms and two legs, like the crudest prehistoric idols, and with an appendage between the legs which he drew out into a long point. He had hardly completed this when he kneaded the figure together again; later he allowed it to remain, but drew out a similar appendage from the surface of the back and other parts of the body in order to disguise the meaning of the first one. I wanted to show him I had understood him, but at the same time I wanted to prevent him from pretending that he had not thought of anything while he was engaged in making these figures. With this in mind I suddenly asked him if he remembered the story of the Roman king who gave, his son’s envoy an answer that was written on his shaven head.1 No, I answered, that is from Greek history, and I told him the story. King Tarquinius Superbus2 had made

1 [The story is to be found in Herodotus, Book V, Chapter 35.]
2 [In the editions of 1901 and 1904: ‘Tarquinius Priscus’. In his interleaved copy of the 1904 edition (cf. Editor’s Introduction, p. xiii) Freud
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his son Sextus find his way secretly into a hostile Latin city. The son, who had meanwhile collected a following in the city, sent a messenger to the king asking what steps he should take next. The king did not answer, but went into his garden, had the question repeated to him there, and then silently struck off the heads of the tallest and finest poppies. All that the messenger could do was to report this to Sextus, who understood his father and arranged for the most distinguished citizens in the city to be removed by assassination.

While I was speaking, the boy stopped kneading and, as I was on the point of describing what the king did in his garden and had reached the words 'silently struck', he made a lightning movement and tore the head off his little man. He had therefore understood me and had seen that he had been understood by me. I could now question him directly, I gave him the information he needed, and in a short time we had brought the neurosis to an end.

The symptomatic acts\(^1\) that can be observed in almost inexhaustible abundance in healthy people no less than in sick ones have more than one claim to our interest. To the doctor they often serve as valuable clues which enable him to get his bearings in new or unfamiliar situations; to the observer of human nature they often betray everything—and at times even more than he cares to know. A person who is familiar with their significance may at times feel like King Solomon who, according to oriental legend, understood the language of animals.

One day I was to examine a young man, whom I did not know, at his mother's house. As he came towards me I was struck by a large stain on his trousers—made by albumen, as I could tell from its peculiar stiff edges. After a moment's embarrassment the young man apologized and said that he had felt hoarse and so had swallowed a raw egg; some of the slippery white of egg had probably fallen on his clothes. He was able to confirm this by pointing to the egg-shell, which was still visible in the room has made an imperfectly legible comment on this slip. The gist of his comment is that in substituting the name of the father for that of the son he was anticipating the remarks which he makes later on in this book (p. 220) on a similar substitution of Zeus for Kronos in The Interpretation of Dreams. The topic of castration provided the link between the two examples.\(^1\)

\(^1\) [This paragraph was added in 1907.]
on a small plate. In this way the suspicious stain was given an innocent explanation; but when his mother had left us alone I thanked him for making my diagnosis so very much easier, and without more ado took as the basis of our discussion his confession that he was suffering from the troubles arising from masturbation. Another time I was paying a visit to a lady who was as rich as she was miserly and foolish, and who was in the habit of giving the doctor the task of working through a host of complaints before the simple cause of her condition could be reached. When I entered she was sitting at a small table and was busy arranging silver florins in little piles. On rising she knocked some of the coins on to the floor. I helped her to pick them up, and soon cut short her account of her sufferings by asking: 'Has your noble son-in-law robbed you of so much money then?' She denied this angrily, only to go on very soon afterwards to tell the sad story of the agitation which her son-in-law's extravagance had caused her. She has not however sent for me since. I cannot claim that one always makes friends of those to whom one shows the meaning of their symptomatic acts.

Dr. J. E. G. van Emden (The Hague) reports another case of 'confession through a parapraxis'. In making out my bill, the waiter in a small restaurant in Berlin announced that the price of a particular dish had been increased by ten pfennigs, owing to the war. When I asked why this was not shown on the menu he replied that that must just be an oversight—the price had certainly gone up. He pocketed the money clumsily and dropped a ten pfennig coin on the table right in front of me. "Now I know for certain that you've charged me too much. Would you like me to enquire at the cash desk?"

"Excuse me... one moment, please," and he had gone. "Needless to say, I allowed him his retreat, and, after he had apologized a couple of minutes later for having for some unknown reason confused my dish with another one, I let him keep the ten pfennigs as a reward for his contribution to the psychopathology of everyday life."

Anyone who cares to observe his fellow men while they are

2 [Added in 1912.]
at table will be able to observe the neatest and most instructive symptomatic acts.

Thus Dr. Hanns Sachs relates: 'I happened to be present when an elderly couple, relatives of mine, took their evening meal. The lady suffered from a gastric complaint and had to observe a very strict diet. A piece of roast meat had just been set before the husband, and he asked his wife, who was not allowed to join in this course, to pass him the mustard. His wife opened the cupboard, reached inside, and put her little bottle of stomach drops on the table in front of her husband. There was of course no resemblance between the barrel-shaped mustard pot and the little bottle of drops which might have accounted for her picking up the wrong one; yet the wife did not notice her confusion of the two until her husband laughingly called her attention to it. The meaning of the symptomatic act needs no explanation.'

I owe to Dr. B. Dattner, of Vienna, an excellent example of this kind which the observer made very skillful use of:

'I was lunching in a restaurant with my colleague H., a doctor of philosophy. He spoke of the hardships of probationary students, and mentioned incidentally that before he had finished his studies he was given the post of secretary to the ambassador, or, more precisely, the minister plenipotentiary and extraordinary, of Chile. "But then the minister was transferred and I did not present myself to his successor." While he was uttering the last sentence he raised a piece of cake to his mouth, but let it drop from the knife in apparent clumsiness. I immediately grasped the hidden meaning of this symptomatic act, and, as it were casually, interjected to my colleague, who was unfamiliar with psycho-analysis: "You certainly allowed a tasty morsel to slip from you there." He did not, however, notice that my words could apply equally well to his symptomatic act, and repeated my exact words with a peculiarly charming and surprising liveliness just as if my remark had taken the words out of his mouth: "Yes, that was certainly a tasty morsel that I allowed to slip from me", and went on to unburden himself by means of a detailed description of the clumsiness which had lost him this well-paid position.

'The meaning of the symbolic symptomatic act becomes clearer if it is realized that my colleague had scruples about will, wird die schönsten und lehrreichsten Symptomhandlungen an ihnen feststellen können.

So erzählt Dr. Hanns Sachs:

"Ich war zufällig zugegen, als ein älteres Ehepaar meiner Verwandtschaft das Abendessen einnahm. Die Dame war magenleidend und mußte sehr strenge Diät halten. Dem Manne war eben ein Braten vorgesetzt worden, und er bat seine Frau, die sich an dieser Speise nicht beteiligen dürfte, um den Senf. Die Frau öffnete den Schrank, griff hinein und stellte vor ihren Mann das Fläschen mit ihren Magentropfen auf den Tisch. Zwischen dem faßförmigen Senfglas und dem kleinen Tropffläschen bestand natürlich keine Ähnlichkeit, aus der der Mißgriff erklärt werden konnte; trotzdem bemerkte die Frau ihre Verwechslung erst, als der Gatte sie lachend darauf aufmerksam machte. Der Sinn der Symptomhandlung bedarf keiner Erklärung."

Ein köstliches Beispiel dieser Art, das vom Beobachter sehr geschickt ausgebetet wurde, verdanke ich Dr. Bernh. Dattner (Wien):

"Ich sitze mit meinem Kollegen von der Philosophie, Dr. H., im Restaurant beim Mittagessen. Er erzählt von den Unbilden der Probekandidatur, erwähnt nebenbei, daß er vor der Beendigung seiner Studien beim Gesandten, resp. bevollmächtigten außerordentlichen Minister von Chile als Sekretär untergekommen war. 'Dann wurde aber der Minister versetzt und dem neu auserwählten habe ich mich nicht vorgestellt.' Und während er diesen letzten Satz ausspricht, führt er ein Stück Torte zum Munde, läßt es aber, wie aus Ungeschicklichkeit, vom Messer herabfallen. Ich erfasse sofort den geheimen Sinn dieser Symptomhandlung und werfe ihm mit der Psychoanalyse nicht vertrauten Kollegen wie von ungefähr ein: 'Da haben Sie aber einen fetten Bissen fallen lassen.' Er aber meckert nicht, daß sich meine Worte ebenso gut auf seine Symptomhandlung beziehen können, und wiederholt mit einer sonderbar anmutenden, überraschenden Lebhaftigkeit, so als hiitte ich ihm färmlich das Wort aus dem Munde genommen, gerade dieselben Worte, die ich ausgesprochen: 'Ja, das war wirklich ein fetter Bissen, den ich fallen gelassen habe' und erleichtert sich dann durch eine erschöpfende Darstellung seiner Ungeschicklichkeit, die ihn um diese gut bezahlte Stellung gebracht hat.

Der Sinn der symbolischen Symptomhandlung erlautert sich, wenn man ins Auge faßt, daß der Kollege Skrupel empfand, mir,
telling a fairly remote acquaintance like myself of his precarious material situation, and that the intrusive thought thereupon disguised itself as a symptomatic act which expressed symbolically what was meant to be hidden and in this way afforded the speaker relief which arose from unconscious sources.

The following examples will show how much meaning may turn out to lie in an apparently unintentional act of carrying something off or taking something away with one.

Dr. B. Dattner relates: 'A colleague paid a visit to a friend, a lady he had much admired in the days of his youth; it was the first visit after her marriage. He told me of this visit and expressed his surprise at the fact that he had not succeeded in keeping his resolution to stay only a very short time with her. He then went on to recount a singular parapraxis which had happened to him there. His friend's husband, who had joined in the conversation, had looked for a box of matches which had quite definitely been on the top of the table when he arrived. My colleague, too, had looked through his pockets to see whether he had not accidentally "snapped it up", but without avail. Some time later he had in fact found "it" in his pocket, and was struck by the fact that there was only a single match in the box.—A dream a few days later which prominently displayed the match-box symbolism and was concerned with this same friend of his youth confirmed my explanation that my colleague's symptomatic act was intended to announce that he had prior rights and to demonstrate his claim to exclusive possession (only one match in the box).

Dr. Hanns Sachs relates: 'Our maid is particularly fond of a certain kind of cake. There is no possible doubt of this, as it is the only thing that she always makes well. One Sunday she brought in this particular cake, put it down on the sideboard, removed the plates and cutlery of the previous course and stacked them on the tray on which she had brought in the cake; she then put the cake back on the top of this pile instead of on the table, and disappeared with it into the kitchen. Our first idea was that she had noticed something that ought to be put right about the cake, but when she failed to appear again my

1 [In German the word for 'box' ("Schachtel") is feminine; so that this might equally mean 'snapped her up.']
wife rang and asked: "Betty, what has happened to the cake?" "How do you mean?" replied the maid, not understanding. We had first to point out to her that she had taken the cake away with her again. She had put it on the pile of dishes, carried it out and put it away "without noticing".—Next day, as we were about to eat what remained of this cake, my wife noticed that there was just as much as we had wanted any of the cake she replied in some embarrassment that she had put it away "without noticing". —The infantile attitude is very clear on both occasions: first the childish insatiability which did not want to share the object of her wishes with anyone, followed by the equally childish defiant reaction: "If you grudge it me, keep it for yourselves; I don’t want anything at all now."

Chance actions and symptomatic acts occurring in matrimonial matters often have the most serious significance and might induce people who disregard the psychology of the unconscious to believe in omens. [Cf. p. 258, footnote 1.] It is not a happy beginning when a young bride loses her wedding-ring on the honeymoon; but after all it is usually only mislaid and is soon found again.—I know a lady, now divorced from her husband, who in managing her money affairs frequently signed documents in her maiden name, many years before she in fact resumed it.—I was once the guest of a young married couple and heard the young woman laughingly describe her latest experience. The day after her return from the honeymoon she had called for her unmarried sister to go shopping with her as she used to do, while her husband went to his business. Suddenly she noticed a gentleman on the other side of the street, and nudging her sister had cried: ‘Look, there goes Herr L.’ She had forgotten that this gentleman had been her husband for some weeks. I felt a cold chill as I heard the story, but I did not dare to draw the inference. The little incident only occurred to my mind some years later when the marriage had come to a most unhappy end.

1 [This paragraph was added in 1907.]

2 [Freud later included the last two examples, and the one that follows, in the third of his Introductory Lectures (1916-17).]
The following observation\(^1\) is quoted from one of Alphonse Maeder's valuable studies, published in French (Maeder, 1906). It might equally well have been included among the examples of forgetting:

"Une dame nous racontait récemment qu'elle avait oublié d'essayer sa robe de noce et s'en souvint la veille du mariage à huit heures du soir; la couturière désespérait de voir sa cliente. Ce détail suffit a montrer que la fiancée ne se sentait pas très heureuse de porter une robe d'épouse, elle cherchait à oublier cette représentation pénible. Elle est aujourd'hui ... divorcée."\(^3\)

A friend who has learnt to read signs\(^2\) has told me that the great actress Eleonora Duse introduces into one of her parts a symptomatic act which clearly shows the depths from which she draws her artistry. It is a drama of adultery; she just had an altercation with her husband and now stands apart deep in thought, before the seducer approaches. During the short interval she plays with her wedding ring, takes it off her finger, puts it on again, and then once more takes it off. She is now ready for the other man.

I add here an account by Theodor Reik (1915)\(^4\) of some other symptomatic acts involving rings.

"We are familiar with the symptomatic acts of married people which consist in their taking off and replacing their wedding rings. My colleague M. produced a series of similar symptomatic acts. He had received a ring as a present from a girl he was in love with, with a note saying that he must not lose it or she would know that he did not love her any more. Subsequently he grew increasingly worried that he might lose the ring. If he had temporarily taken it off (for example while he was washing) it would regularly be mislaid, so that it often could only be found again after a long search. When he was

---

\(^1\) [Added in 1910.]

\(^2\) [A lady was telling us recently how she had forgotten to try on her wedding dress and remembered it at eight o'clock on the eve of her wedding. The dressmaker had given up hope of seeing her customer. This detail was enough to show that the bride did not feel very happy about wearing a wedding-dress; she was trying to forget the painful performance. To-day ... she is divorced.]

\(^3\) [This paragraph was added in 1907.]

\(^4\) [Added in 1917.]
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posting a letter he could not suppress a slight fear that the ring might be pulled off by the edges of the letter-box. On one occasion he managed things so clumsily that the ring did fall into the box. The letter he was sending off on that occasion was a parting note to an earlier lady-love of his, and he felt guilty towards her. Simultaneously he was filled with a longing for this other lady which conflicted with his feelings towards his present love-object.

The theme of the ring leaves one once again with the impression of how hard it is for a psycho-analyst to discover anything new that has not been known before by some creative writer. In Fontane's novel Vor dem Sturm Justizrat Turgany declares during a game of forfeits: 'You may be sure, ladies, that the deepest secrets of nature are revealed in the pledging of forfeits.' Among the examples he uses to support his claim there is one that deserves our special interest: 'I recall a professor's wife—she had reached the age of embonpoint—who again and again pulled off her wedding ring to offer it as a forfeit. Do not ask me to describe the happiness of her marriage.' He then went on: 'In the same company there was a gentleman who never tired of depositing his English pocket-knee, with its ten blades, corkscrew and flint and steel, in the ladies' laps, until the bladed monster, after tearing several silk dresses, finally disappeared amid general cries of indignation.

We shall not be surprised if an object of such rich symbolic meaning as a ring should be made to play a part in some significant parapraxas, even where it does not, in the form of a wedding ring or an engagement ring, mark an erotic tie. The following example of an occurrence of this sort has been put at my disposal by Dr. M. Kardos:

'Several years ago a man who is much my junior attached himself to me; he shares my intellectual endeavours and stands to me somewhat in the relation of a pupil to his teacher. On one particular occasion I presented him with a ring; and this ring has several times given rise to symptomatic acts or parapraxes, whenever anything in our relationship has met with his disapproval. A short time ago he was able to report the following case, which is particularly neat and transparent. We used to meet once a week, when he regularly came to see me and talk

1 [This paragraph and the next two were added in 1919]
with me; but on one occasion he made an excuse to stay away, as a rendezvous with a young lady seemed more attractive to him. The following morning he noticed—not, however, until long after he had left the house—that the ring was not on his finger. He did not worry any more about it, since he assumed he had left it behind on his bedside table, where he put it every evening, and would find it there when he got home. As soon as he reached home he looked for it, without success, and then began a systematic search of the room, which was equally fruitless. At last it crossed his mind that the ring had been lying on the bedside table—just as had been the case, in fact, for more than a year—beside a small pocket-knife that he normally carried in his waistcoat pocket; the suspicion thus occurred to him that he might have "absent-mindedly" pocketed the ring with the knife. So he felt in his pocket and found that the missing ring was in fact there. "His wedding-ring in his waistcoat pocket" is a proverbial way of referring to the place where the ring is kept by a husband who intends to betray the wife who gave it to him. My friend’s feeling of guilt had therefore caused him first to punish himself ("you no longer deserve to wear this ring") and secondly to confess his unfaithfulness, though only in the form of an unwitnessed parapraxis. It was only in a roundabout way, while he was describing this parapraxis—an eventuality which could, incidentally, have been foreseen—that he came to confess his little "unfaithfulness".

I also know of an elderly man who married a very young girl and who decided to spend the wedding night in a hotel in town instead of on the honeymoon journey. Hardly had they reached the hotel when he noticed in alarm that he was without his wallet, which contained all the money for the honeymoon; he had either mislaid it or lost it. He was still able to reach his servant by telephone; the latter found the missing wallet in the discarded wedding suit and brought it to the hotel to the waiting bridegroom who had accordingly entered upon his marriage without means [ohne Vermögen]. He was thus able to start his journey with his young bride next morning. In the night, however, he had, as he had apprehensively foreseen, proved "incapable [unvermögend]."

1 [This paragraph and the following one were added in 1907.]
2 ["Unvermögend", 'without means', 'without power', and so 'impotent'].

and zu sprechen pflegte, unter irgendeinem Vorwand ausgeblieben, da ihm eine Verabredung mit einer jungen Dame wünschenswerter erschienen war. Am darauffolgenden Vormittag bemerkte er, aber erst, als er schon längst das Haus verlassen hatte, daß er den Ring nicht am Finger trage. Er beunruhigte sich darüber nicht weiter, da er annahm, er habe ihn daheim auf dem Nachtkastchen, wo er ihn jeden Abend hinlegte, vergessen und werde ihn beim Nachhausekommen dort finden. Er sah auch gleich nach der Heimkehr nach ihm, aber vergeblich, und begann nun, ebenso erfolgreich, das Zimmer zu durchsuchen. Endlich fiel ihm ein, daß der Ring — wie übrigens schon seit mehr als einem Jahre — auf dem Nachtkastchen neben einem kleinen Messerchen gelegen sei, das er in der Westentasche zu tragen gewohnt war; so verfiel er auf die Vermutung, er könnte „aus Zerstreuheit“ den Ring mit dem Messer eingesteckt haben. Er griff also in die Tasche und fand dort wirklich den gesuchten Ring. — „Der Ehering in der Westentasche“ ist die sprichwörtliche Aufbewahrungsart für den Ring, wenn der Mann die Frau, von der er ihn empfangen hat, zu betrügen beabsichtigt. Sein Schuldgefühl hat ihn also zunächst zur Selbstbestrafung („Du verdienst es nicht mehr, diesen Ring zu tragen“), in zweiter Linie zu dem Eingeständnis seiner Untreue veranlaßt, allerdings bloß in der Form einer Fehlhandlung, die keinen Zeugen hatte. Erst auf dem Umweg über den Bericht davon — der allerdings voraussichtbar war — kam es zum Eingeständnis der begangenen kleinen „Untreue“.

Ich weiß auch von einem älteren Herrn, der ein sehr junges Mädchen zur Frau nahm und die Hochzeitsnacht anstatt abzureisen in einem Hotel der Großstadt zuzubringen gedachte. Kaum im Hotel angelandet, merkte er mit Schrecken, daß er seine Brieftasche, in der sich die ganze für die Hochzeitsreise bestimmte Geldsumme befand, vermisste, also verlor oder verloren habe. Es gelang noch, den Diener telephonisch zu erreichen, der das Vermißte in dem abgelegten Rock des Hochzeithutes aufzünd und dem Huren- deren, der so ohne Vermögen in die Ehe gegangen war, ins Hotel brachte. Er konnte also am nächsten Morgen die Reise mit seiner jungen Frau antreten; in der Nacht selbst war er, wie seine Befürchtung vorausgesehen hatte, „unvermögend“ geblieben.
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It is consoling to reflect that there is an unsuspected extension of the human habit of 'losing things' — namely, symptomatic acts, and that this habit is consequently welcome, at least to a secret intention of the loser's. It is often only an expression of the low estimation in which the lost object is held, or of a secret antipathy towards it or towards the person that it came from; or else the inclination to lose the object has been transferred to it from other more important objects by a symbolic association of thoughts. Losing objects of value serves to express a variety of impulses; it may either be acting as a symbolic representation of a repressed thought—-that is, it may be repeating a warning that one would be glad enough to ignore—, or (most commonly of all) it may be offering a sacrifice to the obscure powers of destiny to whom homage is still paid among us to-day.¹

Here are a few examples to illustrate these remarks about losing things.²

Dr. B. Dattner: 'A colleague told me he had unexpectedly lost his "Penkala" pencil which he had had for over two years and which he valued highly because of its superior quality. Analysis revealed the following facts. The day before, my colleague had received a thoroughly disagreeable letter from his brother-in-law, which concluded with the sentence: "I have neither the inclination nor the time at present to encourage you in your frivolity and laziness." The affect connected with this letter was so powerful that next day my colleague promptly sacrificed the pencil, which was a present from this brother-in-law, so as not to feel under too great an obligation to him.³

A lady of my acquaintance understandably refrained from

¹ [A footnote inserted in the 1907 edition at this point, and extended in subsequent editions, contained a number of shorter examples of symptomatic acts. These were transferred to the text in the 1924 edition and will be found on pp. 213–16 below.]
² [This last sentence, the example from Dattner which follows, and the sentence on p. 268 below referring to Rank's article, were added in 1912. The two intervening paragraphs on this page and the next were added in 1917 and 1920.]
³ [The trade name of a special form of pencil.]
⁴ [Also quoted in Lecture III of the Introductory Lectures (1916–17), where the 'Penkala pencil' is merely called a 'crayon.']
visiting the theatre while in mourning for her old mother. There were only a few days still to elapse before the end of her year of mourning, and she allowed herself to be persuaded by her friends to buy a ticket for a particularly interesting performance. On reaching the theatre she made the discovery that she had lost the ticket. She thought afterwards that she had thrown it away with her tram ticket on leaving the tram. This lady used to pride herself on never losing anything through carelessness.

It is therefore fair to assume that another experience she had of losing something was not without a good reason either. On her arrival at a health resort she decided to pay a visit to a pension where she had stayed on an earlier occasion. She was welcomed there as an old friend and entertained, and when she wanted to pay she was told she was to look on herself as a guest; but this she did not feel was quite proper. It was agreed that she might leave something for the maid who had waited on her, so she opened her purse to put a one mark note on the table. In the evening the pension's manservant brought her a five mark note which had been found under the table and which the proprietress thought must belong to the lady. She must therefore have dropped it from her purse in getting out of the tramway car, which the proprietress thought must belong to the lady. She had probably wanted to pay her bill in spite of everything.

An article of some length by Otto Rank (1911[450]) makes us aware of the possibilities of dream-analysis to expose the sacrificial mood that forms the basis of this act of losing something, and to reveal its deeper motives. It is of interest when he writes later that often not only losing objects but also finding them appears to be psychologically determined. In what sense this is to be understood may be gathered from his story, which I include here (Rank, 1915c). It is obvious that in cases of losing, the object is already provided; in cases of finding, it has first to be looked for.

A girl who was materially dependent on her parents wished to buy a piece of cheap jewellery. She enquired in the shop about the price of the article she fancied, but was disappointed.

---

---

1. [Footnote added 1917] Other articles on the same topic will be found in the *Zeitschrift für Psychoanalyse*, 2 [1912], and in the *Internationale Zeitschrift für Psychoanalyse*, 1 (1913).—[The rest of the paragraph in the text, and the example which follows, were also added in 1917.]

---

to find that it cost more than the sum she had saved. All the same, it was only a matter of two kronen that stood between her and this small pleasure. In a depressed mood she began to stroll home through the streets, which were thronged with the evening crowds. Though she describes herself as having been deep in thought, she suddenly noticed lying on the ground, in one of the busiest squares, a small piece of paper which she had just passed by without attending to it. She turned round, picked it up and was astonished to find it was a folded two kronen note. She thought: "This has been sent me by fate so that I can buy the jewellery", and started happily back with the idea of taking the hint. But at the same moment she told herself that she ought not to do so, since money that one finds is lucky money which she had saved. All the difficulties caused by the poor street-lighting and the dense crowds—was able to make the find that came as a surprise to her. Some indication of the actual strength of this unconscious or preconscious readiness may be obtained from the remarkable fact that after making this find—that is, their betrüben, daß es mehr kostet, als ihre Ersparnisse betragen. Und doch sind es nur zwei Kronen, deren Fehlen ihr diese kleine Freude verwehrt. In gedrückter Stimmung schlendert sie durch die abendlich belebten Straßen der Stadt nach Hause. Auf einem der stärkst frequentierten Plätze wird sie plötzlich — obwohl sie ihrer Angabe nach tief in Gedanken versunken war — auf ein am Boden liegendes kleines Blättchen aufmerksam, das sie eben achtlos passiert hatte. Sie wendet sich um, hebt es auf und bemerkt zu ihrem Erstaunen, daß es ein zusammengefalteter Zweikronenschein ist. Sie denkt sich: das hat mir das Schicksal zugeschickt, damit ich mir den Schmuck kaufen kann, und macht erfreut Kehrt, um diesem Winke zu folgen. Im selben Moment aber sagt sie sich, sie dürfte das doch nicht tun, weil das aufgefundene Geld ein Glücksgefühl ist, das man nicht ausgeben darf.

Das Stückerchen, das zum Verständnis dieser 'Zufalls- 
disposition' gehört, darf man wohl auch ohne persönliche Auskunft der Betroffenen aus der gegebenen Situation erschließen. Unter den Gedanken, die das Mädchen beim Nachhausegehen beschäftigten, wird sich wohl der ihrer Armut und materiellen Einschränkung im Vordergrunde befunden haben, und zwar, wie wir vermuten dürfen, im Sinne der wunscherfüllenden Aufhebung ihrer drückenden Verhältnisse. Die Idee, wie man auf leichteste Weise zu diesem fehlenden Geldbetrag kommen könnte, wird ihrem auf Befriedigung ihres bescheidenen Wunsches gerichteten Interesse kaum fälschlich sein und ihr die einfachste Lösung des Findens nahegebracht haben. Solcherart war ihr Unbewußtes (oder Vorbewußtes) auf 'Finden' eingestellt, selbst wenn der Gedanke daran ihr — wegen anderweitiger Inanspruchnahme ihrer Aufmerksamkeit (in Gedanken versunken) — nicht voll bewußt geworden sein sollte. Ja wir dürfen auf Grund ähnlicher analy- 
siervter Fälle geradezu behaupten, daß die unbewußte 'Such-
Bereitschaft' viel eher zum Erfolg zu führen vermag als die bewußt gelernte Aufmerksamkeit. Sonst wäre es auch kaum 
erklärllich, wieso gerade diese eine Person aus der vielen Hunderten Vorübergehenden, noch dazu unter den erschwerenden Umständen der ungünstigen Abendbeleuchtung und der dichtgedrängten Menge, den für sie selbst überraschenden Fund machen konnte. In welch starkem Ausmaß diese un- oder vorbewußte Bereitschaft tatsächlich bestand, zeigt die sonderbare Tatsache, daß das Mädchen noch
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at a time when the attitude had become superfluous and had certainly been removed from conscious attention—the girl found a handkerchief at a later point on her way home, in a dark and lonely part of a suburban street. It must be said that it is precisely such symptomatic acts that often offer the best approach to an understanding of people's intimate mental life.

Turning now to the chance actions that occur sporadically, I will report an example which suggested a comparatively deep interpretation even without analysis. It gives a clear illustration of the conditions under which such symptoms can be produced entirely unobtrusively, and it enables me to subjoin a remark of practical importance. In the course of a summer holiday it happened that I had to wait a few days at a particular place for the arrival of my travelling companion. In the meantime I made the acquaintance of a young man who also seemed to be lonely and was willing enough to join me. As we were staying at the same hotel it was natural for us to take all our meals and walks together. On the afternoon of the third day he suddenly told me that he was expecting his wife to arrive by train that evening. My psychological interest was now aroused, for I had already been struck that morning by my companion's having mentioned to me that he was expecting his wife to arrive by train. He was only in his room when his wife arrived, and he hardly had time to show her his room before going into the dining room. We had just sat down when my companion said: 'You'll have breakfast with us, won't you?' I had first to go on a small errand in the next street, but promised to be back soon. When I entered the breakfast room, I saw that the couple were both sitting on the same side of a small table by the window. On the opposite side there was only one chair; the husband's big, heavy waterproof cape had been hung over the back of it, covering the seat. I under-

1 [This paragraph was added in 1912.]
2 [See footnote, p. 194.—This paragraph and the following one date back to 1901.]
stood very well the meaning of the coat’s being arranged in that way; it had certainly not been done deliberately and was therefore all the more expressive. It meant: ‘There’s no room for you here, you’re superfluous now.’ The husband failed to notice that I was standing in front of the table without sitting down; but his wife did, and quickly nudged her husband and whispered: ‘Look, you’ve taken up the gentleman’s seat.’

This and other similar experiences have led me to conclude that actions carried out unintentionally must inevitably become the source of misunderstandings in human relations. The agent, who knows nothing of there being an intention connected with these actions, does not feel that they are chargeable to him and does not hold himself responsible for them. The second party, on the other hand, since he regularly bases his conclusions as to the agent’s intentions and sentiments on such actions among others, knows more of the other’s psychical processes than that person himself is ready to admit or believes he has communicated. The agent, indeed, grows indignant if these conclusions drawn from his symptomatic acts are brought up against him; he declares them to be baseless, since he is not conscious of having had the intention at the time they were carried out, and complains of being misunderstood by the second party. Strictly considered, misunderstandings of his kind are based on too intimate and too extensive understanding. The more two people suffer from ‘nerves’, the more readily will they give each other cause for disputes the responsibility for which each disclaims just as decidedly in regard to himself as he considers it certain in regard to the other person. And this is no doubt the punishment for people’s internal dishonesty in only giving expression under the pretext of forgetting, bungling and doing things unintentionally to impulses that would better be admitted to themselves and to others if they can no longer be controlled. It can in fact be said quite generally that everyone is continually practising psychical analysis on his neighbours and consequently learns to know them better than they know themselves. The road whose goal it is to observe the precept γνῶθι σεαυτόν runs via the study of one’s own apparently accidental actions and omissions.

1 [“Know thyself”—the celebrated inscription in the Temple of Apollo at Delphi.]
Of all the writers\(^1\) who have from time to time passed comment on our minor symptomatic acts and parapraxes, or who have made use of them, none has understood their secret nature so clearly or exhibited them in so uncannily lifelike a manner as Strindberg—a man whose genius in recognizing such things was, it is true, assisted by grave mental abnormality. Dr. Karl Weiss of Vienna (1913) has drawn attention to the following passage in one of his works:

"After a while the Count did in fact come, and he approached Esther quietly, as though he had a rendezvous with her."

"Have you been waiting long?" he asked in his low voice.

"Six months, as you know," answered Esther; "but did you see me to-day?"

"Yes, just now, in the tram: and I looked into your eyes feeling that I was talking to you."

"A great deal has happened since the last time."

"Yes, and I believed it was all over between us."

"How so?"

"All the little gifts that I had from you broke in pieces—in an occult way, what is more. But that is something that has been noticed long, long ago."

"Dear me! Now I remember a whole set of events that I took to be accidents. Once I was given a pair of pince-nez by my grandmother, at a time we were good friends. They were made of polished rock-crystal and were excellent for making post-mortems—a real miracle of which I took the greatest care. One day I broke with the old lady and she was angry with me. And during the next post-mortem the lenses happened to fall out for no reason. I thought they were simply broken and sent them to be repaired. But no, they went on refusing to help me; they were put in a drawer and got lost."

"Dear me! Strange that things that concern the eyes should be the most sensitive. I was once given some opera glasses by a friend; they suited my eyes so well that it was a pleasure to use them. This friend and I fell out. You know how it happens

---

\(^{1}\) [This paragraph and the passage rom Strindberg were added in 1917.]

\(^{2}\) [The facility with which paranoids can correctly interpret symptomatic acts in other people is discussed below, p. 256.]

\(^{3}\) [Esther Borg, in Strindberg's novel, was a doctor.]
without visible cause; it seems as though one were not allowed
to be in harmony. The next time I wanted to use the opera
blesses I could not see clearly. The cross-piece was too short and
I saw two images. I don't need to tell you that the
ring that I had from you has lost its stone and
had not grown shorter and my eyes had not grown further
apart! It was a miracle that happens every day—one that bad
observers do not notice. How can we explain it? The psychical
power of hatred must be greater than we suppose.—What is more, the
ring that I had from you has lost its stone and will not let itself
be repaired; no, it will not. Do you want to part from me,
then? . . . “’ (The Gothic Rooms, German trans., p. 258 f.)

In the field of symptomatic acts, too, psycho-analytic
observation must concede priority to imaginative writers. It
can only repeat what they have said long ago. Wilhelm
Stross has drawn my attention to the following passage in
Laurence Sterne's celebrated humorous novel, *Tristram Shandy*
(Volume VI, Chapter V):

... And I am not at all surprised that Gregory of Nazianzum,
upon observing the hasty and untoward gestures of Julian,
should foretell he would one day become an apostate; — or that
St. Ambrose should turn his Amanensis out of doors, because of
an indecent motion of his head, which went backwards and
forwards like a flail; — or that Democritus should conceive Prota­
goras to be a scholar, from seeing him bind up a faggot, and
thrusting, as he did it, the small twigs inwards.—There are a
thousand unnoticed openings, continued my father, which let
a penetrating eye at once into a man's soul; and I maintain it,
added he, that a man of sense does not lay down his hat in
coming into a room,—or take it up in going out of it, but
something escapes, which discovers him.

I add here a brief and varied collection of symptomatic acts
found in healthy and neurotic people: *

An elderly colleague who was not a good loser at cards had

1 [This paragraph and the quotation from Sterne were added in
1926.]

2 [What follows from this point to the end of the chapter first appeared
as a footnote in the 1907 edition (see p. 207 above), and was added to in
later editions. It was transferred to the text and to its present position
in 1924.—Except where otherwise stated the examples date from 1907.]
one evening paid up a largish sum of money that he had lost. He did this without complaining but in a peculiarly restrained mood. After his departure it was discovered that he had left behind at his seat more or less everything he had on him: spectacles, cigar-case and handkerchief. This no doubt calls for the translation: 'You robbers! You have well and truly plundered me!'

A man suffering from occasional sexual impotence, which originated from the intimacy of his relations with his mother in childhood, related that he was in the habit of decorating pamphlets and notes with the letter S, his mother's initial. He cannot bear letters from home coming in contact with other profane correspondence on his desk, and is therefore forced to put the former away separately.

A young lady suddenly flung open the door of the consulting room though the woman who preceded her had not yet left it. In apologizing she blamed her 'thoughtlessness'; it soon turned out that she had been demonstrating the curiosity that in the past had caused her to make her way into her parents' bedroom.

Girls who are proud of having beautiful hair are able to manage their combs and hairpins in such a way that their hair comes down in the middle of a conversation.

Some men scatter small change out of their trouser pockets while they are lying down during treatment and in that way pay whatever fee they think appropriate for the session.

People who forget to take away articles they have brought to the physician's house, such as pince-nez, gloves and purses, are showing by this that they cannot tear themselves away and would like to come back soon. Ernest Jones [1911b, 508] says: 'One can almost measure the success with which a physician is practising psychotherapy, for instance, by the size of the collection of umbrellas, handkerchiefs, purses, and so on, that he could make in a month.'

The slightest actions of a habitual nature which are performed with a minimum of attention, such as winding up one's watch before going to sleep, switching off the light before leaving a room, etc., are subject from time to time to disturbances that unmistakably demonstrate the influence of unconscionable.
SYMPOMATIC AND CHANCE ACTIONS

Anxious complexes upon what would seem to be the most fixed habits. Maeder, writing in the periodical Coenobium [1909], tells of a house-physician who decided to go into town one evening for an important engagement, although he was on duty and was not supposed to leave the hospital. When he returned he was surprised to find the light on in his room. He had forgotten to turn it off when he went out, which was something that he had never failed to do before. But he soon grasped the motive for his forgetfulness. The chief resident medical officer in the hospital would naturally have concluded from the light the motive for his forgetfulness. The chief resident medical officer in the hospital would naturally have concluded from the light the motive for his forgetfulness. The chief resident medical officer in the hospital would naturally have concluded from the light the motive for his forgetfulness. The chief resident medical officer in the hospital would naturally have concluded from the light the motive for his forgetfulness. The chief resident medical officer in the hospital would naturally have concluded from the light the motive for his forgetfulness. The chief resident medical officer in the hospital would naturally have concluded from the light the motive for his forgetfulness. The chief resident medical officer in the hospital would naturally have concluded from the light the motive for his forgetfulness.

A man overburdened with worries and subject to occasional depressions assured me that he regularly found in the morning that his watch had run down whenever the evening before life had seemed to be altogether too harsh and unfriendly. By omitting to wind up his watch he was giving symbolic expression to his indifference about living till the next day.

Another man, whom I do not know personally, writes: "After fate had dealt me a hard blow, life seemed so harsh and unfriendly that I imagined I had not sufficient strength to live through the next day. I then noticed that almost every day I forgot to wind up my watch. Previously I had never failed to do so; it was something I did regularly before going to bed, as an almost mechanical and unconscious act. But now I only very rarely remembered to do it, and that was when I had something important or specially interesting ahead of me. Should this too be considered a symptomatic act? I could not explain it to myself at all."

If anyone takes the trouble, as Jung (1907) and Maeder (1909) have done, to note the tunes that he finds himself humming, unintentionally and often without noticing he is doing so, he will pretty regularly be able to discover the connection between the words of the song and a subject that is occupying his mind.

The subtler determinants, too, of the expression of one's thoughts in speaking or writing deserve careful attention. We

---

1 [This paragraph was added in 1912.]
2 [This word appeared in the edition of 1912 only.]
3 [The latter reference was added in 1910.]
4 [This final paragraph was added in 1917.]
believe that in general we are free to choose what words we shall use for clothing our thoughts or what images for disguising them. Closer observation shows that other considerations determine this choice, and that behind the form in which the thought is expressed a glimpse may be had of a deeper meaning—often one that is not intended. The images and turns of phrase to which a person is particularly given are rarely without significance when one is forming a judgement of him; and others often turn out to be allusions to a theme which is being kept in the background at the time, but which has powerfully affected the speaker. In the course of some theoretical discussions I heard someone at a particular time repeatedly using the expression: "If something suddenly shoots through one's head." I happened to know that he had recently received news that a Russian bullet had passed right through the cap that his son was wearing on his head.

1 [i.e., 'if an idea suddenly springs to one's mind']

2 [This, as Freud reports in a letter to Lou Andreas-Salomé of July 30, 1915, was what happened to his own eldest son.]
CHAPTER X
ERRORS

Errors of memory are distinguished from forgetting accompanied by paramnesia by the single feature that in the former the error (the paramnesia) is not recognized as such but finds credence. The use of the term 'error', however, seems to depend on yet another condition. We speak of 'being in error' rather than of 'remembering wrongly' where we wish to emphasize the characteristic of objective reality in the psychological material which we are trying to reproduce—that is to say, where what we are trying to remember is something different from a fact of our own psychological life: something, rather, that is open to confirmation or refutation by the memory of other people. The antithesis to an error of memory in this sense is ignorance.

In my *Interpretation of Dreams* (1900a) I was responsible for a number of falsifications which I was astonished to discover after the book was published.\(^1\) They concerned historical points and, in general, points of fact. After closer examination I found that they did not owe their origin to my ignorance, but are traceable to errors of memory which analysis is able to explain.

(1) On page 266 (of the first edition) [Standard Ed., 5, 456] I refer to the town of Marburg [in Hesse]—a name also found in Styria—as Schiller's birthplace. The error occurs in the analysis of a dream which I had during a journey by night and from which I was woken by the guard calling out the name of Marburg station. In the content of the dream someone asked a question about a book by Schiller. In fact Schiller was not born at the university town of Marburg [in Hesse] but at Marbach in Swabia. Moreover I can assert that I have always known this.

(2) On page 135 [Standard Ed., 4, 197] Hannibal's father is

\(^1\) The earlier portion of this chapter, up to p. 220, dates back to 1901.

\(^2\) [Freud mentioned the first two of these errors in letters to Fliess immediately after the book was published, on November 5 and 11, 1899 (Freud, 1950a, Letters 123 and 124).]
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called Hasdrubal. This error annoyed me especially, but it furnished me with the strongest corroboration of my view of such errors. There must be few readers of my book who are better acquainted with the history of the house of Barca than its author, who penned this error and who overlooked it in three sets of proofs. The name of Hannibal's father was Hamilcar Barca—Hasdrubal was the name of Hannibal's brother, as well as of his brother-in-law and predecessor in command.

(3) On pages 177 and 370 [Standard Ed., 4, 256, and 5, 619] I state that Zeus emasculated his father Kronos and dethroned him. I was, however, erroneously carrying this atrocity a generation forward; according to Greek mythology it was Kronos who committed it on his father Uranus.

How is it to be explained that my memory provided me at these points with what was incorrect, while otherwise—as the reader of the book can see for himself—it put at my disposal the most out-of-the-way and unusual material? And how, too, did I pass over these errors while I carefully went through three sets of proofs—as if I had been struck blind?

Goethe said of Lichtenberg: 4 'Where he makes a jest a problem lies concealed.' Similarly it can be said of the passages in my book that I have quoted here: where an error makes its appearance a repression lies behind it—or more correctly, an insincerity, a distortion, which is ultimately rooted in repressed material. In analysing the dreams reported there I was compelled, by the very nature of the themes to which the dream-thoughts related, on the one hand to break off the analysis at some point before it had been rounded off, and on the other hand to take the edge off some indiscriminate detail by mild distortion. I could not do otherwise, and I had in fact no other choice if I wished to bring forward examples and evidence at all. My awkward position was a necessary result of the peculiar character of dreams, which consists in giving expression to repressed material—in other words, to material that is in-

1 This was not a complete error. The Orphic version of the myth makes Zeus repeat the process of emasculation on his father Kronos. (See Roehrer's Lexicon of Mythology.) [Cf. footnote 2, p. 198.]

2 In 1901 and 1904 only: 'It was said of Lichtenberg.'—Goethe's remark is also quoted by Freud in his book on jokes (1905c), Standard Ed., 8, 93, a work in which many of Lichtenberg's epigrams are discussed as well as at the end of Lecture II in his Introductory Lectures (1916-17).

244 Zur Psychopathologie des Alltaglebens

Dieser Irrtum war mir besonders ärgerlich, hat mich aber in der Auffassung solcher Irrtümer am meisten bestärkt. In der Geschichte der Barkiden dürften wenige der Leser des Buches besser Bescheid wissen als der Verfasser, der diesen Fehler niederschrieb und ihn bei drei Korrekturen übersah. Der Vater Hannibals hieß Hamilkar Barkas—Hasdrubal war der Name von Hannibals Bruder, übrigens auch der seines Schwagers und Vorgängers im Kommando.


Wie ist es nun zu erklären, daß mein Gedächtnis in diesen Punkten Ungestreues lieferte, während es mir sonst, wie sich Leser des Buches überzeugen können, das entlegenste und ungebräuchlichste Material zur Verfügung stellte? Und ferner, daß ich bei drei sorgfältig durchgeführten Korrekturen wie mit Blindheit geschlagen an diesen Irrtümern vorbeiging?

Goethe hat von Lichtenberg gesagt: Wo er einen Spaß macht, liegt ein Problem verborgen. Ähnlich kann man über die hier angeführten Stellen meines Buches behaupten: Wo ein Irrtum vorliegt, da steckt eine Verdrängung dahinter. Richtiger gesagt: eine Unaufrichtigkeit, eine Entstellung, die schließlich auf Verdrängtem fußt. Ich bin bei der Analyse der dort mitgeteilten Träume durch die bloße Natur der Thematap, auf welche sich die Traumgedanken beziehen, genötigt gewesen, einerseits die Analyse irgendwo vor ihrer Abrundung abzubrechen, andererseits einer indiskreten Einzelheit durch leise Entstellung die Schärfe zu nehmen. Ich konnte nicht anders und hatte auch keine andere Wahl, wenn ich überhaupt Beispiele und Belege vorbringen wollte; meine Zwangslage leitete sich mit Notwendigkeit aus der Eigenschaft der Träume ab, Verdrängtem, d. h. Bewußtseins-
admissible to consciousness. (In spite of this it would seem that enough was still left to give offence to some sensitive souls.) I did not succeed, however, in carrying through the distortion or concealment of the thoughts, whose continuation was known to me, without leaving some trace of them behind. What I wanted to suppress often succeeded against my will in gaining access to what I had chosen to relate, and appeared in it in the form of an error that I failed to notice. Moreover, the same theme is at the bottom of all the three examples I have given: the errors are derivatives of repressed thoughts connected with my dead father.1

(1) Anyone who reads through the dream analysed on p. 266 [Standard Ed., 5, 455 ff.] will in part find undisguisedly, and will in part be able to guess from hints, that I have broken off at thoughts which would have contained an unfriendly criticism of my father. In the continuation of this train of thoughts and memories there in fact lies an annoying story in which books play a part, and a business friend of my father’s who bears the name of Marburg—the same name that woke me when it was called out at Marburg station on the Südbahn. In the analysis I tried to suppress this Herr Marburg from myself and from my readers; he took his revenge by intruding where he did not belong and changing the name of Schiller’s birthplace from Marbach to Marburg.

(2) The error of putting Hasdrubal instead of Hamilcar, the brother’s name instead of the father’s, occurred precisely in a context that concerned the Hannibal-phantasies of my schoolyears and my dissatisfaction with my father’s behaviour towards the ‘enemies of our people’.2 I could have gone on to tell how my relationship with my father was changed by a visit to England, which resulted in my getting to know my half-brother, the child of my father’s first marriage, who lived there. My brother’s eldest son is the same age as I am. Thus the relations between our ages were no hindrance to my phantasies of how different things would have been if I had been born the unfähigen Ausdruck zu geben. Es dürfte trotzdem genug übrig geblieben sein, woran empfindlichere Seelen Anstoß genommen haben. Die Entstehung oder Verschweigung mir selbst noch bekannten fortgesetzten Gedanken hat sich nun nicht sprühen durchführen lassen. Was ich unterdrücken wollte, hat sich oftmals wider meinen Willen den Zugang in das von mir Aufgenommene erkämpft und ist darin als von mir unbemerker Irrtum zum Vorschein gekommen. In allen drei hervorgehobenen Beispielen liegt übrigens das nämliche Thema zugrunde; die Irrtümer sind Abkömmlinge verdrängter Gedanken, die sich mit meinem verstorbenen Vater beschäftigen.


1 [In his preface to the second edition of The Interpretation of Dreams, written in 1908, Freud remarked that after he had completed the book he found that it was ‘a portion of my own self-analysis, my reaction to my father’s death’. (Standard Ed., 4, xxvi.)]

2 [See The Interpretation of Dreams, Standard Ed., 4, 196 ff.]
son not of my father but of my brother. These suppressed phantasies falsified the text of my book at the place where I broke off the analysis, by forcing me to put the brother’s name for the father’s.

(3) It is to the influence of the memory of this same brother that I attribute my error in advancing by a generation the mythological atrocities of the Greek pantheon. One of my brother’s admonitions lingered long in my memory. ‘One thing,’ he had said to me, ‘that you must not forget is that as far as the conduct of your life is concerned you really belong not to the second but to the third generation in relation to your father.’ Our father had married again in later life and was therefore much older than his children by his second marriage. I made the error already described at the exact point in the book at which I was discussing filial piety.

It has also occasionally happened that friends and patients, whose dreams I have reported, or have alluded to in the course of my dream-analyses, have drawn my attention to the fact that the details of the events experienced by us together have been inaccurately related by me. These again could be classified as historical errors. After being put right I have examined the various cases and here too I have convinced myself that my memory of the facts was incorrect only where I had purposely distorted or concealed something in the analysis. Here once again we find an unobserved error taking the place of an intentional concealment or repression.

These errors that derive from repression are to be sharply distinguished from others which are based on genuine ignorance. Thus, for example, it was ignorance which made me think during an excursion to the Wachau that I had come to the home of Fischhof, the revolutionary leader. The two places merely have the same name: Fischhof’s Emmersdorf is in Carinthia. I, however, knew no better. [Cf. Standard Ed., 4, 211.]

(4) Here is another instructive error that put me to shame.

1 [Another possible example of this is discussed in Appendix A to Studies on Hysteria (1895d), Standard Ed., 2, 307-9. An instance of the same mechanism appears in Section IV of ‘A Seventeenth-Century Demological Neurosis’ (1923d), Standard Ed., 19, footnote, p. 97-8.]

2 [What follows from here to the end of Example 5 was added in 1907.]


Unser Vater hatte sich in späteren Jahren wieder verheiratet und war so um vieles älter als seine Kinder zweiter Ehe. Ich begehe den besprochenen Irrtum im Buche gerade dort, wo ich von der Pietät zwischen Eltern und Kindern handle.


4) Noch ein beschämender und lehrreicher Irrtum, ein Beispiel...
an example of what might be called temporary ignorance. One day a patient reminded me to give him the two books on Venice that I had promised him, as he needed them in preparing for a journey at Easter. 'I have them ready,' I replied, and went to the library to fetch them. The truth, however, was that I had forgotten to look them out, for I did not entirely approve of my patient's journey, which I saw as an unnecessary interruption of the treatment and a material loss to the physician. I therefore took a hasty look round the library for two books I had had my eye on. One was 'Venice, City of Art'; but besides this I thought I must own a historical work in a similar series. Quite right, there it was: 'The Medici'. I took it and brought it to my waiting patient, only ashamedly to acknowledge the error. In reality I of course knew that the Medici have nothing to do with Venice, but for a short time it did not strike me in any way incorrect. I now had to be fair; as I had so frequently confronted my patient with his own symptomatic acts I could only vindicate my authority in his eyes by being honest and showing him the motives (which I had kept secret) for my disapproval of his journey.

It may, in general, seem astonishing that the urge to tell the truth is so much stronger than is usually supposed. Perhaps, however, my being scarcely able to tell lies any more is a consequence of my occupation with psycho-analysis. As often as I try to distort something I succumb to an error or some other parapraxis that betrays my insincerity, as can be seen in this last example and in the previous ones.

Of all parapraxes errors seem to have the least rigid mechanism. That is to say, the occurrence of an error is a quite general indication that the mental activity in question has had to struggle with a disturbing influence of some sort or other; but the particular form that the error takes is not determined by the quality of the concealed disturbing idea. We may add here retrospectively that the same thing can be assumed to be true of many simple cases of slips of the tongue and pen. Every time we make a slip in talking or writing we may infer that there has been a disturbance due to mental processes lying outside our intention; but it must be admitted that slips of the tongue and of the pen often obey the laws of resemblance, of indolence or of the tendency to haste, without the disturbing element


Der Mechanismus des Irrtums scheint der lockerste unter allen Fehlleistungen, das heißt das Vorkommen des Irrtums zeigt ganz allgemein an, daß die betreffende seelische Tätigkeit mit irgend einem störenden Einfluß zu kämpfen hatte, ohne daß die Art des Irrtums durch die Qualität der im Dunkeln gebliebenen störenden Idee determiniert wäre. Wir tragen indes an dieser Stelle nach, daß bei vielen einfachen Fällen von Versprechen und Verschreiben der selbe Tatbestand anzunehmen ist. Jedemmal, wenn wir uns versprechen oder verschreiben, dürfen wir eine Störung durch seelische Vorgänge außerhalb der Intention erschließen, aber es ist zuzugeben, daß das Versprechen und Verschreiben oftmals den Gesetzen der Ähnlichkeit, der Bequemlichkeit oder der Neigung zur Beschleunigung folgt, ohne daß es dem Störenden gelungen
succeeding in imposing any part of its own character on the resulting mistake in speech or writing. It is the compliance of the linguistic material\(^1\) which alone makes the determining of the mistakes possible, and at the same time sets the limits up to which the determining can go.

To avoid confining myself entirely to my own errors, I shall report a few examples that might indeed have been included just as well among slips of the tongue and bungled actions; this is, however, a matter of indifference, since all these forms of parapraxis are equivalent to one another.

(5) I forbade a patient to telephone to the girl he was in love with—but with whom he himself wanted to break off relations—since each conversation served only to renew the struggle about giving her up. He was to write his final decision to her, though there were difficulties about delivering letters to her. He called on me at one o’clock to tell me he had found a way of getting round these difficulties, and amongst other things asked if I might quote my authority as a physician. At two o’clock he was occupied in composing the letter that was to end the relationship, when he suddenly broke off and said to his mother who was with him: ‘Oh! I’ve forgotten to ask the professor if I may mention his name in the letter.’ He rushed to the telephone, put through his call and said into the instrument: ‘May I speak to the professor, please, if he’s finished dinner?’ In answer he got an astonished: ‘Adolf, have you gone mad?’ It was the same voice which by my orders he must not let himself speak to the professor, and instead of the physician’s name he had given the girl’s.

(6) A young lady was to pay a visit in the Habsburgergasse (‘Hapsburg Street’) to a friend, a lady who had recently been married. She spoke about it while the family were at table, and her mother asked her whether she had arranged to take the train. ‘No, but I’ve got to telephone,’ she said. ‘Why? ’ asked her mother. ‘I’ve got to telephone to the professor,’ she replied. ‘Why?’ ‘Yes, the professor,’ she said. ‘Why? ’ ‘Well, I’m going to suggest that you should write to the professor,’ she said, ‘and ask him what to do. ’ ‘Why? ’ ‘Oh,’ she said, ‘it’s the professor’s name I’m getting round to. ’

\(^1\) [See also below p. 274. Freud comments on this characteristic of language in several places. In The Interpretation of Dreams (1900a), speaking of condensation: ‘Words may be regarded as predestined to ambiguity.’ (Standard Ed., 5, 340.) In the book on jokes (1905c), speaking of puns: ‘Words are a plastic material with which one can do all kinds of things.’ (Ibid., 8, 34.) In ‘Gratia’ (1907a), too, Freud writes of the ambiguity made possible ‘by the malleable nature of the material of speech’. (Ibid., 9, 85.)]

\(^6\) [Added in 1919.]
but said in error that she had to go to the Babenbergergasse ['Babenberg Street']. Some of those at the table laughingly drew her attention to her error—or slip of the tongue (according to choice)—which she had not noticed. In fact two days before this the republic had been proclaimed in Vienna; the black and yellow had vanished and been replaced by the colours of the old Ostmark—red, white and red—and the Hapsburgs had been deposed. Our speaker introduced the change of dynasty into her friend's address. In Vienna there is indeed a very well known Babenbergerstraße, but no Viennese would speak of it as a 'Gasse'.

(7) The local school-teacher at a summer resort, a quite poor but handsome young man, persisted in his courtship of the daughter of the proprietor of a villa, who came from the capital, until the girl fell passionately in love with him and the daughter of the proprietor of a villa, who came from the dynasty into her friend's address. In Vienna there is a very well known Babenbergerstraße, but no Viennese would speak of it as a 'Gasse'.

In Vienna two terms are used for 'street': 'Strasse' for the more important streets and 'Gasse' for the minor ones. Charlemagne gave the name of 'Ostmark' (Eastern Province) to the region which ultimately became Austria. It first attained importance under the Babenberg dynasty. This came to an end in the thirteenth century and was succeeded by the Hapsburgs (or Habsburgs) who ruled until the end of the first World War. The red, white and red colours adopted by the new Republic (in place of the Imperial black and yellow) were those of the Babenbergs.

[Added in 1907.]
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(8) Brill [1912, 191] tells of a lady who asked him for news of a common acquaintance and in doing so called her in error by her maiden name. When her attention was drawn to the mistake she was forced to admit that she disliked the lady's husband and had been very unhappy about her marriage.

(9) Here is an error which can also be described as a slip of the tongue. A young father presented himself before the registrar of births to give notice of the birth of his second daughter. When asked what the child's name was to be he answered: 'Hanna', and had to be told by the official that he already had a child of that name. We may conclude that the second daughter was not quite so welcome as the first had been.

(10) I will add some other observations of confusion between names; they might of course have been equally well included in other chapters of this book.

A lady is the mother of three daughters two of whom have long been married; the youngest is still awaiting her destiny. At both weddings a lady who is a friend of the family gave the same present, an expensive silver tea-service. Every time the conversation turns to this tea-service the mother makes the error of saying that the third daughter owns it. It is clear that this error expresses the mother's wish to see her last daughter married too—on the assumption that she would be given the same wedding present.

The frequent cases in which a mother confuses the names of her daughters, sons or sons-in-law are just as easy to interpret.

(11) Here is a good example of an obstinate interchange of names; I borrow it from a Herr J. G. who made the observation on himself during a stay in a sanatorium:

'At dinner one day (at the sanatorium) I was having a conversation, which did not interest me much and was entirely conventional in tone, with the lady who was next to me at table, when in the course of it I used a phrase of special affability. The somewhat elderly spinster could not help commenting that it was not usually my habit to behave to her with such affability and gallantry—a rejoinder which contained not only a certain regret but also an obvious dig at a young lady

1 [Added in 1912; also reported in the third of Freud's Introductory Lectures (1916-17).]
2 [Added in 1907.]  3 [Examples 10 and 11 were added in 1920.]
we both knew to whom I was in the way of being somewhat attentive. Naturally I understood at once. In the course of our further conversation I had to have it repeatedly pointed out to me by my neighbour, to my great embarrassment, that I had addressed her by the name of the young lady whom she regarded with some justice as her more fortunate rival.'

(12) I will also report as an 'error' an incident with a serious background, which was told me by a witness who was closely involved. A lady spent an evening out of doors with her husband and two strangers. One of these two 'strangers' was an intimate friend of hers; but the others knew nothing of this and were meant to know nothing. The friends accompanied the married couple to the door of their house and while they were waiting for the door to be opened they took their leave of one another. The lady bowed to the stranger, gave him her hand and said a few polite words. Then she took the arm of her secret lover, turned to her husband and began to bid him good-bye in the same way. Her husband entered into the situation, raised his hat and said with exaggerated politeness: 'Good-bye, dear lady!' The horrified wife dropped her lover's arm and before the concierge appeared had time to exclaim: 'Goodness! What a stupid thing to happen!' The husband was one of those married men who want to put an act of infidelity on their wife's part beyond the bounds of possibility. He had repeatedly sworn that in such a case more than one life would be in jeopardy. He therefore had inner impediments of the strongest kind to prevent his noticing the challenge contained in this error.

(13) Here is an error of one of my patients: the fact that it was repeated in order to express a contrary meaning makes it particularly instructive. After protracted internal struggles this over-cautious young man brought himself to the point of proposing marriage to the girl who had long been in love with him, as he was with her. He escorted his fiancée home, said good-bye to her, and, in a mood of the greatest happiness, got on to a tram and asked the conductress for two tickets. About six months later he had got married but could not yet adjust himself to his conjugal bliss. He wondered whether he had done the right thing in marrying, missed his former relations with his bem fonede Freulein enhte, dem ich größere Aufmerksamkeit zu schenken pflegte. Ich verstehe natürlich augenblicklich. Im Laufe unseres weiteren Gesprächs muß ich mich nun, was mir ungemein peinlich ist, von meiner Nachbarin wiederholt darauf aufmerksam machen lassen, daß ich sie mit dem Namen jenes Frauleins angesprochen habe, das sie nicht mit Unrecht als ihre glücklichere Nebenbuhlerin ansah."


15) Eine Irrung eines meiner Patienten, die durch eine Wiederholung zum Gegensinn besonders lehrreich wird: Der überbedenkliche junge Mann hat sich nach langwierigen inneren Kämpfen dazu gebracht, dem Mädchen, das ihn seit langem liebt wie er sie, die Zusage der Ehe zu geben. Er begleitet die ihm Verlohbte nach Hause, verabschiedet sich von ihr, steigt übermäßig in einen Tramwaywagen und verlangt von der Schaffnerin — zwei Fahrkarten. Etwas ein halbes Jahr später ist er bereits verheiratet, kann sich aber noch nicht recht in sein Eheglück finden. Er zweifelt, ob er recht getan hat zu heiraten, vermißt frühere
friends, and had every sort of fault to find with his parents-in-law. One evening he fetched his young wife from her parents' house, got on to a tram with her and contented himself with asking for one ticket only.

How a wish that has been reluctantly suppressed can be satisfied by means of an 'error' is described in a good example of Maeder's (1908). A colleague who had a day free from duties wanted to enjoy it without any interruptions; but he was due to pay a visit in Lucerne to which he did not look forward. After long deliberation he decided to go there all the same. He passed the time on the journey from Zurich to Arth-Goldau in reading the daily papers. At the latter station he changed trains and continued reading. He travelled on till the ticket-inspector informed him that he was in the wrong train—the one travelling back from Goldau to Zurich, though he had a ticket for Lucerne.

An analogous, though not entirely successful, attempt to help a suppressed wish to find expression by means of the same mechanism of an error is described by Dr. V. Tausk (1917) under the title of 'Travelling in the Wrong Direction':

get off again. I had in fact inadvertently and without noticing got on to an X tram instead of a Y tram, and had travelled back again in the direction I had just come from—in the direction of my patient from whom I did not wish to accept any fee. But my unconscious wanted to collect it.1

(16) A trick very similar to the one in Example 14 was once brought off by me myself. I had promised my strict eldest brother that that summer I would make my long due visit to him at an English seaside resort, and had undertaken, as time was limited, to travel by the shortest route, without breaking my journey anywhere. I asked if I might stop for a day in Holland, but he thought I might postpone that. So I travelled from Munich to Cologne; I left my train to change Hook of Holland from where the boat starts at midnight for another, fell into a mood of exaggerated despair and soon asked various railway officials, was sent from one platform to another, and had travelled into the Rotterdam express, but it was nowhere to be found.

Another, fell into a mood of exaggerated despair and soon asked various railway officials, was sent from one platform to another, and had travelled into the Rotterdam express, but it was nowhere to be found. I hurried to an old family tradition my ancestors had once fled from that city during a persecution of the Jews.5 However I decided against it, travelled by a later train to Rotterdam, which I reached late in the night, and was then obliged to spend a day in Holland. That day brought me the fulfilment of a long-cherished wish; I was able to see Rembrandt's magnificent paintings at the Hague and in the Rijksmuseum at Amsterdam.

It was only the next morning, when I was travelling in the train across England and could collect my impressions, that a clear memory emerged of my having seen a large notice in the station at Cologne—a few steps from the place where I had got off the train and on the same platform—which read 'Rotterdam—Hook of Holland'. There, waiting for me, had been the train in which I ought to have continued my journey. My action in hurrying away in spite of this clear direction, and my search for it, led me to another, fall into a mood of exaggerated despair and soon asked various railway officials, was sent from one platform to another, and had travelled into the Rotterdam express, but it was nowhere to be found.

Another, fell into a mood of exaggerated despair and soon asked various railway officials, was sent from one platform to another, and had travelled into the Rotterdam express, but it was nowhere to be found.

Another, fell into a mood of exaggerated despair and soon asked various railway officials, was sent from one platform to another, and had travelled into the Rotterdam express, but it was nowhere to be found.

Another, fell into a mood of exaggerated despair and soon asked various railway officials, was sent from one platform to another, and had travelled into the Rotterdam express, but it was nowhere to be found.

Another, fell into a mood of exaggerated despair and soon asked various railway officials, was sent from one platform to another, and had travelled into the Rotterdam express, but it was nowhere to be found.

Another, fell into a mood of exaggerated despair and soon asked various railway officials, was sent from one platform to another, and had travelled into the Rotterdam express, but it was nowhere to be found.

Another, fell into a mood of exaggerated despair and soon asked various railway officials, was sent from one platform to another, and had travelled into the Rotterdam express, but it was nowhere to be found.

Another, fell into a mood of exaggerated despair and soon asked various railway officials, was sent from one platform to another, and had travelled into the Rotterdam express, but it was nowhere to be found.

Another, fell into a mood of exaggerated despair and soon asked various railway officials, was sent from one platform to another, and had travelled into the Rotterdam express, but it was nowhere to be found.

Another, fell into a mood of exaggerated despair and soon asked various railway officials, was sent from one platform to another, and had travelled into the Rotterdam express, but it was nowhere to be found.

Another, fell into a mood of exaggerated despair and soon asked various railway officials, was sent from one platform to another, and had travelled into the Rotterdam express, but it was nowhere to be found.

Another, fell into a mood of exaggerated despair and soon asked various railway officials, was sent from one platform to another, and had travelled into the Rotterdam express, but it was nowhere to be found.

Another, fell into a mood of exaggerated despair and soon asked various railway officials, was sent from one platform to another, and had travelled into the Rotterdam express, but it was nowhere to be found.

Another, fell into a mood of exaggerated despair and soon asked various railway officials, was sent from one platform to another, and had travelled into the Rotterdam express, but it was nowhere to be found.

Another, fell into a mood of exaggerated despair and soon asked various railway officials, was sent from one platform to another, and had travelled into the Rotterdam express, but it was nowhere to be found.
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for the train in another place, would have to be described as
an incomprehensible ‘blindness’ unless one is prepared to
assume that—contrary to my brother’s instructions—I had
really resolved to admire the Rembrandts on the journey out.
Everything else—my well-acted perplexity, the emergence of
the ‘pious’ intention to spend the night in Cologne—was
merely a contrivance to keep my resolution hidden from my-
self till it had been completely carried out.

(17) From his own personal experience J. Stärcke (1916)
tells of a similar device produced by ‘forgetfulness’ for the
purpose of fulfilling an ostensibly renounced wish.

‘I once had to give a lecture with lantern slides at a village;
but the lecture was postponed for a week. I had answered the
letter about the postponement and had entered the new date
in my notebook. I should have been glad to go to this village
in the afternoon, for then I should have had time to pay a visit
to a writer I knew who lived there. To my regret, however, I
had at the time no afternoon that I could keep free. Somewhat
reluctantly, I gave up the idea of the visit.

‘When the evening of the lecture arrived I set out for the
station in the greatest hurry with a case of lantern slides. I had
to take a taxi to catch the train. (It happens frequently with me
that I put things off so long that I have to take a taxi if I am to
catch my train.) When I reached my destination I was a little
surprised that there was no one at the station to meet me (as is
the usual practice with lectures in smallish places). It suddenly
occurred to me that the lecture had been postponed for a week
and that I had made a fruitless journey on the date that had
originally been fixed. After I had roundly cursed my forgetful-
ness, I debated whether I should return home by the next
train. However, upon closer consideration I reflected that I now
had a fine opportunity of paying the visit I had wanted to, and
thereupon did so. It was only when I was on my way that it
struck me that my unfulfilled wish to have sufficient time for
this visit had neatly hatched the plot. Being weighed down by
the heavy case of lantern slides and hurrying to catch the train
could serve excellently to hide the unconscious intention all the
more effectively.’

It may perhaps be thought1 that the class of errors whose
2

[Added in 1917.] [This paragraph dates back to 1901.]

dieser guten Anleit ung weggeweilt und den Zug anderswo gesucht
hätte, wenn man nicht annehmen wollte, daß es eben mein
Vorsatz war, gegen die Vorschrift meines Bruders die Rembrandt-
bilder schon auf der Hinreise zu bewundern. Alles übrige, meine
gut gespielte Ratlosigkeit, das Auftauchen der pietätvollen Absicht,
in Köln zu übernachten, war nur Veranstaltung, um mir meinen
Vorsatz zu verbergen, bis er sich vollkommen durchgesetzt hatte.

17) Eine ebensoliche, durch „Vergeßlichkeit“ hergestellte Veran-
staltung, um einen Wunsch zu erfüllen, auf den man angeblich
verzichtet hat, berichtet J. Stärcke von seiner eigenen Person. (L.c.)

„Ich mußte einmal in einem Dorfe einen Vortrag mit Licht-
bildern halten. Dieser Vortrag war aber um eine Woche verschoben.
Ich hatte den Brief hinsichtlich dieses Aufschubes empfangen und
das geänderte Datum in meinem Notizbuch notiert. Ich wäre gern
schon nachmittags nach diesem Dorfe gegangen, damit ich die
Zeit hätte, um einem mir bekannten Schriftsteller, der dort wohnt,
einen Besuch abzustatten. Zu meinem Bedauern konnte ich aber
zurzeit keinen Nachmittag dafür frei machen. Nur ungern gab ich
diesen Besuch auf.

Als nun der Abend des Vortrages da war, machte ich mich
mit einer Tasche voll Laternenbilder, in größter Eile zum Bahnhof
auf. Ich mußte einen Taxi nehmen, um den Zug noch zu
erreichen (es passiert mir öfter, daß ich so lange zögere, daß ich
einen Taxi nehmen muß, um den Zug noch zu erreichen). An
Ort und Stelle gekommen, war ich einigermaßen erstaunt, daß
keiner am Bahnhof war, um mich abzuholen (wie es bei Vorträgen
in kleineren Orten Gewohnheit ist). Plötzlich fiel mir ein, daß
der Vortrag um eine Woche verschoben war, und daß ich jetzt
am ursprünglich festgestellten Datum eine vergebliche Reise
gemacht hatte. Nachdem ich meine Vergeßlichkeit herzüngig
verwünscht hatte, überlegte ich, ob ich mit dem nächstfolgenden
Zug wieder nach Hause zurückkehren sollte. Bei näherer Über-
legung dachte ich aber daran, daß ich jetzt eine schöne Gelegen-
heit hatte, um den gewünschten Besuch zu machen, was ich denn
auch tat. Erst unterwegs fiel mir ein, daß mein unerfüllter Wunsch,
für diesen Besuch gehört Zeit zu haben, das Komplott hätte
vorbereitet haben. Das Schleppen mit der schweren Tasche voll
Laternenbilder und das Eilen, um den Zug zu erreichen, konnte
ausgezeichnet dazu dienen, die unbewußte Absicht desto besser zu
verbergen.”

Man wird vielleicht nicht geneigt sein, die Klasse von Irrtümern,
explanation I have given here is not very numerous or particularly significant. But I leave it open for question whether there is not some ground for extending the same line of approach to our assessment of the far more important errors of judgement made by human beings in their lives and in scientific work. Only for the rarest and best adjusted mind does it seem possible to preserve the picture of external reality, as it is perceived, against the distortion to which it is normally subjected in its passage through the psychical individuality of the percipient.
CHAPTER XI

COMBINED PARAPRAXES

Two of the last-mentioned examples—my own error which transferred the Medici to Venice [p. 221], and that of the young man who succeeded in getting a conversation with his fiancée on the telephone in defiance of my prohibition [p. 222]—have not in fact been described entirely accurately. Careful consideration reveals that they are a combination of an act of forgetting and an error. I can illustrate this combination still more clearly from some other examples.

1) A friend tells me of the following experience. "Some years ago I allowed myself to be elected to the committee of a certain literary society, as I thought that the organization might one day be able to help me to have my play produced; and I took a regular part, though without being much interested, in the meetings, which were held every Friday. Then, a few months ago, I was given the promise of a production at the theatre at F.; and since then I have regularly forgotten the meetings of the society. When I read your book on the subject I felt ashamed of my forgetfulness. I reproached myself with the thought that it was shabby behaviour on my part to stay away now that I no longer needed these people, and resolved on no account to forget the next Friday. I kept on reminding myself of this resolution until I carried it into effect and stood at the door of the room where the meetings were held. To my astonishment it was locked; the meeting was over. I had in fact made a mistake over the day; it was now Saturday!"

2) The next example combines a symptomatic act with a case of mislaying. It reached me in a somewhat roundabout way, but comes from a reliable source.

---

1 [This chapter was first included in the 1907 edition. It then consisted of the first four and the last paragraphs only. Further examples were added in the later editions of the book.]

2 [The first three of the following examples were repeated in the third lecture of the Introductory Lectures (1916-17). The second example is there attributed to R. Reitler.]
A lady travelled to Rome with her brother-in-law, who is a famous artist. The visitor was received with great honour by the German community in Rome, and among other presents he was given an antique gold medal. The lady was vexed that her brother-in-law did not appreciate the lovely object sufficiently. When she returned home (her place in Rome having been taken by her sister) she discovered while unpacking that she had brought the medal with her—how, she did not know. She at once sent a letter with the news to her brother-in-law, and announced that she would send the article she had walked off with back to Rome next day. But next day the medal had been so cleverly mislaid that it could not be found and sent off; and it was at this point that the meaning of her ‘absent-mindedness’ dawned on the lady: she wanted to keep the object for herself.

(3) There are some cases in which the parapraxis obstinately repeats itself, at the same time changing the method that it employs:

For reasons unknown to him, Ernest Jones (1911b, 383) once left a letter lying on his desk for several days without posting it. At last he decided to send it off, but he had it returned to him from the ‘Dead Letter Office’ since he had forgotten to address it. After he had addressed it he again took it to the post, but this time it had no stamp. He was then no longer able to overlook his reluctance to sending the letter off at all.

(4) The vain attempts to carry out an action in opposition to an internal resistance are most impressively depicted in a short communication by Dr. Karl Weiss (1912) of Vienna:

"The following episode will show how persistently the unconscious can make itself felt if it has a motive for preventing an intention from being carried out, and how hard it is to guard against that persistence. An acquaintance asked me to lend him a book and to bring it to him the next day. I immediately promised I would, but was aware of a lively feeling of displeasure which I could not at first explain. Later on it became clear to me: the person in question had for years owed me a sum of money which he apparently had no idea of repaying. I thought no more of the matter, but I remembered it the next morning."

[In English in the original.]

Eine Dame reist mit ihrem Schwager, einem berühmten Künstler, nach Rom. Der Besucher wird von den in Rom lebenden Deutschen sehr gefeiert und erhält unter anderem eine goldene Medaille antiker Herkunft zum Geschenke. Die Dame kränkt sich darüber, daß ihr Schwager das schöne Stück nicht genug zu schätzen weiß. Nachdem sie, von ihrer Schwester abgelöst, wieder zu Hause angelangt, entdeckt sie beim Auspacken, daß sie die Medaille — sie weiß nicht wie — mitgenommen hat. Sie teilt es sofort dem Schwager brieflich mit und kündigt ihm an, daß sie das Eintreffen am nächsten Tage nach Rom zurückrufen wird. Am nächsten Tage aber ist die Medaille so geschickt verlegt, daß sie unzustreifbar und unabsendbar ist, und dann dämmert der Dame, was ihre „Zerstreutheit“ bedeutete, nämlich, daß sie das Stück für sich selbst behalten wolle.

5) Einige Falle, in denen sich die Fehlhandlung hartnäckig wiederholt und dabei auch ihre Mittel wechselt:

Jones (l.c., S. 485): Aus ihm unbekannten Motiven hatte er einst einen Brief mehrere Tage auf seinem Schreibtisch liegen lassen, ohne ihn aufzuzeigen. Endlich entschloß er sich dazu, aber er erhielt ihn vom „Dead letter office“ zurück, denn er hatte vergessen, die Adresse zu schreiben. Nachdem er ihn adressiert hatte, brachte er ihn wieder zur Post, aber diesmal ohne Briefmarke. Die Abweisung dagegen, den Brief überhaupt abzusenden, konnte er dann nicht mehr übersetzen.

4) Sehr eindrucksvoll schildert die vergeblichen Bemühungen, eine Handlung gegen einen inneren Widerstand durchzusetzen, eine kleine Mitteilung von Dr. Karl Weiss (Wien):

„Wie konsequent sich das Unbewusste durchzusetzen weiß, wenn es ein Motiv hat, einen Vorsatz nicht zur Ausführung gelangen zu lassen, und wie schwer es ist, sich gegen diese Tendenz zu sichern, dafür bietet der folgende Vorfall einen Beleg. Ein Bekannter ersucht mich, ihm ein Buch zu leihen und es ihm am nächsten Tage mitzubringen. Ich sagte sogleich zu, empfand aber ein leidhaftes Unlustgefühl, das ich mir zunächst nicht erklären kann. Später wird es mir klar: der Betreffende schuldet mir seit Jahren eine Summe Geldes, an deren Bezahlung er anscheinend nicht denkt. Ich denke nicht weiter an die Sache, erinnere mich aber ihrer am nächsten Vormittag mit dem gleichen
with the same feeling of unpleasure and at once said to myself: "Your unconscious will arrange for you to forget the book; but you don't want to be disobliging, so you will take all possible steps not to forget it." I came home, wrapped up the book and put it beside me on the desk where I write my letters. After some time I went out; I took a few steps and remembered I had left the letters I wanted to post on my desk. (One of them, by the way, was a letter in which I was obliged to write something disagreeable to someone from whom I was hoping to get support over a certain matter.) I turned back, took the letters and again set off. In the tram it occurred to me that I had promised my wife to buy something for her, and I was very pleased with the thought that it would only make a small parcel. At that point the association "parcel"—"book" suddenly occurred to me, and I now noticed that I was not carrying the book. So I had not only forgotten it the first time I went out, but had also persisted in overlooking it when I took the letters that it lay beside.

(5) The same situation is found in an instance which Otto Rank (1912) analysed exhaustively:

'A scrupulously orderly and pedantically precise man reported the following experience, which was quite unusual for him. One afternoon he was in the street and wanted to know the time; and he found he had left his watch at home—a thing he did not remember ever having done before. As he had an evening engagement for which he had to be punctual, and as he had not enough time to fetch his own watch before it, he took advantage of a visit to a lady, a friend of his, to borrow her watch for the evening. This was all the more feasible since he already had an engagement to visit the lady next morning, and he promised to return the watch on that occasion. Next day, however, when he wanted to hand over the watch he had borrowed to its owner, he found to his astonishment that now he had left it at home. This time he had his own watch on him. He then firmly resolved to return the ladies' watch the same afternoon and actually carried out his resolution. But when he wanted to see the time upon leaving her, he found to his immense annoyance and astonishment that he had again forgotten his own watch.

'The repetition of this parapraxis seemed so pathological to


5) Das Nämliche in einer eingehend analysierten Beobachtung von Otto Rank:

"Ein peinlich ordentlicher und pedantisch genauer Mann berichtet das folgende, für ihn ganz außergewöhnliche Erlebnis. Eines Nachmittags, als er auf der Straße nach der Zeit sehen will, bemerkt er, daß er seine Uhr zu Hause vergessen hat, was seiner Erinnerung nach noch nie vorgekommen war. Da er für den Abend eine pünktliche Verabredung hat und nicht mehr Zeit findet, vorher seine Uhr zu holen, benützte er den Besuch bei einer befreundeten Dame, um sich ihre Uhr für den Abend auszuleihen; dies war um so eher angängig, als er die Dame infolge einer früheren Verabredung am nächsten Vormittag zu besuchen hatte und bei dieser Gelegenheit die Uhr zurückzustellen versprach. Zu seinem Erstaunen merkt er aber, als er tags darauf der Besitzerin die entlehnte Uhr überreichen will, daß er nun diese zu Hause vergißt; seine eigene Uhr hatte er diesmal zu sich gesteckt. Er nahm sich nun fest vor, die Damenuhr noch am Nachmittag zurückzustellen, und führte den Vorsatz auch aus. Als er aber beim Weggehen nach der Zeit sehen will, hat er zu seinem maßlosen Ärger und Erstaunen wieder die eigene Uhr vergessen. Diese Wiederholung der Fehlleistung kam dem sonst
a man with his love of orderliness that he would have been glad to learn of its psychological motivation; and this was promptly revealed by the psycho-analytic enquiry as to whether anything disagreeable had happened to him on the crucial day when he was forgetful for the first time, and in what connection it had occurred. He immediately related how after lunch—shortly before he went out forgetting when he was forgetful for the possible relative, who had already caused him much conversation with a man with needed in the house, was asking him [the narrator] to provide expense, the money to redeem it. He was very much upset by what was thing disagreeable had happened to him on the crucial day to his annoyances that the relative had caused him over many years. In the first place it gave expression to a train of thought that ran somewhat as follows: "I won't allow money to be extorted from me in this way, and if a watch is needed I shall leave my own at home." But since he needed it in the evening for keeping an appointment, this intention could only come into effect by an unconscious path, in the form of a symptomatic act. In the second place, what the act of forgetting signified came to this: "The perpetual sacrifice of money on this good-for-nothing will be the utter ruin of me, so that I shall have to give up everything." Although, according to him, his indignation at this piece of news was only momentary, the repetition of the same symptomatic act nevertheless shows that it continued to operate intensively in the unconscious, somewhat as though his consciousness were saying: "I can't get this story out of my head." In view of this attitude of the unconscious, it will come as no surprise to us that the same thing should have happened to the borrowed ladies' watch. But perhaps there were also special motives that favoured this transference on to the "innocent" ladies' watch. Probably the most obvious motive is that he would have no doubt have liked to keep it to take the place of the watch of his own which he had sacrificed, and that he therefore forgot to return it the next day. He would also

1 The continued operation of an idea in the unconscious manifests itself sometimes in the form of a dream following the parapraxis, and sometimes in a repetition of the parapraxis or in a failure to correct it.
perhaps have been glad to have the watch as a souvenir of the lady. Furthermore, forgetting the ladies' watch gave him the opportunity of paying the lady he admired a second visit. He was in any case obliged to call on her in the morning in connection with another matter, and by forgetting the watch he seemed, as it were, to indicate that it was a shame to use this visit, which had been arranged some time before, for the incidental purpose of returning the watch. Moreover, having twice forgotten his own watch, and in that way being able to return the other watch, goes to show that unconsciously he was trying to avoid carrying both watches at once. He was obviously seeking to avoid giving this appearance of superfluity, which would have been in striking contrast to his relative's want. But on the other hand he contrived by these means to counter his apparent intentions of marrying the lady, by warning himself that he had indissoluble obligations to his family (his mother). Finally, another reason for forgetting a ladies' watch may be sought in the fact that the evening before he had, as a bachelor, felt embarrassment in front of his friends about looking at the ladies' watch, and only did so surreptitiously; and to avoid a repetition of this awkward situation he did not like to carry it any longer. But as he had on the other hand to return it, the result here too was an unconsciously performed symptomatic act, which proved to be a compromise-formation between conflicting emotional impulses, and a dearly-bought victory by the unconscious agency.

Here are three cases observed by J. Stärcke (1916, 108-9):!

6) Mislaying, breaking and forgetting as an expression of a counter-will that has been pushed back. I had got together a number of illustrations for a scientific work, when one day my brother asked me to lend him some which he wanted to use as lantern slides in a lecture. Though I was momentarily aware of thinking I would prefer it if the reproductions I had collected at much pains were not exhibited or published in any way before I could do so myself, I promised him I would look out the negatives of the pictures he wanted and make lantern slides from them. These negatives however I was unable to find. I looked through the whole pile of boxes full of the relevant negatives, and a good two hundred negatives passed through my

---

1 [Examples 6-8 were added in 1917.]
hands, one after the other; but the negatives I was looking for were not there. I had a suspicion that in fact I seemed not to want my brother to have the pictures. After I had made this unfriendly thought conscious and had combated it, I noticed I had put the top box of the pile on one side, and had not looked through it; and this box contained the negatives I was looking for. On the lid of this box there was a brief note of the contents, and it is likely that I had given it a hasty glance before I put the box aside. The unfriendly thought, however, seemed not yet to have been totally subdued, for there were a variety of further happenings before the slides were dispatched. I pressed too hard on one of the slides and broke it, while I was holding it in my hand and was wiping the glass clean. (In the ordinary way I never break a lantern slide like that.) When I had made a new copy of this slide it fell from my hand, and was only saved from being smashed by my stretching out my foot and breaking its fall. When I mounted the lantern slides the whole pile fell to the ground once more, fortunately without any being broken. And finally, several days passed before I actually packed them and sent them off; for though I intended to do so afresh every day, each time I forgot my intention once again.'

(7) Repeated forgetfulness—eventual performance bungled. `One day I had to send a postcard to an acquaintance; but I kept on postponing doing so for several days. I strongly suspected that this was due to the following causes: he had informed me by letter that a certain person would come to see me in the course of the week from whom I was not particularly anxious to have a visit. When the week had passed and the prospect of the unwanted visit had become very slender I finally wrote the postcard, in which I informed him when I should be free. When writing the postcard I thought at first of adding that I had been prevented from writing earlier by druk werk ([Dutch for] "laborious, exacting or burdensome work"); but in the end I did not do so as no reasonable human being believes this stock excuse any longer. Whether this little untruth was nevertheless bound to make its appearance I do not know; but when I pushed the postcard into the letter box I accidentally put it into the lower opening: Drukwerk ([Dutch for] "printed matter").'
Forgetting and error. One morning, in very fine weather, a girl went to the Rijksmuseum to draw some plaster-casts there. Though she would have preferred to go for a walk, as the weather was so fine, she nevertheless made up her mind to be industrious for once and to do some drawing. First she had to buy some drawing paper. She went to the shop (about ten minutes' walk from the museum), and bought some pencils and other materials for sketching, but quite forgot to buy the drawing paper. Then she went to the museum, and as she was sitting on her stool ready to begin, she found she had no paper and had to go back to the shop. After fetching some paper she began to draw in earnest, made good progress and, after some time, heard the clock in the museum tower strike a large number of times. "That will be twelve o'clock", she thought, and continued working until the clock in the tower struck the quarter ("that", she thought, "will be a quarter past twelve"), packed up her drawing materials and decided to walk through the Vondelpark to her sister's house and have coffee there (which, in Holland, is equivalent to luncheon). At the Suasso Museum she saw to her astonishment that it was only twelve o'clock, not half past! The temptingly fine weather had got the better of her industriousness, and in consequence she had not recalled, when the clock struck twelve times at half past eleven, that belfry clocks strike the hour at the half hour as well.

As some of the above instances have already shown, the unconsciously disturbing purpose can also achieve its aim by obstinately repeating the same kind of parapraxis. I borrow an amusing example of this from a small volume, Frank Wedekind und das Theater, which has been published in Munich by the Drei Masken Verlag; but I must leave the responsibility for the anecdote, which is told in Mark Twain's manner, to the author of the book.

"In Wedekind's one act play Die Zensur [The Censorship] there occurs at its most solemn moment the pronouncement: "The fear of death is an intellectual error ['Denkfehler']." The author, who set much store by the passage, asked the performer at rehearsal to make a slight pause before the word "Denk-

---


9) Wie schon einige der vorstehenden Beobachtungen zeigen, kann die unbewußte Tendenz ihre Absicht auch erreichen, indem sie dieselbe Art der Fehlleistung hartnäckig wiederholt. Ich entnehme ein amtsmaßes Beispiel hiefür einem Büchlein "Frank Wedekind und das Theater", das im Münchener Drei Masken-Verlag erschienen ist, muß aber die Verantwortung für das in Mark Twainscher Manier erzählte Geschichtchen dem Autor des Buches überlassen.

"In Wedekinds Einakter 'Die Zensur' fällt an der ernsten Stelle des Stückes der Ausspruch: 'Die Furcht vor dem Tode ist ein Denkfehler.' Der Autor, dem die Stelle am Herzen lag, bat auf der Probe den Darsteller, vor dem Worte 'Denkfehler' eine kleine Pause zu machen. Am Abend — der
On the night, the actor entered wholeheartedly into his part, and was careful to observe the pause; but he involuntarily said in the most solemn tones: "The fear of death is a Druckfehler [a misprint]." In reply to the actor's enquiries at the end of the performance, the author assured him that he had not the smallest criticism to make; only the passage in question did not say that the fear of death is a misprint but that it is an intellectual error. When Die Zensur was repeated on the following night, the actor, on reaching the same passage, declared, and once again in the most solemn tones: "The fear of death is a Denkzettel [a memorandum]." Wedekind once more showered unstinted praise on the actor, only remarking incidentally that what the text said was not that the fear of death was a memorandum but that it was an intellectual error. Next night Die Zensur was given again, and the actor, with whom the author had meanwhile struck up a friendship and had exchanged views on artistic questions, declared when he came to the passage, with the most solemn face in the world: "The fear of death is a Druckfehler [a printed label]." The actor received the author's unqualified appreciation, and the play was given many more performances; but the author had made up his mind that the notion of an "intellectual error" was a lost cause for good and all.

Rank (1912 and 1915b1) has also given attention to the very interesting relations between 'Parapraxes and Dreams', but they cannot be followed without a thorough analysis of the dream which is linked to the parapraxis. I once dreamt, as part of a longish dream, that I had lost my purse. In the morning while I was dressing I found that it was really missing. While undressing the night before I had the dream, I had forgotten to take it out of my trouser pocket and put it in its usual place. I was therefore not ignorant of my forgetfulness and it was probably meant to give expression to an unconscious thought which was prepared for making its appearance in the content of the dream.1

1 [This paragraph was added in 1912; the reference to Rank's second paper was inserted in 1917.]

2 [Footnote added 1924:] It is not so rare an event for a parapraxis like losing or mislaying something to be undone by means of a dream—by one's learning in the dream where the missing object is to be found; but
I do not mean to assert that cases of combined parapraxes like these can teach us anything new that could not already be observed in the simple cases. And yet this situation, of there being a change in the form taken by the parapraxis while the outcome remains the same, gives a vivid impression of a will striving for a definite aim, and contradicts in a far more energetic way the notion that a parapraxis is a matter of chance and needs no interpretation. We may also be struck by the fact that a conscious intention should in these examples fail so completely to prevent the success of the parapraxis. My friend failed in spite of everything to attend the meeting of the society, and the lady found it impossible to part from the medal. The unknown factor that opposed these intentions found another outlet after the first path had been barred to it. For what was required to overcome the unconscious motive was something other than a conscious counter-intention; it called for a piece of psychical work, which could make what was unknown known to consciousness.

this has nothing about it in the nature of the occult, so long as dreamer and loser are the same person. [Cf. below, p. 262.] A young lady writes: 'About four months ago at the bank I [noticed that I had] lost a very beautiful ring. I hunted in every nook and cranny of my room without finding it. A week ago I dreamt it was lying beside the cupboard by the radiator. Naturally the dream gave me no rest, and next morning I did in fact really find it in that very spot.' She is surprised at this incident, and maintains that it often happens that her thoughts and wishes are fulfilled in this way, but omits to ask herself what change had occurred in her life between the loss and the recovery of the ring.

CHAPTER XII

DETERMINISM, BELIEF IN
CHANCE AND SUPERSTITION—SOME
POINTS OF VIEW\(^1\)

The general conclusion that emerges from the previous individual discussions may be stated in the following terms. Certain shortcomings in our psychical functioning—whose common characteristics will in a moment be defined more closely—and certain seemingly unintentional performances prove, if psycho-analytic methods of investigation are applied to them, to have valid motives and to be determined by motives unknown to consciousness.

In order to be included in the class of phenomena explicable in this way, a psychical parapraxis must fulfil the following conditions:

\(a\) It must not exceed certain dimensions fixed by our judgment, which we characterize by the expression 'within the limits of the normal'.

\(b\) It must be in the nature of a momentary and temporary disturbance. The same function must have been performed by us more correctly before, or we must at all times believe ourselves capable of carrying it out more correctly. If we are corrected by someone else, we must at once recognize the rightness of the correction and the wrongness of our own psychical process.

\(c\) If we perceive the parapraxis at all, we must not be aware in ourselves of any motive for it. We must rather be tempted to explain it by 'inattentiveness', or to put it down to 'chance'.

There thus remain in this group the cases of forgetting ['Vergessen'], the errors in spite of better knowledge, the slips of the tongue ['Versprechen'], misreadings ['Verlesen'], slips of the pen ['Verschreiben'], bungled actions ['Vergreifen'] and the so-called 'chance actions'. Language points to the internal similarity

---

\(^1\) Except where otherwise indicated, the early part of this chapter (up to p. 243) dates back to 1901.
between most of these phenomena; they are compounded alike [in German] with the prefix 'ter'.

The explanation of the psychical processes which are defined in this way leads on to a series of observations which should in part excite a wider interest.

(A) If we give way to the view that a part of our psychical functioning cannot be explained by purposive ideas, we are failing to appreciate the extent of determination in mental life. Both here and in other spheres this is more far-reaching than we suspect. In an article in [the Vienna daily paper] Die Zeit by R. M. Meyer, the literary historian, which I came across in 1900, the view was put forward and illustrated by examples that it is impossible intentionally and arbitrarily to make up a piece of nonsense. I have known for some time that one cannot make a number occur to one at one's own free choice any more than a name. Investigation of a number made up in an apparently arbitrary manner—one, let us say, of several digits uttered by someone as a joke or in a moment of high spirits—reveals that it is strictly determined in a way that would really never have been thought possible. I will begin by briefly discussing an instance of an arbitrarily chosen first name, and then analyse in some detail an analogous example of a number 'thrown out without thinking'.

(1) With a view to preparing the case history* of one of my women patients for publication I considered what first name I should give her in my account. There appeared to be a very wide choice; some names, it is true, were ruled out from the start—the real name in the first place, then the names of members of my own family, to which I should object, and perhaps some other women's names with an especially peculiar sound. But otherwise there was no need for me to be at a loss for a name. It might have been expected—and I myself ex-

* The prefix 'ter' in German corresponds closely to the English prefix 'mis' in such words as 'mis-hear', 'mis-lay' and 'mis-read'.

1) In the Begriff, die Krankengeschichte einer meiner Patientinnen für die Publikation herzurichten, erwähne ich, welche Vornamen ich ihr in der Arbeit geben soll. Die Auswahl scheint sehr groß; gewiß schließen sich einige Namen von vorherein aus, in erster Linie der echte Name, sodann die Namen meiner eigenen Familienangehörigen, an denen ich Anstoß nehmen würde, etwa noch andere Frauennamen von besonders seltsamen Klang; im übrigen aber brauchte ich um einen solchen Namen nicht verlegen zu sein. Man sollte erwarten und ich erwarte selbst, daß sich mir
Ich frage nach der Name Dora.


Diese kleine Begebenheit fand Jahre später eine unerwartete Fortsetzung. Als ich einmal die längst veröffentlichte Krankengeschichte des nun Dora genannten Mädchens in meiner Vorlesung besprach, fiel mir ein, daß ja eine meiner beiden Hörsälen den gleichen Namen Dora, den ich in den verschiedensten Verknüpfungen so oft ausgesprochen hatte, trage, und ich wandte mich an die junge Kollegin, die mir auch persönlich bekannt war, mit der Entschuldigung, ich hätte wirklich nicht daran gedacht, daß sie auch so heiße, sei aber gern bereit, den Namen in der Vorlesung durch einen anderen zu ersetzen. Ich hatte nun die Aufgabe, rasch einen anderen zu wählen, und überlegte dabei, jetzt dürfte ich nur nicht auf den Vornamen der anderen
of the other lady in the audience and so setting a bad example to my other colleagues, who were already well grounded in psycho-analysis. I was therefore very much pleased when the name 'Erna' occurred to me as a substitute for Dora, and I used it in the lecture. After the lecture I asked myself where the name Erna could possibly have come from, and I could not help laughing when I noticed that the possibility I had been afraid of when I was choosing the substitute name had nevertheless come about, at least to some extent. The other lady's family name was Lucerna, of which Erna is a part.

(2) In a letter to a friend I informed him I had just then finished correcting the proofs of The Interpretation of Dreams and did not intend to make any more changes in the work, 'even if it contains 2467 mistakes'. I at once tried to explain this number to myself and added the little analysis as a postscript to my letter. The best plan will be to quote it as I wrote it down at the time, just after I had caught myself in the act:

'Let me hastily add a contribution to the psychopathology of everyday life. You will find that in the letter I put down the number 2467 as a bold arbitrary estimate of the number of mistakes which will be found in the dream book. What I meant was some very big number; but that particular one emerged. However, nothing in the mind is arbitrary or undetermined. You will therefore rightly expect that the unconscious had hastened to determine the number which was left open by consciousness. Now, immediately before, I had read in the newspaper that a General E. M. had retired from the post of Master of Ordnance. I should explain that I am interested in this man. While I was serving as a medical officer-cadet he came to the sick quarters one day (he was then a colonel) and said to the medical officer: 'You must make me well in a week, because I have some work to do for which the Emperor is waiting.' After that episode I decided to follow his career, and lo and behold! now he has reached the end of it, having become Master of Ordnance.

2) In a Briefe an einen Freund kündige ich ihm an, daß ich jetzt die Korrekturen der Traumdeutung abgeschlossen habe und nichts mehr an dem Werke ändern will, "möge es auch 2467 Fehler enthalten". Ich versuche sofort, mir diese Zahl aufzuklären und füge die kleine Analyse noch als Nachschrift dem Briefe an. Am besten zitiere ich jetzt, wie ich damals geschrieben, als ich mich auf frischer Tat ergriff:


1 [The friend was Wilhelm Fliess of Berlin, to whom Freud sent this analysis in a postscript to his letter of August 27, 1899 (Freud, 1900a, Letter 116).]

2 [There is a brief allusion by Freud to this view of the determination of numbers in The Interpretation of Dreams (1900a), Standard Ed., 5, 514-15.]
Ordnance, and is already (1899) on the retired list. I wanted to work out how long he had taken over this. Assuming that it was in 1882 that I saw him in hospital, it must have been seventeen years. I told my wife this and she remarked: "oughtn't you to be on the retired list too, then?" "Heaven forbid!" I exclaimed. After this conversation I sat down to write to you. But the earlier train of thought went on in my mind, and with good reason. I had miscalculated; I have a fixed point in my memory to prove it. I celebrated my majority, i.e. my twenty-fourth birthday, under military arrest (having been absent without leave). So that was in 1880, or nineteen years ago. That gives you the "24" in 2467. Now take my present age—43—add 24, and you have 67. In other words, in answer to the question whether I meant to retire too, my wish gave me another twenty-four years' work. I was obviously annoyed at having failed to get very far myself during the period in which I have followed Colonel M.'s career; and yet I was celebrating a kind of triumph over his career being at an end, while I still have everything in front of me. So one can say with justice that not even the number 2467 which I threw out unthinking was without its determinants from the unconscious.

(3) Since this first example in which an apparently arbitrarily chosen number was explained I have often repeated the same experiment, and with the same result; but the content of the majority of cases is so intimate that they cannot be reported.

For that very reason, however, I will take the opportunity of adding here a very interesting analysis of a 'numerical association', which Dr. Adler (1905) of Vienna obtained from a 'perfectly healthy' informant. 'Yesterday evening,' this informant reports, 'I got down to The Psychopathology of Everyday Life, and would have read the whole of the book straight away if I had not been prevented by a remarkable incident. For what happened was that, when I read that every number which we summon seemingly arbitrarily into consciousness has a definite meaning, I decided to make an experiment. There came to my mind the number 1734. The following ideas then rapidly occurred to me: 1734 ÷ 17 = 102; 102 ÷ 17 = 6. I then divided the number into 17 and 34. I am 34 years old. I

3 [Example 3, apart from this first sentence which dates back to 1901, was added in 1907.]
believe, as I think I once told you, that 34 is the last year of youth, and for that reason I felt very miserable on my last birthday. The end of my 17th year saw the beginning of a very pleasant and interesting period in my development. I divide my life into portions of 17 years. What do the divisions mean? In thinking of the number 102 it occurred to me that No. 102 in the Reclam Universal Library is Kotzebue’s play *Menschenhass und Reue* [Misanthropy and Remorse].

"My present psychical state is one of misanthropy and remorse. No. 6 in the U.L. (I know a whole quantity of its numbers by heart) is Mülñner’s *Die Schuld* [Guilty]. The thought plagues me constantly that the guilt is mine for my failure to become what I could have been with my abilities. It further occurred to me that No. 34 in the U.L. conta as a tale by the same Mülñner entitled *Der Kaliber* [The Calibre]. I divided the word into “Ka” and “Liber”; it further occurred to me that it contains the words “Ali” and “Kali” [“potassium”]. This reminded me of my once making up rhymes with my (six-year-old) son Ali. I asked him to find a rhyme to Ali. He could not think of any, and as he wanted me to give him one I said: “Ali reinigt den Mund mit hypermangansaurem Kali.” [“Ali cleans his mouth with potassium permanganate.”] We laughed a lot and Ali was very lieb [sweet]. During the last few days I have been obliged to notice with regret that he is "ka (kein) lieber Ali” ["not the sweet Ali" (“ka lieber” pronounced as “Kaliber”).]

I then asked myself: what is No. 17 in the U.L.? but I could not bring it to mind. But I quite certainly knew it earlier, so I assumed I wanted to forget that number. All reflection was in vain. I wanted to go on reading, but I only read mechanically, without understanding a word, as the 17 was tormenting me. I put out the light and continued my search. Finally I came to the conclusion that No. 17 must be a play of Shakespeare’s. But which one? I thought of *Hero and Leander*—clearly a stupid attempt on the part of my will to lead me astray. Finally I got up and looked in the catalogue of the U.L.—

---

1 [The Reclam Universal Library was an old-established and very comprehensive series of paper-bound reprints. Kotzebue (1761-1819) is still known in England for another of his plays, *Lovers’ Vows*, which was not performed at Mansfield Park.]  
2 [Adolf Müllner (1774–1829).]
No. 17 is *Macbeth*. To my bewilderment I was forced to realize that I knew almost nothing at all of the play, although I had given it as much attention as other plays of Shakespeare's. I only thought of: murderer, Lady Macbeth, witches, "fair is foul" and that at one time I had found Schiller's version of *Macbeth* very fine. There is no doubt then that I wished to forget the play. The further thought occurred to me that 17 and 34 divided by 17 gives the result 1 and 2. Numbers 1 and 2 in the U.L. are Goethe's *Faust*. Formerly I found very much of Faust in myself.

We must regret that the physician's discretion did not allow us any insight into the significance of this series of associations. Adler observes that the man did not succeed in synthesizing his remarks. They would seem to us scarcely worth reporting if something had not emerged during their continuation which gave us the key to understanding the number 1734 and the whole series of associations.

"This morning indeed I had an experience that strongly supports the correctness of the Freudian view. My wife, whom I had woken up when I got out of bed the night before, asked me why I had wanted the U.L. catalogue. I told her the story. She found it was all hair-splitting, only—a very interesting point—she accepted *Macbeth*, which I had resisted so forcibly. She said that nothing whatever came to her mind when she thought of a number. I answered: "Let us test it." She gave the number 117. I immediately replied: "17 is a reference to what I have told you. Moreover I said to you yesterday that when a wife is in her 82nd year and her husband in his 35th year there is gross incompatibility." For the last few days I have been teasing my wife by saying she is a little old woman of 82. 82 + 35 = 117."

Thus the man, who was not able to find determinants for his own number, found the solution at once when his wife gave him a number purporting to be arbitrarily chosen. In reality the wife understood very well what complex her husband's number was derived from, and chose her own number from the same complex—which was certainly common to both of them, for in his case it concerned their relative ages. It is therefore easy for us to translate the number that had occurred to the husband. It expresses, as Adler suggests, a suppressed wish of
his which, fully developed, would run: 'Only a wife of 17 is suitable for a man of 34 like me.'

In case anyone should think too lightly of such 'trifles', I may add that I recently learned from Dr. Adler that a year after the publication of this analysis the man was divorced from his wife. 1

Adler gives similar explanations of the origin of obsessive numbers.

Moreover the choice of what have been called 'favourite numbers' is not unrelated to the life of the person concerned and is not without a certain psychological interest. A man who admitted having a special preference for the numbers 17 and 19 was able to specify after a little reflection that at the age of 17 he had gone to the university and so attained the academic freedom he had long desired, and that at 19 he had taken his first long journey and soon after had made his first scientific discovery. But the fixation of this preference occurred a decade later, when the same numbers took on a significance in his erotic life.—Indeed, even those numbers which a person uses especially often in a particular connection, in an apparently arbitrary way, can be traced by analysis to an unexpected meaning. Thus it struck a patient of mine one day that when annoyed he was especially fond of saying: 'I've told you that already from 17 to 36 times', and he asked himself whether there was any motive for it. It at once occurred to him that he was born on the 27th day of the month whereas his younger brother was born on the 26th, and that he had reason to complain that fate so often robbed him of the good things in life in order to bestow them on this younger brother. He therefore represented this partiality on the part of fate by deducting ten from the date of his own birthday and adding it to his brother's. 'I am the elder and yet I am cut short like this.'

(5)* I shall dwell longer on analyses of numerical associations, since I know of no other separate observations that would

---

1 In explanation of Macbeth, No. 17 in the U.L., Adler informs me that in his seventeenth year this man joined a society of anarchists with regicide as its aim. This was no doubt why the content of Macbeth was forgotten. At that time, too, he had invented a code in which letters were replaced by numbers.

* [Added 1910.] * [Added 1912.]
prove so forcibly the existence of highly composite thought-processes which are yet quite unknown to consciousness. At the same time I know of no better example of analyses in which the part contributed by the physician (suggestion)—so often held responsible—is so definitely ruled out. I shall therefore give a report here (with his consent) of the analysis of a number which occurred to a patient of mine. I need only add that he is the youngest child in a large family and at an early age lost his greatly admired father. While he was in a particularly cheerful mood the number 426718 came to his mind, and he asked himself: 'What ideas occur to me in that connection? First of all, a joke I have heard: "When a doctor treats a cold it lasts for 42 days; when it is not treated, it lasts 6 weeks.' This corresponds to the first figures in the number (42 = 6 \times 7). In the stoppage that followed this first solution I drew his attention to the fact that the six-figure number he had chosen contained all the first digits except for 3 and 5. He then immediately found the continuation of the interpretation. 'There are 7 of us brothers and sisters, and I am the youngest. In the order of our age, 3 corresponds to my sister A., and 5 to my brother L.; they were my two enemies. As a child I used to pray to God every night for him to remove these two tormenting spirits from life. It seems to me now that in this choice of numbers I was myself fulfilling this wish; 3 and 5, the wicked brother and the hated sister, are passed over.'—If the number represents the order of your brothers and sisters, what does the 18 at the end mean? There were only 7 of you after all. 'I have often thought that if my father had lived longer I should not have remained the youngest child. If there had been a 6 more we should have been 8 and I should have had a younger child after me to whom I should have played the elder brother.'

With this the number was explained, but we had still to establish the connection between the first part of the interpretation and the second one. This followed very easily from the necessary preconditions of the last figures: 'If my father had lived longer', 42 = 6 \times 7' signified derision at the doctors who had not been able to help his father, and, in this form, therefore, it expressed his wish for his father to go on living. The whole number [426718] in fact corresponded to the fulfilment of his two infantile wishes about his family circle—that his bad
brother and sister should die and that a baby should be born after him, or, expressed in the shortest form: 'If only those two had died instead of my beloved father!'

(6) Here is a brief example from a correspondent. The manager of a telegraph office in L. writes that his eighteen-and-a-half-year-old son, who wants to study medicine, is already taken up with the psychopathology of everyday life, and is trying to convince his parents of the correctness of my assertions. I reproduce one of the experiments he undertook, without expressing an opinion on the discussion attached to it.

'My son was talking to my wife about what we call “chance” and was demonstrating to her that she could not name any song or any number that really occurred to her simply “by chance”. The following conversation ensued. Son: “Give me any number you like.”—Mother: “79.”—Son: “What occurs to you in that connection?”—Mother: “I think of the lovely hat I was looking at yesterday.”—Son: “What did it cost?”—Mother: “158 marks.”—Son: “That explains it: 158 ÷ 2 = 79. The hat was too dear for you and no doubt you thought: ‘If it were half the price, I would buy it.’”

'To these assertions of my son’s I first raised the objection that women are not in general particularly good at figures and that anyway his mother had certainly not worked out that 79 was half 158. His theory was therefore based on the sufficiently improbable fact that the subconscious is better at arithmetic than normal consciousness. “Not at all,” was the answer I received; “it may well be that my mother did not work out the sum 158 ÷ 2 = 79, she may perfectly well have happened to see this equation—indeed she may have thought about the hat while dreaming and then realized what it would cost if it were only half the price.”

(7) I take another numerical analysis from Jones (1911b, p. 478). A gentleman of his acquaintance let the number 986 occur to him and then defied Jones to connect it with anything

* In the interests of simplicity I have omitted some of the patient’s intermediate associations which were equally to the point.

* [Added 1920.]

* [Added 1912—Jones’s original text, which differs in very small points from Freud’s version, is given here.]

1) Zur Vereinfachung habe ich einige nicht minder gut passende Zwischeneinfälle des Patienten weggelassen.
he thought of. 'Using the free-association method he first re-called a memory, which had not previously been present in his mind, to the following effect: Six years ago, on the hottest day he could remember, he had seen a joke in an evening newspaper, which stated that the thermometer had stood at 98°F., evidently an exaggeration of 98-6°F. We were at the time seated in front of a very hot fire from which he had just drawn back, and he remarked, probably quite correctly, that the heat had aroused this dormant memory. However, I was curious to know why this memory had persisted with such vividness as to be so readily brought out, for with most people it surely would have been forgotten beyond recall, unless it had become associated with some other mental experience of more significance. He told me that in reading the joke he had laughed uproariously, and that on many subsequent occasions he had recalled it with great relish. As the joke was obviously a very tenuous one, this strengthened my expectation that more lay behind. His next thought was the general reflection that the conception of heat had always greatly impressed him; that heat was the most important thing in the universe, the source of all life, and so on. This remarkable attitude of a quite prosaic young man certainly needed some explanation, so I asked him to continue his free associations. The next thought was of a factory-stack which he could see from his bedroom window. He often stood of an evening watching the flame and smoke issuing out of it, and reflecting on the deplorable waste of energy. Heat, fire, the source of life, the waste of vital energy issuing from an upright, hollow tube—it was not hard to divine from such associations that the ideas of heat and fire were unconsciously linked in his mind with the idea of love, as is so frequent in symbolic thinking, and that there was a strong masturbation complex present, a conclusion which he presently confirmed.1

Those who wish to get a good impression1 of the way in which the material of numbers is worked over in unconscious thinking may be referred to the papers by Jung (1911) and Jones (1912).

In analyses of this kind which I conduct on myself I find two

1 [This paragraph was also added in 1912; the following one dates back to 1901.]
things particularly striking: firstly, the positively somnambulistic certainty\(^1\) with which I set off for my unknown goal and plunge into an arithmetical train of thought which arrives all at once at the desired number, and the speed with which the entire subsequent work is completed; and secondly, the fact that the numbers are so freely at the disposal of my unconscious thinking, whereas I am a bad reckoner and have the greatest difficulties in consciously noting dates, house numbers and such things. Moreover in these unconscious thought-operations with numbers I find I have a tendency to superstition, whose origin for long remained unknown to me.\(^2\) [Cf. p. 260, n. 3.]

\(^1\) See above, footnote 1, p. 168.

\(^2\) In 1901 and 1904, this sentence ended: '... whose origin is still unknown to me myself.' The paragraph continued: 'I generally come upon speculations about the duration of my own life and the lives of those dear to me; and the fact that my friend in Berlin has made the estimation 1901 to 1907 of human life the subject of his calculations, which are based on biological units, must have acted as a determinant of this unconscious juggling. I am not now in agreement with one of the premises from which this work of his proceeds; from highly egotistic motives I should be very glad to carry my point against him, and yet I appear to be imitating his calculations in my own way.' The whole of this passage was omitted, and the sentence preceding it was given its present form, from 1907 onwards. The references in the omitted passage are to Freud's friend and correspondent in Berlin, Wilhelm Fliess, and to the analysis of the number 2467 (p. 242 above) which (in 1901 and 1904) immediately preceded it. The hypothesis of Fliess's with which Freud had egotistic motives for disagreeing was that which predicted his death at the age of 51 in 1907—the year in which the passage was cancelled. See The Interpretation of Dreams (1900a), Standard Ed., 5, 439 n., and 513, and Ernest Jones's Life of Freud (1933, 341.)

[Footnote added 1920] Herr Rudolf Schneider (1920) of Munich has raised an interesting objection to the conclusiveness of such analyses of numbers. He experimented with numbers that were presented to him—for example, with the number that first caught his eye when he opened a history book—or he presented someone else with a number he had chosen; and he then noticed whether associations emerged to the imposed number which had the appearance of having determined it. This was in fact what did happen. In one instance which he relates that concerned himself, the associations provided determinants just as abundant and full of meaning as in our analyses of numbers that have arisen spontaneously, whereas the number in Schneider's experiments, having been presented from outside, called for no determinant. In a second experiment carried out on someone else, he clearly made the problem too easy, for the number he set him was 2, and everybody has some

auffällig: Erstens die geradezu somnambulische Sicherheit, mit der ich auf das mir unbekannte Ziel losgehe, mich in einen rechnenden Gedankengang versenke, der dann plötzlich bei der gesuchten Zahl angelangt ist, und die Raschheit, mit der sich die ganze Nacherbe vollzieht; zweitens aber der Umstand, daß die Zahlen meinen unbewussten Denken so bereitwillig zur Verfügung stehen, während ich ein schlechter Rechner bin und die größten Schwierigkeiten habe, mir Jahreszahlen, Hausnummern und dergleichen bewußt zu merken. Ich finde übrigens in diesen unbewusst gedankene Operationen mit Zahlen eine Neigung zum Aberglauben, deren Herkunft mir lange Zeit fremd geblieben ist.
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It will not surprise us\(^1\) to find that not only numbers but also verbal associations of another kind regularly prove on analytic investigation to be fully determined.

(8) A good example of the derivation of an obsessive word—a word that cannot be got rid of—is to be found in Jung (1906). A lady told me that for some days the word "Taganrog"\(^2\) had been constantly on her lips without her having any material which would enable him to find a determinant for that number.

From his experiments Schneider then draws two conclusions:
1. that the mind possesses the same potentialities for finding associations to numbers as to concepts; and secondly, that the emergence of determining associations to numbers that occur to the mind spontaneously does not in any way prove that these numbers originated from the thoughts discovered in the 'analysis' of them. The former conclusion is undoubtedly correct. It is just as easy to find an appropriate association to a number which is presented as it is to a word which is called out—indeed it is perhaps easier, since the ability of the few digits to form connections is particularly great. The situation in which one finds oneself is then simply that of what are called 'association experiments', which have been studied from the greatest variety of angles by the school of Bleuler and Jung. In this situation the association (reaction) is determined by the word presented (stimulus-word). This reaction could however still be of very diverse kinds, and Jung's experiments have shown that even these further distinctions are not left to 'chance', but that unconscious 'complexes' participate in the determination if they have been touched on by the stimulus-words.

Schneider's second conclusion goes too far. The fact that appropriate associations arise to numbers (or words) which are presented tells us nothing more about the origin of numbers (or words) which emerge spontaneously than could already be taken into consideration before that fact was known. These spontaneous ideas (words or numbers) may be undetermined, or may have been determined by the thoughts that come out in the analysis, or by other thoughts not disclosed in the analysis—in which last case the analysis will have led us astray. The important thing is to get rid of the impression that this problem is different for numbers from what it is for verbal associations. A critical examination of the problem and with it a justification of the psychoanalytic technique of association lie outside the scope of this book. In analytic practice we proceed on the presupposition that the second of the possibilities mentioned above meets the facts and that in the majority of instances use can be made of it. The investigations of an experimental psychologist (Poppelreuter [1914]) have demonstrated that it is by far the most probable one. See further in this connection the valuable findings in Section 9 of Bleuler's book on autistic thinking (1919).

---

1. [This sentence, and Examples 8 and 9, were added in 1912.]
2. [The name of a port in South Russia.]
Idea where it came from. I asked the lady for information about the affectively stressed events and repressed wishes of the very recent past. After some hesitation she told me that she would very much like a morning gown [Morgenrock], but her husband did not take the interest in it that she had hoped. "Morgenrock," "Tag-an-rock" [literally "day-on-gown"]—their partial similarity in sound and meaning is obvious. The Russian form was determined by the fact that at about the same time the lady had come to know someone from Taganrog.6

(9) I am indebted to Dr. E. Hirschmann for the elucidation of another case, in which in a particular locality a line of poetry repeatedly forced its way up as an association, without its origin and connections having been apparent.

6 Dr., a doctor of law, relates: Six years ago I travelled from Biarritz to San Sebastian. The railway line crosses the River Bidassoa, which at this point forms the frontier between France and Spain. From the bridge there is a fine view—one side, of a broad valley and the Pyrenees, and on the other, of the distant sea. It was a beautiful, bright summer’s day; everything was filled with sun and light. I was on my holiday travels and was happy to be coming to Spain. At that point the following lines occurred to me:

Aber frei ist schon die Seele,  
Schwebet in dem Meer von Licht.1

I recall that at the time I pondered on where the lines came from and could not recollect the place. To judge by the rhythm the words must have come from a poem, which, however, had entirely escaped my memory. I believe that later, when the lines came to my mind repeatedly, I asked a number of people about them without being able to learn anything.

Last year, when I was returning from Spain I passed over the same stretch of railway. It was a pitch-dark night and it was raining. I looked out of the window to see whether we were already coming into the frontier station, and noticed that we were on the Bidassoa bridge. Immediately the lines given above returned to my memory, and again I could not recall their origin.

Several months later when I was at home, I came across a

1 ["But the soul is already free, it floats in the sea of light."]
copy of Uhland’s poems. I opened the volume and my glance fell on the lines: “Aber frei ist schon die Seele, schwebet in dem Meer von Licht”, which form the conclusion of a poem called “Der Waller”. I read the poem and had a very dim recollection of having once known it many years ago. The scene of action is in Spain and this seemed to me to form the only connection between the quoted lines and the place on the railway line described by me. I was only half satisfied with my discovery and went on mechanically turning the pages of the book. The lines “Aber frei ist schon . . . ” etc. are printed at the bottom of a page. On turning over the page I found a poem on the other side with the title “Bidasso Bridge”.

I may add that the contents of this poem seemed almost more unfamiliar than those of its predecessor, and that its first lines run:

‘Auf der Bidassoabrilcke steht ein Heiliger altersgrau,
Segnet rechts die span’schen Berge, segnet links den fränk’schen Gau.’

(B) Perhaps the insight we have gained into the determining of names and numbers that are chosen with apparent arbitrariness may help to solve another problem. Many people, as is well known, contest the assumption of complete psychical determinism by appealing to a special feeling of conviction that there is a free will. This feeling of conviction exists; and it does not give way before a belief in determinism. Like every normal feeling it must have something to warrant it. But so far as I can observe, it does not manifest itself in the great and important decisions of the will: on these occasions the feeling that we have is rather one of psychical compulsion, and we are glad to invoke it on our behalf. (‘Here I stand: I can do no other.’) On

1 [‘The Pilgrim.’]
2 [‘On the Bidasso bridge there stands a saint grey with age: on the right he blesses the Spanish mountains, on the left he blesses the Frankish land.’]
3 [Except where otherwise specified, the whole of Sections B and C dates back to 1901.]
4 [Short references to free will occur in the paper on “The Uncanny” (1919b), Standard Ed., 17, 236, and in the third and sixth of the Introductory Lectures (1916-17).]
5 [Martin Luther’s declaration at the Diet of Worms.]
the other hand, it is precisely with regard to the unimportant, indifferent decisions that we would like to claim that we could just as well have acted otherwise: that we have acted of our free—and unmotivated—will. According to our analyses it is not necessary to dispute the right to the feeling of conviction of having a free will. If the distinction between conscious and unconscious motivation is taken into account, our feeling of conviction informs us that conscious motivation does not extend to all our motor decisions. De minimis non curat lex. But what is thus left free by the one side receives its motivation from the other side, from the unconscious; and in this way determination in the psychical sphere is still carried out without any gap.1

(C) Although the motivation of the parapraxies described in the preceding chapters is something of which from the very nature of the case conscious thought must lack knowledge, it would nevertheless be desirable to discover a psychological proof of the existence of that motivation; indeed, for reasons which a closer knowledge of the unconscious reveals, it is probable that such proofs are somewhere discoverable. There are in fact two spheres in which it is possible to demonstrate phenomena that appear to correspond to an unconscious, and therefore displaced, knowledge of that motivation.

1 [Footnote added 1907:] These conceptions of the strict determination of apparently arbitrary psychical acts have already borne rich fruit in psychology, and perhaps also in the juridical field. By applying them, Bleuler and Jung have made intelligible the reactions in what is known as the 'association experiment', in which the subject of the test, when he hears a word called out (the stimulus-word), answers it with one that comes to his mind in connection with it (the reaction), the intervening time being measured (the reaction-time). In his Studies in Word Association (1906), Jung has shown what a subtle reagent for psychical states we possess in the association experiment as thus interpreted. Wertheimer and Klein [1904], both pupils of Hans Gross, the Professor of Criminal Law in Prague, have developed out of these experiments a technique for the establishment of the facts in criminal proceedings which is at present being examined by psychologists and jurists. [Freud had himself recently written a paper on the subject (1906)]. Cf. some further remarks on Jung's association experiments in the Editor's Note to that paper, Standard Ed., 9, 100 f.—Hans Gross (1847-1915) is generally regarded as one of the founders of modern scientific criminal investigation.]
(a) A striking and generally observed feature of the behaviour of paranoics is that they attach the greatest significance to the minor details of other people's behaviour which we ordinarily neglect, interpret them and make them the basis of far-reaching conclusions. For example, the last paranoic seen by me concluded that there was a general understanding in his environment, because when his train was moving out of the station the people had made a particular movement with one hand. Another noted the way people walked in the street, how they flourished their walking sticks, and so on.¹

The category of what is accidental and requires no motivation, in which the normal person includes a part of his own psychological performances and parapraxes, is thus rejected by the paranoic as far as the psychical manifestations of other people are concerned. Everything he observes in other people is full of significance, everything can be interpreted. How does he reach this position? Probably here as in so many similar cases he projects on to the mental life of other people what is unconsciously present in his own. In paranoia many sorts of things force their way through to consciousness whose presence in the unconscious of normal and neurotic people we can demonstrate only through psycho-analysis.² In a certain sense, therefore, the paranoic is justified in this, for he recognizes something that escapes the normal person: he sees more clearly than someone of normal intellectual capacity, but the displacement on to other people of the state of affairs which he recognizes renders his knowledge worthless. I hope I shall not now be expected to justify the various paranoic interpretations. But the partial justification which we concede to paranoia in respect of this

¹ From other points of view this interpretation of immaterial and accidental indications given by other people has been classed as a 'delusion of reference'.

² For example, the phantasies of hysterics concerning sexual and cruel maltreatment correspond, at times even down to details, with the complaints of persecuted paranoics. It is curious, but not unintelligible, that we meet the identical content in the form of reality in the contrivances of pervers for the satisfaction of their desires. [Cf. the discussion of this in the 'Dora' case history (Standard Ed., 7, 47–52), which had been written before the publication of the present work but did not appear till four years later (1905e). The substance of this was repeated in a footnote to the first of Freud's Three Essays (1905d), Standard Ed., 7, 165–6.]

---

XII. Determinismus, Zufalls- und Aberglauben, Gesichtspunkte

(a) Es ist ein auffälliger und allgemein bemerkter Zug im Verhalten der Paranoiker, daß sie den kleinen, sonst von uns vernachlässigten Details im Benehmen der anderen die größte Bedeutung beilegen, dieselben ausdeuten und zur Grundlage weitgehender Schlüsse machen. Der letzte Paranoiker z. B., den ich gesehen habe, schloß auf ein allgemeines Einverständnis in seiner Umgebung, weil die Leute bei seiner Abreise auf dem Bahnhof eine gewisse Bewegung mit der einen Hand gemacht hatten. Ein anderer hat die Art notiert, wie die Leute auf der Straße gehen, mit den Spazierstöcken fuchtern u. dgl.³

Die Kategorie des Zufälligen, der Motivierung nicht Bedürftigen, derselbe der Normale für einen Teil seiner eigenen psychischen Leistungen und Fehlleistungen gelten läßt, verwirrt der Paranoiker also in der Anwendung auf die psychischen Äußerungen der anderen. Alles, was er an den anderen bemerkt, ist bedeutungsvoll, alles ist deutbar. Wie kommt er nur dazu? Er projiziert wahrhaftig in das Seelenleben der anderen, was im eigenen unbewußt vorhanden ist, hier wie in so vielen ähnlichen Fällen. In der Paranoia drängt sich ebenso vielerlei zum Bewußten durch, was wir bei Normalen und Neurotikern erst durch die Psychoanalyse als im Unbewußten vorhanden nachweisen.⁴ Der Paranoiker hat also hierin in gewissem Sinne recht, er erkennt etwas, was dem Normalen entgeht, er sieht schärfer als das normale Denkvermögen, aber die Verschiebung des so erkannten Sachverhalts auf andere macht seine Erkenntnis wertlos. Die Rechtfertigung der einzelnen paranoischen Deutungen wird man dann hoffentlich von mir nicht erwartet. Das Stück Berechtigung aber, welches wir der Paranoia bei dieser Auffassung der Zufallsphänomene

³ Von anderen Gesichtspunkten ausgehend, hat man diese Beurteilung unweigerlicher und zufälliger Äußerungen bei anderen zum 'Beziehungswahn' gerechnet.

⁴ Die durch Analyse bewußt zu machenden Phantasien der Hysteriker von sexuellen und grausamen Mißhandlungen decken sich z. B. gelegentlich bis ins Einzelne mit den Klagen verzogter Paranoiker. Es ist bemerkenswert, aber nicht unverständlich, wenn der identische Inhalt uns auch als Realität in den Veranstaltungen Fertvers vers ein Befriedigung ihrer Geläste entgegentritt.
view taken by it of chance actions will help us towards a psychological understanding of the sense of conviction that the pre-narcissistic attaches to all these interpretations. *There is in fact some truth in them;* those, too, of our errors of judgement which are not to be counted as pathological acquire their sense of conviction in just the same way. This feeling is justified for a certain part of the erroneous train of thought, or for its source of origin; and it is then extended by us to the rest of the context.

(b) Another indication that we possess unconscious and displaced knowledge of the motivation in chance actions and para-praxes is to be found in the phenomenon of superstition. I will make my meaning clear by a discussion of the small experience that started me on these reflections.

On my return from my holidays my thoughts immediately turned to the patients who were to claim my attention in the year's work that was just beginning. My first visit was to a very old lady for whom I had for many years performed the same professional services twice every day (p. 164 [and 177]). Owing to the uniformity of the circumstances, unconscious thoughts have very often managed to find expression while I was on my way to the patient and while I was treating her. She is over ninety years old; it is therefore natural to ask oneself at the beginning of each year's treatment how much longer she is likely to live. On the day I am speaking about I was in a hurry and called a cab to take me to her house. Every cabman on the rank in front of my house knew the old lady's address, as they had all often taken me there. But on this day it happened that

1 [The notion of there being a core of truth in paranoid delusions has a long course of development in Freud's writings. It had already appeared, in a rather different form, in the last few paragraphs of his second paper on 'The Neuro-Psychoses of Defence' (1896a). Among its later appearances may be mentioned one in the *Gradiva* essay (1907a), *Standard Ed.*, 9, 80 and another, which seems to follow closely some of the present discussions, in the paper on 'Some Neurotic Mechanisms' (1922a), ibid., 18, 226. In Freud's latest writings the idea was extended. He now applied the notion of a historical core of truth firstly to myths, in the paper on the origin of fire (1932a), and then to religion, in *Moses and Monotheism* (1939a), Essay III, Part I, Section D and Part II, Section G. The subject is also treated, from a more clinical angle, in Section III of the paper on 'Constructions in Analysis' (1937d). In these later discussions Freud elaborates a distinction between 'material' and 'historical' truth. Cf. also 1950a, Letter 57.]
the cabman did not draw up in front of her house but in front of a house with the same number in a nearby street which ran parallel and was in fact of a similar appearance. I noticed the error and reproached the cabman with it, and he apologized. Now is it of any significance that I was driven to a house where the old lady was not to be found? Certainly not to me, but if I were superstitious I should see an omen in the incident, the finger of fate announcing that this year would be the old lady's last. Very many omens recorded by history have been based on a symbolism no better than this. I of course explain the occurrence as an accident without any further meaning.

The case would have been quite different if I had made the journey on foot, and while 'deep in thought', or through 'absent-mindedness' had come to the house in the parallel street instead of the right one. This I should not explain as an accident but as an action that had an unconscious aim and required interpretation. My interpretation of 'going astray' like this would probably have had to be that I did not expect to see the old lady for much longer.

I am therefore different from a superstitious person in the following way:

I do not believe that an event in whose occurrence my mental life plays no part can teach me any hidden thing about the future shape of reality; but I believe that an unintentional manifestation of my own mental activity does on the other hand disclose something hidden, though again it is something that belongs only to my mental life [not to external reality]. I believe in external (real) chance, it is true, but not in internal (psychical) accidental events. With the superstitious person it is the other way round. He knows nothing of the motivation of his chance actions and parapraxes, and believes in psychical accidental events; and, on the other hand, he has a tendency to ascribe to external chance happenings a meaning which will become manifest in real events, and to regard such chance happenings as a means of expressing something that is hidden from him in the external world. The differences between myself and the superstitious person are two: first, he projects outwards a motivation which I look for within; secondly, he interprets chance as due to an event, while I trace it back to a thoughts. But what is hidden from him corresponds to what is unconscious.


Ich unterscheide mich also von einem Aberglaubischen in folgendem:

Ich glaube nicht, daß ein Ereignis, an dessen Zustandekommen mein Seelenleben unbeteiligt ist, mir etwas Verborgenes über die zukünftige Gestaltung der Realität lehren kann; ich glaube aber, daß eine unbeabsichtigte Äußerung meiner eigenen Seelentätigkeit mir allerdings etwas Verborgenes enthüllt, was wiederum nur meinem Seelenleben angehört; ich glaube zwar an äußeren (realen) Zufall, aber nicht an innere (psychische) Zufälligkeit. Der Aberglaubische umgekehrt: er weiß nichts von der Motivierung seiner zufälligen Handlungen und Fehlleistungen, es glaubt, daß es psychische Zufälligkeiten gibt; dafür ist er geneigt, dem äußeren Zufall eine Bedeutung zuzuschreiben, die sich im realen Geschehen äußern wird, im Zufall ein Ausdrucksmittel für etwas draußen ihm Verborgenes zu sehen. Die Unterschiede zwischen mir und dem Aberglaubischen sind zwei: erstens projiziert er eine Motivierung nach außen, die ich innen suche; zweitens deutet er den Zufall durch ein Geschehen, den ich auf einen Gedanken zurückführe. Aber das Verborgene bei ihm entspricht dem Unbewußten.
for me, and the compulsion not to let chance count as chance but to interpret it is common to both of us.¹

I assume that this conscious ignorance and unconscious knowledge of the motivation of accidental psychical events is one of the psychical roots of superstition. Because the superstitious person knows nothing of the motivation of his own chance actions, and because the fact of this motivation presses for a place in his field of recognition, he is forced to allocate it, by displacement, to the external world. If such a connection exists, it can hardly be limited to this single application. In point of fact I believe that a large part of the mythological view of the world, which extends a long way into the most modern religions, is nothing but psychology projected into the external world. The obscure recognition² (the endopsychic perception, as it were)³ of psychical factors and relations in the unconscious is mirrored— it is difficult to express it in other terms, and here the analogy

¹ [Footnote added 1924:] At this point I may quote a neat example which Ossipow (1922) has used for discussing the difference between the superstitious, psycho-analytic and mystical points of view. He had been married in a small Russian provincial town and immediately afterwards started for Moscow with his young wife. At a station two hours before his destination he had a wish to go to the station exit and take a look at the town. The train was due to halt there long enough, so he thought, but when he returned a few minutes later it had already left with his young wife. When he told his old nurse at home of this accident, she shook her head and declared: 'No good will come of this marriage.' At the time Ossipow laughed at this prophecy. But when, five months later, he was separated from his wife, he could not avoid introspection viewing his action in leaving the train as an 'unconscious protest' against his marriage. Years later, the town where this parapraxis happened took on a great importance for him, as a person lived there with whom fate later linked him closely. This person, and even the fact of this person's existence, had been completely unknown to him at the time. But the 'mytical' explanation of his behaviour would be that he had left the Moscow train and his wife at that town because the future that was in store for him in relation to this other person was seeking to declare itself.

² A recognition which, of course, has nothing of the character of a [true] recognition.

³ [The words in parenthesis were added in 1907. Freud refers to the passage in the theoretical section of the 'Rat Man' case history (1909d), Standard Ed., 10, 231–2.—He had made a similar suggestion under this name of 'endopsychic myths' in a letter to Fliess of December 12, 1897 (Freud, 1950a, Letter 78).]
with paranoia must come to our aid—in the construction of a
supernatural reality, which is destined to be changed back once
more by science into the psychology of the unconscious. One could
venture to explain in this way the myths of paradise and the fall
of man, of God, of good and evil, of immortality, and so on, and
to transform metaphysics into metapsychology.\(^1\) The gap between
the paranoic's displacement and that of the superstitious person
is less wide than it appears at first sight. When human beings
began to think, they were, as is well known, forced to explain
the external world anthropomorphically by means of a multi-
tude of personalities in their own image; chance events, which
they interpreted superstitiously, were thus actions and manifesta-
tions of persons. They behaved, therefore, just like paranoics,
who draw conclusions from insignificant signs given them by
other people, and just like all normal people, who quite rightly
base their estimate of their neighbours' characters on their
chance and unintentional actions. It is only in our modern,
scientific but as yet by no means perfected Weltanschauung that
superstition seems so very much out of place; in the Welt-
anschauung of pre-scientific times and peoples it was justified and
consistent.\(^2\)

The Roman who gave up an important undertaking if he
saw an ill-omened flight of birds was therefore in a relative
sense justified; his behaviour was consistent with his premises.
But if he withdrew from the undertaking because he had
stumbled on the threshold of his door ("un Romain retournerait")
he was also in an absolute sense superior to us unbelievers; he
was a better psychologist than we are striving to be. For his
stumbling must have revealed to him the existence of a doubt,
a counter-current at work within him, whose force might at the
moment of execution subtract from the force of his intention.

1 [This was the first published appearance of the word. Freud did not
use it again for fourteen years—in 'The Unconscious' (1915e), Standard
Ed., 14, 181. He had, however, originally introduced it in a letter to
Fliess of February 13, 1896 (Freud, 1950a, Letter 41).]

2 [Freud's views on the part played by projection in superstition,
paranoia and the origins of religion were developed in the case histories
of the 'Rat Man' (1909a), Standard Ed., 19, 229-36, and of Schreber
(1911c), ibid., 12, 66; and in Totem and Taboo (1912-13), ibid., 13, 61
and 92 ff.]

3 [This apparent quotation has not been traced.]
260 PSYCHOPATHOLOGY OF EVERYDAY LIFE

For we are only sure of complete success if all our mental forces are united in striving towards the desired goal. How did Schiller's Tell, who hesitated so long to shoot the apple on his son's head, answer the Governor's question why he had provided himself with a second arrow?

Mit diesem zweiten Pfeil durchschoss ich — Euch,
Wenn ich mein liebes Kind getroffen hätte,
Und Euer — wahrlich, hätte ich nicht gefehlt. 1

(D) Anyone who has had the opportunity of studying the hidden mental impulses of human beings by means of psychoanalysis can also say something new about the quality of the unconscious motives that find expression in superstition. It can be recognized most clearly in neurotics suffering from obsessional thinking or obsessional states—people who are often of high intelligence—that superstition derives from suppressed hostile and cruel impulses. 2 Superstition is in large part the expectation of trouble; and a person who has harboured frequent evil wishes against others, but has been brought up to be good and has therefore repressed such wishes into the unconscious, will be especially ready to expect punishment for his unconscious wickedness in the form of trouble threatening him from without.

Though we admit that these remarks of ours in no way exhaust the psychology of superstition, we shall at least have to touch on the question of whether we are to deny entirely that

vollen Erfolges ist man nämlich nur dann sicher, wenn alle Seelenkräfte einig dem gewünschten Ziel entgegenstreben. Wie antwortet Schillers Tell, der so lange gezaudert, den Pfeil vom Haupte seines Knaben zu schießen, auf die Frage des Voga, wozu er den zweiten Pfeil eingesteckt?

Mit diesem Pfeil durchbohr' ich — Euch,
Wenn ich mein liebes Kind getroffen hätte,
Und Euer — wahrlich — hät' ich nicht gefehlt.

(D) Wer die Gelegenheit gehabt hat, die verborgenen Seelenzustände der Menschen mit dem Mittel der Psychoanalyse zu studieren, der kann auch über die Qualität der unbewußten Motive, die sich im Aberglauben ausdrücken, einiges Neue sagen. Am deutlichsten erkennt man bei den oft sehr intelligenten, mit Zwangshandeln und Zwangszuständen behafteten Nervösen, daß der Aberglaube aus unterdrückten feindeligen und grausamen Regungen hervorgeht. Aberglaube ist zum großen Teile Unheilverwaltung, und wer anderen häufig Böses gewünscht, aber infolge der Erziehung zur Güte solche Wünsche ins Unbewußte verdrängt hat, dem wird es besonders nahe liegen, die Strafe für solches unbewußte Böse als ein ihm drohendes Unheil von außen zu erwarten.

Wenn wir zugeben, daß wir die Psychologie des Aberglaubens mit diesen Bemerkungen keineswegs erschöpft haben, so werden wir auf der anderen Seite die Frage wenigstens streifen müssen,
superstition has any real roots: whether there are definitely no such things as true presentiments, prophetic dreams, telepathic experiences, manifestations of supernatural forces and the like. I am far from meaning to pass so sweeping a condemnation of these phenomena, of which so many detailed observations have been made even by men of outstanding intellect, and which it would be best to make the subject of further investigations. We may even hope that some portion of these observations will then be explained by our growing recognition of unconscious mental processes, without necessitating radical alterations in the views we hold to-day. If the existence of still other phenomena—those, for example, claimed by spiritualists—were to be established, we should merely set about modifying our 'laws' in the way demanded by the new discovery, without being shaken in our belief in the coherence of things in the world.

In the compass of these discussions the only answer I can give to the questions raised here is a subjective one—that is, one in accordance with my personal experience. To my regret I must confess that I am one of those unworthy people in whose presence spirits suspend their activity and the supernatural vanishes away, so that I have never been in a position to experience anything myself which might arouse a belief in the miraculous. Like every human being, I have had presentiments and experienced trouble, but the two failed to coincide with one another, so that nothing followed the presentiments, and the trouble came upon me unannounced. During the days when I was living alone in a foreign city—I was a young man at the time—I often heard my name suddenly called by an unmistakable and beloved voice; I then noted down the exact moment of the hallucination and made anxious enquiries of those at home about what had happened at that time. Nothing had happened. To balance this, there was a later occasion when I went on working with my patients without any disturbance or foreboding while one of my children was in danger of bleeding to death. Nor have I ever been able to regard any of the presentiments reported to me by patients as veridical. -I must however confess that in the last few years I have had a few

1 [Footnote added 1924:] Sec Hitzchmann (1910 and 1916).

2 [The reference is to Freud's stay in Paris in 1885-6.]
remarkable experiences which might easily have been explained on the hypothesis of telepathic thought-transference.¹

Belief in prophetic dreams can claim many adherents, because it can take support from the fact that a number of things do in reality turn out in the future in the way in which the wish had previously arranged them in the dream.² But there is little that is surprising in that, and as a rule, too, there are extensive differences between the dream and its fulfilment, which the dreamer's credulity prefers to neglect. A good example of a dream which may justly be called prophetic was once brought to me for detailed analysis by an intelligent and truthful woman patient. Her story was that she had once dreamt she met a former friend of hers and family doctor in front of a certain shop in a certain street; and that when next morning she went into the Inner Town [p. 137] she in fact met him at the very spot named in the dream. I may observe that no subsequent event proved the importance of this miraculous coincidence,³ which could not therefore be accounted for by what lay in the future.

Careful questioning established that there was no evidence of her having had any recollection of the dream on the morning after she dreamt it—that is, until after her walk and the meeting. She could produce no objection to an account of what happened which robbed the episode of anything miraculous and left nothing but an interesting psychological problem. She was walking along the street in question one morning and met her old family doctor in front of a particular shop, and thereupon, on seeing him, she felt convinced that she had dreamt the night before of having this meeting at that precise spot. Analysis was then able to show with great probability how she arrived at this sense of conviction, which, according to general

¹ [The last sentence was added in 1924.—Freud wrote a good deal on the subject of telepathy at this time; the posthumous paper on 'Psycho-Analysis and Telepathy' (1941d/1921), the note on 'The Occult Significance of Dreams' (1925), and the chapter on 'Dreams and Occultism' in the New Introductory Lectures (1933a), in addition to the paper quoted by him in his next footnote.]

² [Footnote added 1924: See my paper on 'Dreams and Telepathy' (1922a).]

³ [The German 'Zusammentreffen' means both 'coincidence' and meeting.]

habe, die durch die Annahme telepathischer Gedankenübertragung leichte Anerkennung gefunden hätten.

Der Glaube an prophetische Träume zählt viele Anhänger, weil er sich darauf stützen kann, daß manches sich wirklich in der Zukunft so gestaltet, wie es der Wunsch im Traume vorher konstruiert hat.¹ Allein daran ist wenig zu verwundern, und zwischen dem Traum und der Erfüllung lassen sich in der Regel keine durchaus gleichartigen Übereinstimmungen nachweisen, welche die Gläubigkeit der Träumer zu vernachlässigen ließ. Ein schönes Beispiel eines mit Recht prophethisch zu neunenden Traumes bot mir einmal eine intelligente und wahrheitsliebende Patientin zur genauen Analyse. Sie erzählte, daß sie einmal geträumt, sie traf ihren früheren Freund und Hausarzt vor einem bestimmten Laden einer gewissen Straße, und als sie am nächsten Morgen in die innere Stadt ging, traf sie ihn wirklich an der im Traume genannten Stelle. Ich bemerkte, daß dieses wunderbare Zusammentreffen seine Bedeutung durch kein nachfolgendes Erlebnis erwies, also nicht aus dem Zukunftigen zu rechtfertigen war.

Das sorgfältige Examen stellte fest, daß kein Beweis dafür vorliege, die Dame habe den Traum bereits am Morgen nach der Traumnacht, also vor dem Spaziergang und der Begegnung, erinnert. Sie konnte nichts gegen eine Darstellung des Sachverhaltes einwenden, die der Begebenheit alles Wunderbare nimmt und nur ein interessantes psychologisches Problem übrig läßt. Sie ist eines Vormittags durch die gewisse Straße gegangen, hat vor dem einen Laden ihren alten Hausarzt begegnet und nun bei seinem Anblick die Überzeugung bekommen, daß sie die letzte Nacht von diesem Zusammentreffen an der nämlichen Stelle geträumt habe. Die Analyse konnte dann mit größer Wahrscheinlichkeit andeuten, wie sie zu dieser Überzeugung gekommen war, welcher man ja nach allgemeinen Regeln ein gewisses Anrecht

rules, cannot fairly be denied a certain right to be considered authentic. A meeting at a particular place, which has been expected beforehand, amounts in fact to a rendezvous. The old family doctor awakened her memory of former days, when meetings with a third person, also a friend of the doctor, had played a very important part in her life. Since then she had continued her relations with that gentleman and had waited for him in vain on the day before the dream was supposed to have taken place. If I were able to report the circumstances of the case in greater detail it would be easy for me to show that her illusion, when she saw her friend of former days, of having had a prophetic dream was equivalent to some such remark as this; 'Ahl doctor—you remind me now of past times when I never had to wait in vain for N, if we'd arranged a meeting.'

The 'remarkable coincidence' of meeting a person we were at that very moment thinking about is a familiar one. I have observed a simple and easily explained example of it in myself, which is probably a good model for similar occurrences. A few days after I had been awarded the title of professor—which carries considerable authority with it in countries under monarchical rule—my thoughts, while I was walking through the Inner Town, suddenly turned to a childish phantasy of revenge directed against a particular married couple. Some months earlier they had called me in to see their little daughter, who had developed an interesting obsessional symptom following upon a dream. I took a great interest in the case, whose genesis I thought I understood. My offer of treatment was however declined by her parents and I was given to understand that they thought of changing over to a foreign authority who effected cures by hypnotism. My present phantasy was that after the total failure of that attempt the parents begged me to start my treatment, saying that now they had complete confidence in me, and so on. I however answered: 'Yes, now you have confidence in me—now that I too have become a professor. The title has done nothing to alter my capacities; if you

---

1 [This incident is reported in much greater detail in Freud's posthumously published paper 'A Premonitory Dream Fulfilled' (1941c), *Standard Ed.*, 5, 623, the manuscript of which is dated November 10, 1899.]

2 [In March, 1902.]
could not make use of me as a university lecturer you can do without me as a professor as well.'—At this point my phantasy was interrupted by a loud 'Good day to you, Professor!' and I looked up and saw walking past me the very married couple on whom I had just taken my revenge by rejecting their offer. Immediate reflection destroyed the impression of something miraculous. I had been walking towards the couple along a wide, straight and almost deserted street; when I was about twenty paces from them I had glanced up for a moment and caught a glimpse of their impressive figures and recognized them, but had set the perception aside—on the pattern of a negative hallucination—for the emotional reasons which then took effect in the phantasy that arose with apparent spontaneity.1

Here is another 'resolution of an apparent presentiment', this time from Otto Rank (1912):2

'Some time ago I myself experienced an unusual variation of the "remarkable coincidence" of meeting someone one was at the very moment thinking about. Shortly before Christmas I was on my way to the Austro-Hungarian Bank to get some change in the form of ten new silver kronen for giving as presents. While I was absorbed in ambitious phantasies which had to do with the contrast between my small assets and the piles of money stored in the bank building, I turned into a narrow street in which the bank stood. I saw a car standing at the door and many people going in and out. I said to myself: No doubt the cashiers will have time even for my few kronen. In any case I shall be quick about it. I shall put down the banknote I want changed and say "Let me have gold, please". I immediately noticed my error—I should, of course, have asked for silver—and awoke from my phantasies. I was now only a few steps from the entrance and saw a young man coming towards me whom I thought I recognized, but as I am shortsighted I was not yet able to identify him for certain. As he drew nearer I recognized him as a school-friend of my brother's called Gold. Gold's brother was a well-known writer from whom I had expected considerable help at the beginning of my life.'

1 [Cf. similar occurrences in the case of the 'Rat Man' (1909d), Standard Ed., 10, 231 and 270.]
2 [Added 1912.]
literary career. This help, however, had not been forthcoming, and in consequence I failed to win the material success I had hoped for, which had been the subject of my phantasy on the way to the bank. While I was absorbed in my phantasies, therefore, I must have unconsciously perceived the approach of Herr Gold; and this was represented in my consciousness (which was dreaming of material success) in such a form that I decided to ask for gold at the counter, instead of the less valuable silver. On the other hand, however, the paradoxical fact that my unconscious is able to perceive an object which my eyes can recognize only later seems partly to be explained by what Bleuler [1910] terms “complexive preparedness [Complexbereitschaft]”. This was, as we have seen, directed to material matters and had from the beginning, contrary to my better knowledge, directed my steps to the building where only gold and paper money is changed.

We must also include in the category of the miraculous and the 'uncanny' the peculiar feeling we have, in certain moments and situations, of having had exactly the same experience once before or of having once before been in the same place, though our efforts never succeed in clearly remembering the previous occasion that announces itself in this way. I am aware that I am merely following loose linguistic usage when I call what arises in a person at such moments a 'feeling'. What is no doubt in question is a judgement, and, more precisely, a perceptual judgement; but these cases have nevertheless a character quite of their own, and we must not leave out of account the fact that what is looked for is never remembered. I do not know whether this phenomenon of "déjà vu" has ever been seriously offered in proof of an individual's previous psychical existence; but psychologists have certainly turned their attention to it and have endeavoured to solve the problem in a whole variety of speculative ways. None of the attempted explanations which they have brought forward seems to me to be correct, because none of them takes into consideration anything other than the concomitant manifestations and the conditions which favour the phenomenon. Those psychical processes which according to my observations are alone responsible for the explanation of

---

[What follows from here up to the words 'a wish to improve the situation' on p. 267 dates from 1907.]
'déjà vu'—namely, unconscious phantasies—are still generally neglected by psychologists even to-day.

It is in my view wrong to call the feeling of having experienced something before an illusion. It is rather that at such moments something is really touched on which we have already experienced once before, only we cannot consciously remember it because it has never been conscious. To put it briefly, the feeling of 'déjà vu' corresponds to the recollection of an unconscious phantasy. There exist unconscious phantasies (or day-dreams) just as there exist conscious creations of the same kind which everybody knows from his own experience.

I know that the subject would merit the most exhaustive treatment; but I shall here do no more than give the analysis of a single case of 'déjà vu' where the feeling was characterized by especial intensity and persistence. A lady who is now thirty-seven claimed to have a most distinct memory of having at the age of twelve and a half paid her first visit to some school-friends in the country. When she entered the garden, she had an immediate feeling of having been there before. This feeling was repeated when she went into the reception rooms, so that she felt she knew in advance what room would be the next one, what view there would be from it, and so on. But the possibility that this feeling of familiarity could have owed its origin to an earlier visit to the house and garden, perhaps one in her earliest childhood, was absolutely ruled out and was disproved as a result of her questioning her parents. The lady who reported this was not in search of any psychological explanation, but saw the occurrence of this feeling as a prophetic indication of the significance for her emotional life which these same school-friends later acquired. However, a consideration of the circumstances in which the phenomenon occurred in her shows us the way to another view of the matter. At the time when she paid the visit she knew that these girls had an only brother, who was seriously ill. During the visit she actually set eyes on him, though he looked very ill and she said to herself that he would die soon. Now, her own only brother had been dangerously ill with diphtheria a few months earlier; during his illness she had spent several weeks away from her parents' house, staying with a relative. She believed that her brother had been with her on this visit to the country; she even thought it had been his first
considerable journey after his illness; but her memory was remarkably uncertain on these points while of all the other details, and in particular of the dress she was wearing that day, she had an ultra-clear picture. [Cf. footnote, p. 13.] Anyone who is well-informed will find no difficulty in concluding from these hints that the expectation that her brother would die had at that time played an important part in the girl's thoughts and either had never become conscious or, after the favourable termination of the illness, had succumbed to energetic repression. If things had turned out otherwise, she would have had the danger of dying soon, and fell a victim to the "fausse reconnaissance", which was prevented by repression—she transferred her feeling of remembering something to her surroundings, the garden and the house, and fell a victim to the 'fausse reconnaissance' of having seen all this exactly the same once before. From the fact that repression occurred we may conclude that her former expectation of her brother's death had not been far removed from a wishful phantasy. She would then have been the only child. In her later neurosis she suffered most severely from a fear of losing her parents, behind which, as usual, analysis was able to reveal the unaccountable feeling of familiarity is to be traced to from a fear of losing her parents, behind which, as usual, analysis was able to reveal the unaccountable feeling of familiarity is to be traced to the home of her friends, whose only brother was in danger of dying soon, as in fact he did shortly after. She ought to have remembered consciously that she herself had lived through this situation a few months before: instead of remembering it—which was prevented by repression—she transferred her feeling of remembering something to her surroundings, the garden and the house, and fell a victim to the 'fausse reconnaissance' of having seen all this exactly the same once before.

From the fact that repression occurred we may conclude that her former expectation of her brother's death had not been far removed from a wishful phantasy. She would then have been the only child. In her later neurosis she suffered most severely from a fear of losing her parents, behind which, as usual, analysis was able to reveal the unaccountable feeling of familiarity is to be traced to the home of her friends, whose only brother was in danger of dying soon, as in fact he did shortly after. She ought to have remembered consciously that she herself had lived through this situation a few months before: instead of remembering it—which was prevented by repression—she transferred her feeling of remembering something to her surroundings, the garden and the house, and fell a victim to the 'fausse reconnaissance' of having seen all this exactly the same once before.

From the fact that repression occurred we may conclude that her former expectation of her brother's death had not been far removed from a wishful phantasy. She would then have been the only child. In her later neurosis she suffered most severely from a fear of losing her parents, behind which, as usual, analysis was able to reveal the unaccountable feeling of familiarity is to be traced to the home of her friends, whose only brother was in danger of dying soon, as in fact he did shortly after. She ought to have remembered consciously that she herself had lived through this situation a few months before: instead of remembering it—which was prevented by repression—she transferred her feeling of remembering something to her surroundings, the garden and the house, and fell a victim to the 'fausse reconnaissance' of having seen all this exactly the same once before.

From the fact that repression occurred we may conclude that her former expectation of her brother's death had not been far removed from a wishful phantasy. She would then have been the only child. In her later neurosis she suffered most severely from a fear of losing her parents, behind which, as usual, analysis was able to reveal the unaccountable feeling of familiarity is to be traced to the home of her friends, whose only brother was in danger of dying soon, as in fact he did shortly after. She ought to have remembered consciously that she herself had lived through this situation a few months before: instead of remembering it—which was prevented by repression—she transferred her feeling of remembering something to her surroundings, the garden and the house, and fell a victim to the 'fausse reconnaissance' of having seen all this exactly the same once before.

From the fact that repression occurred we may conclude that her former expectation of her brother's death had not been far removed from a wishful phantasy. She would then have been the only child. In her later neurosis she suffered most severely from a fear of losing her parents, behind which, as usual, analysis was able to reveal the unaccountable feeling of familiarity is to be traced to the home of her friends, whose only brother was in danger of dying soon, as in fact he did shortly after. She ought to have remembered consciously that she herself had lived through this situation a few months before: instead of remembering it—which was prevented by repression—she transferred her feeling of remembering something to her surroundings, the garden and the house, and fell a victim to the 'fausse reconnaissance' of having seen all this exactly the same once before.

From the fact that repression occurred we may conclude that her former expectation of her brother's death had not been far removed from a wishful phantasy. She would then have been the only child. In her later neurosis she suffered most severely from a fear of losing her parents, behind which, as usual, analysis was able to reveal the unaccountable feeling of familiarity is to be traced to the home of her friends, whose only brother was in danger of dying soon, as in fact he did shortly after. She ought to have remembered consciously that she herself had lived through this situation a few months before: instead of remembering it—which was prevented by repression—she transferred her feeling of remembering something to her surroundings, the garden and the house, and fell a victim to the 'fausse reconnaissance' of having seen all this exactly the same once before.

From the fact that repression occurred we may conclude that her former expectation of her brother's death had not been far removed from a wishful phantasy. She would then have been the only child. In her later neurosis she suffered most severely from a fear of losing her parents, behind which, as usual, analysis was able to reveal the unaccountable feeling of familiarity is to be traced to the home of her friends, whose only brother was in danger of dying soon, as in fact he did shortly after. She ought to have remembered consciously that she herself had lived through this situation a few months before: instead of remembering it—which was prevented by repression—she transferred her feeling of remembering something to her surroundings, the garden and the house, and fell a victim to the 'fausse reconnaissance' of having seen all this exactly the same once before.
unconscious phantasies of which one is unconsciously reminded in a situation of the present time. With one of my patients what happened was apparently something different, but in reality it was quite analogous. This feeling returned to him very often, but it regularly proved to have originated from a forgotten (repressed) portion of a dream of the preceding night. It seems therefore that "déjà vu" can derive not only from day-dreams but from night-dreams as well."

I have later learnt that Grasset (1904) has given an explanation of the phenomenon which comes very close to my own.\(^1\)

In 1913 I wrote a short paper describing another phenomenon that is very similar to "déjà vu" [1914d]. This is "déjà raconté", the illusion of having already reported something of special interest when it comes up in the course of psycho-analytic treatment. On these occasions the patient maintains with every sign of subjective certainty that he has already recounted a particular memory a long time ago. The physician is however sure of the contrary and is as a rule able to convince the patient of his error. The explanation of this interesting parapraxis is probably that the patient has felt an urge to communicate this information and intended to do so, but has failed to carry it into effect, and that he now takes the memory of the former as a substitute for the latter, the carrying out of his intention.

A similar state of affairs, and probably also the same mechanism, is to be seen in what Ferenczi (1915) has called 'supposed' parapraxes. We believe there is something—some object—that we have forgotten or mislaid or lost; but we are able to convince ourselves we have done nothing of the kind and that everything is as it should be. For example, a woman patient returns to the doctor's room, giving as a reason that she wants to collect the umbrella she has left behind there; but the doctor sees that she is in fact holding it in her hand.\(^2\) There was therefore an impulse towards this parapraxis, and the impulse was sufficient to serve as a substitute for its actual execution. Except

\(^1\) [A special case of "déjà vu", with a quite different explanation, will be found mentioned in a passage added in 1909 to The Interpretation of Dreams (1900a), Standard Ed., 5, 399. For a discussion of an allied phenomenon—that of "depersonalization"—see Freud's paper on 'A Disturbance of Memory on the Acropolis' (1935a).]

\(^2\) [The last two paragraphs in this section were added in 1924.]

\(^3\) [This example is taken from Ferenczi's paper.]
for this difference, the supposed parapraxis is equivalent to the real one. It is, however, what one might call cheaper.

(E) When I recently had occasion to report some examples of the forgetting of names, with their analyses, to a colleague with a philosophical education, he hastened to reply: 'That's all very well; but in my case the forgetting of names happens differently.' The matter can obviously not be dealt with as easily as this; I do not suppose that my colleague had ever before thought of analysing the forgetting of a name, nor could he say how it happened differently in his case. But his comment nevertheless touches on a problem which many people of the kind here demonstrated is only rarely found; for every connection has been clearly shown to exist just as in the examples reported, or there have at least been good grounds for supposing that it did. It is not surprising if success in finding the hidden meaning of a symptomatic act is not achieved every time, for the magnitude of the internal resistances opposing the solution comes into account as a deciding factor. Equally, it is not possible to interpret every single dream of one's own or of one's patients; to prove that the theory holds good in general it is enough if one can penetrate a part of the way into the hidden connection. It often happens that a dream which proves refractory during an attempt to solve it the next day will allow its secret to be wrested from it a week or a month later, after a real change has come about in the meantime and has reduced the contending psychical values. The same applies to the solving of parapraxes and symptomatic acts. The example of

1 [The first two paragraphs in this section date back to 1901.]
2 [Cf. some remarks of Freud in The Interpretation of Dreams (1900a), Standard Ed., 5, 524-5, and in two later papers on dream-interpretation, 1911e (ibid., 12, 93–4) and 1925i (Section A).]
misreading on page 107 (‘Across Europe in a Tub’) gave me the opportunity of showing how a symptom that is at first insoluble becomes accessible to analysis when the real interest in the repressed thoughts has passed away. As long as the possibility existed of my brother obtaining the envied title before me, this misreading resisted every one of my repeated efforts to analyse it; after it had turned out to be unlikely that he would be preferred to me in this way, the path that led to its solution was suddenly cleared. It would therefore be incorrect to maintain that all the cases which resist analysis are due to a mechanism other than the psychical mechanism disclosed here. Such an assumption would need more than negative evidence. Furthermore, the readiness to believe in a different explanation of parapraxes and symptomatic acts, which is probably to be found in all healthy people, is quite devoid of evidential value; it is obviously a manifestation of the same mental forces which produced the secret and which therefore also devote themselves to preserving it and resist its elucidation.

On the other hand we must not overlook the fact that repressed thoughts and impulses certainly do not achieve expression in symptomatic acts and parapraxes by their own unaided efforts. The technical possibility for such side-slipping on the part of the innervations must be presented independently; this will then be readily exploited by the intention of the repressed to make itself felt consciously. In the case of verbal parapraxes, detailed investigations by philosophers and philologists have endeavoured to determine what are the structural and functional relations that put themselves at the service of such an intention. If we distinguish, among the determinants of parapraxes and symptomatic acts, between the unconscious motive on the one hand and the physiological and psycho-

1 [Footnote added 1924:] At this point very interesting problems of an economic nature come in, questions taking into consideration the fact that the psychical processes aim at gaining pleasure and removing displeasure. There is already an economic problem in how it becomes possible by way of substitutive associations to recapture a name that has been forgotten through motives of displeasure. An excellent paper by Tausk (1913) gives good examples of how the forgotten name becomes accessible once more if one succeeds in connecting it with a pleasurably-toned association, which can counterbalance the displeasure to be expected from the reproduction of the name.


1924:

Zur Psychopathologie des Alltagsebens
von Verlesen „Im Faß durch Europa“ (auf Seite 119) hat mir die Gelegenheit gegeben zu zeigen, wie ein anfänglich unlösbares Symptom der Analyse zugänglich wird, wenn das reale Interesse an den verdängten Gedanken nachgelassen hat.1 Solange die Möglichkeit bestand, daß mein Bruder den benedichten Titel vor mir erhalte, widerstand das genannte Verlesen allen wiederholten Bemühungen der Analyse; nachdem es sich herausgestellt hatte, daß diese Bevorzugung unwahrscheinlich sei, klärte sich mir plötzlich der Weg, der zur Auflösung desselben führte. Es wäre also unrichtig, von all den Fällen, welche der Analyse widerstehen, zu behaupten, sie seien durch einen anderen als den hier aufgedeckten psychischen Mechanismus entstanden; es brauchte für diese Annahme noch andere als negative Beweise. Auch die bei Gesunden wahrscheinlich allgemein vorhandene Bereitwilligkeit, an eine andere Erklärung der Fehl- und Symptomhandlungen zu glauben, ist jeder Beweiskraft bar; sie ist, wie selbstverständlich, eine Äußerung derselben seelischen Kräfte, die das Geheimnis hergestellt haben und die sich darum auch für dessen Bewahrung einsetzen, gegen dessen Aufhellung aber sträuben.

Auf der anderen Seite dürfen wir nicht übersehen, daß die verdängten Gedanken und Regungen sich den Ausdruck in Symptom- und Fehlhandlungen ja nicht selbändig schaffen. Die technische Möglichkeit für solches Ausgleiten der Innerungen muß unabhängig von ihnen gegeben sein; diese wird dann von der Absicht des Verdrängten, zur bewußten Geltung zu kommen, gern ausgenützt. Welche Struktur- und Funktionsverhältnisse es sind, die sich solcher Absicht zur Verfügung stellen, das haben für den Fall der sprachlichen Fehlleistung eingehende Untersuchungen der Philosophen und Philologen fastzuzeiten sich bemüht. Unterscheiden wir so an den Bedingungen der Fehl- und Symptomhandlung das unbewußte Motiv von dem ihm entgegenkommenden physiologischen und psychophysischen Rela-
physical relations that come to meet it on the other, it remains an open question whether there are, within the range of normality, yet other factors that can—like the unconscious motive, and in place of it—create parapraxes and symptomatic acts along the lines of these relations. It is not my task to answer this question.

Nor is it my purpose to exaggerate the differences, sufficiently large as they are, between the psycho-analytic and the popular view of parapraxes. I would rather call attention to cases in which these differences lose much of their sharpness. As regards the simplest and most inconspicuous examples of slips made by the tongue or the pen—in which, perhaps, words are merely contracted, or words and letters left out—the more complicated interpretations come to nothing. From the point of view of psycho-analysis we must maintain that some disturbance of intention has revealed its existence in these cases, but we cannot say from what the disturbance derived and what its aim was. In fact it has achieved nothing apart from demonstrating its existence. In such cases we can also see how a parapraxis is encouraged by phonetic resemblances and close psychological associations: this is a fact that we have never disputed. It is, however, a reasonable scientific demand that such rudimentary cases of slips of the tongue or slips of the pen should be judged on the basis of the more clearly marked cases, whose investigation yields such unambiguous conclusions as to the way in which parapraxes are caused.

(F) Since our discussion of slips of the tongue [p. 53 ff.] we have been content with demonstrating that parapraxes have a hidden motivation, and by the help of psycho-analysis we have traced our way to a knowledge of this motivation. We have so far left almost without consideration the general nature and the peculiarities of the psychical factors that find expression in parapraxes; at any rate we have not yet attempted to define them more closely and to test whether they conform to laws. Nor shall we attempt now to deal with the matter in a radical way, since the subject can better be explored from another

1 [This paragraph was added in 1917.]
2 [With the exception of the footnotes on pp. 272 and 274, the remainder of the chapter dates back to 1901.]
angle, as our first steps will show us in a moment. Here several questions can be raised which I will at least bring forward and describe in outline. (1) What is the content and origin of the thoughts and impulses which are indicated in erroneous and chance actions? (2) What are the determinants which compel a thought or an impulse to make use of such actions as a means of expression and which put it in a position to do so? (3) Is it possible to establish constant and unambiguous relations between the kind of parapraxis and the qualities of what is expressed by means of it?

I will begin by bringing together some material for answering the last question. In discussing the examples of slips of the tongue [p. 53 ff.] we found it necessary to go beyond the content of what was intended to be said, and were obliged to look for the cause of the speech-disturbance in something outside the intention. What this was was obvious in a number of cases, and was known to the speaker’s consciousness. In the examples that seemed simplest and most transparent it was another version of the same thought—one which sounded as if it had an equal right [to express the thought], and which disturbed the expression of the thought without its being possible to explain why the one version had succumbed and the other had won the day. (These are Meringer and Mayer’s ‘contaminations’ [p. 54].) In a second group of cases the motive for the defeat of one version was a consideration which, however, did not prove strong enough to withhold it completely (‘zum Vorschwein gekommen’ [p. 57]). The version which was withheld was perfectly conscious too. Only of the third group can it be asserted unreservedly that the disturbing thought differed from the one intended, and only in their case can a distinction which is apparently essential be established. The disturbing thought is either connected with the disturbed thought by thought associations (disturbance as a result of internal contradiction), or it is unrelated to it in its nature and the disturbed word happens to be connected with the disturbing thought—which is

1) Diese Schrift ist durchaus populär gehalten, will nur durch eine Häufung von Beispielen den Weg für die notwendige Annahme unbewusster und doch wirksamer seelischer Vorgänge ebnen und vermeidet alle theoretischen Erwägungen über die Natur dieses Unbewussten.
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often unconscious—by an unexpected external association. In the examples I have given from my psycho-analyses the entire speech is under the influence of thoughts which have become active but have at the same time remained entirely unconscious; either these are betrayed by the disturbance itself (‘Klapperschlange’—Klropastra’ [p. 65]) or they exercise an indirect influence by making it possible for the different parts of the consciously intended speech to disturb each other (‘Ase natmen’, where ‘Hasenauer Street’ and reminiscences of a Frenchwoman are in the background [p. 63]). The withheld or unconscious thoughts from which the disturbance in speech derives are of the most varied origin. This survey therefore does not enable us to generalize in any direction.

A comparative examination of my examples of misreading and slips of the pen leads to the same conclusions. As with slips of the tongue, certain cases appear to owe their origin to a work of condensation which has no further motivation (e.g. the ‘Ape’ [p. 61]). It would however be satisfactory to learn whether special conditions may not have to be fulfilled if such a condensation, which is normal in dream-work but a fault in our waking thought, is to take place. No information on this problem can be obtained from the examples themselves. I should however refuse to conclude from this that there are in fact no conditions other than, for instance, a relaxation of conscious attention, since I know from other sources that it is precisely automatic activities1 which are characterized by correctness and reliability. I should prefer to stress the fact that here, as so often in biology, normal circumstances or those approaching the normal are less favourable subjects for investigation than pathological ones. I expect that what remains obscure in the elucidation of these very slight disturbances will be illuminated by the explanation of serious disturbances.

In misreading and slips of the pen there are also plenty of examples in which we can discern a more remote and complicated motivation. ‘Across Europe in a Tub’ [p. 107] is a disturbance in reading which is explained as being due to the influence of a remote thought, foreign in its essence, arising from a repressed impulse of jealousy and ambition, and utilizing

1 [I.e. activities from which conscious attention is withdrawn. Cf. p. 132 above.]
the 'switch-word' 'Beförderung' to form a connection with the indifferent and innocent topic that was being read. In the case of 'Burckhard' [p. 117] the name itself forms a 'switch-word' of this kind.²

There is no doubt that disturbances in the functions of speech occur more readily, and make smaller demands on the disturbing forces, than do those in other psychic activities. [Cf. p. 222 above.]

One is on different ground when it comes to examining forgetting in its proper sense—that is, the forgetting of past experiences. (To distinguish them from forgetting in this stricter sense, we might speak of the forgetting of proper names and of foreign words, described in Chapter I and II, as 'slipping the memory', and the forgetting of intentions as 'omissions'.) The basic determinants of the normal process of forgetting are unknown.² We are also reminded that not everything is for-

² This term (in German 'Wechsel') is used at two or three points in the contemporary 'Dora' case history (1905a), e.g., Standard Ed., 7, 65a. Freud also uses the term 'verbal bridge', as, for instance, above on p. 49. So too in the 'Rat Man' analysis (1909d), ibid., 10, 213-14. Cf. similarly 'associative bridge' on p. 109 above.

² [Footnote added 1907] I may perhaps put forward the following suggestions as regards the mechanism of forgetting in its proper sense. Mnemic material is subject in general to two influences, condensation and distortion. Distortion is the work of the dominant trends in mental life, and is directed above all against the memory traces which have remained affectively operative and which show considerable resistance to condensation. The traces that have grown indifferent succumb unresistingly to the process of condensation; yet it can be observed that in addition to this, the distorting trends feed on the indifferent material if they have remained unsatisfied at the place at which they sought to manifest themselves. As these processes of condensation and distortion continue for long periods, during which every fresh experience acts in the direction of transforming the mnemic content, it is generally thought that it is time which makes memory uncertain and indistinct. It is highly probable that there is no question at all of there being any direct function of time in forgetting. [For an earlier draft of some of these ideas, cf. footnote 2, p. 134 above. The gist of these remarks had already been put forward in a short footnote in Chapter VI of Freud's book on jokes (1905a), Standard Ed., 8, 168. An interesting discussion of the process by which affective memories are forgotten will be found in Section 3 of Part III of Freud's 'Project' of 1895 (Freud, 1950a).] —In the case of repressed memory-traces it can be demonstrated that they undergo no alteration even in the course of the longest period of

Ehrgeiz entspringt, und den „Wechsel“ des Wortes „Beförderung“ zur Verknüpfung mit dem gleichgebliebenen und harmlosen Thema, das gelesen wurde, benützt. Im Falle Burckhard ist der Name selbst ein solcher „Wechsel“.

Es ist unverkennbar, daß die Störungen der Sprechfunktionen leichter zustande kommen und weniger Anforderungen an die störenden Kräfte stellen als die anderer psychischer Leistungen.

Auf anderem Boden steht man bei der Prüfung des Vergessens im eigentlichen Sinne, d. h. des Vergessens von vergangenen Erlebnissen (das Vergessen von Eigennamen und Fremdworten, wie in den Abschnitten I und II, könnte man als „Entfallen“ das von Vorsätzen als „Unterlassen“ von diesem Vergessen sensu strictiori absondern). Die Grundbedingungen des normalen Vorganges beim Vergessen sind unbekannt.¹ Man wird auch daran gemahnt,
two types of psychical process can be recognized here. Our explanation has here to do only with cases where the forgetting causes us surprise, in so far as it breaks the rule that unimportant things are forgotten but important ones are preserved by memory. Analysis of the examples of forgetting that seem to require a special explanation reveals that the motive for forgetting is invariably an unwillingness to remember something which can evoke distressing feelings. We come to suspect that this motive aims at manifesting itself quite generally in mental life, but is prevented from putting itself into effect at all regularly by other forces which work against it. The extent and the significance of this unwillingness to remember distressing impressions would seem to deserve the most careful psychological examination; moreover we cannot separate from this wider context the question of what special conditions make this forgetting, that is universally aimed at, possible in individual cases.

In the forgetting of intentions another factor comes into the foreground. The conflict, which could only be surmised in the repression of what was distressing to remember, here becomes tangible, and in the analysis of the examples a counter-will can regularly be recognized which opposes the intention without putting an end to it. As in the parapraxes already described, two types of psychical process can be recognized here. [Cf. pp. 272–3.] Either the counter-will is turned directly against the intention (in cases where the latter's purpose is of some importance), or it is unrelated in its nature to the intention itself. The unconscious is quite timeless. The most important as well as the strangest characteristic of psychical fixation is that all impressions are preserved, not only in the same form in which they were first received, but also in all the forms which they have adopted in their further developments. This is a state of affairs which cannot be illustrated by comparison with another sphere. Theoretically every earlier state of the mnemonic content could thus be restored to memory again, even if its elements have long ago exchanged all their original connections for more recent ones. [This seems to be the earliest explicit mention of the 'timelessness' of the unconscious. See an Editor's footnote to the meta-psychological paper on the unconscious (1915a), Standard Ed., 14, 187.

-An attempt at an illustration, by a comparison taken from the archaeology of the city of Rome, was made by Freud and abandoned as useless in Chapter I of his late work, Civilization and its Discontents (1930a), where there is another long discussion of the nature of memory and forgetting.]
and establishes its connection with it by means of an external association (in the case of intentions that are almost indifferent).

The same conflict governs the phenomena of bungled actions. The impulsion which manifests itself in disturbing the action is often a counter-impulsion, but still more often it is an entirely unrelated one, which merely takes the opportunity of achieving expression by disturbing the action while it is being carried out. The cases where the disturbance results from an internal contradiction are the more significant ones; they also involve the more important actions.

In chance actions or in symptomatic actions the internal conflict becomes less and less important. These motor manifestations, to which consciousness attaches little value, or which it overlooks entirely, thus serve to express a wide variety of unconscious or withheld impulses; for the most part they are symbolic representations of phantasies or wishes.

In regard to the first question—as to what is the origin of the thoughts and impulses which find expression in parapraxes [p. 272]—we can say that in a number of cases it is easy to show that the disturbing thoughts are derived from suppressed impulses in mental life. In healthy people, egoistic, jealous and hostile feelings and impulses, on which the pressure of moral education weighs heavily, make frequent use of the pathway provided by parapraxes in order to find some expression for their strength, which undeniably exists but is not recognized by higher mental agencies. Acquiescence in these parapraxes and chance actions is to a large extent equivalent to a compliant tolerance of the immoral. Among these suppressed impulses no small part is played by the various sexual currents. That these particular ones should in fact appear so rare among the thoughts disclosed by analysis in my examples is an accident of my material. Since the examples I have analysed are to a great extent taken from my own mental life, the selection was partial from the first and aimed at excluding sexual matters. At other times it appears to be from perfectly innocent objections and considerations that the disturbing thoughts arise.

We have now reached the moment for answering the second question—that is, what psychological determinants are responsible for a thought being compelled to seek expression not in its complete form but in a kind of parasitic form, as a

Verbindung mit ihm durch eine äußerliche Assoziation her (bei fast indifferenten Vorsätzen).

Derselbe Konflikt beherrscht die Phänomene des Vergreifens. Der Impuls, der sich in der Störung der Handlung äußert, ist häufig ein Gegenimpuls, doch noch öfter ein überhaupt fremder, der nur die Gelegenheit benützt, sich bei der Ausführung der Handlung durch eine Störung derselben zum Ausdruck zu bringen. Die Fälle, in denen die Störung durch einen inneren Widerspruch erfolgt, sind die bedeutendsamer und betreffen auch die wichtigeren Vertretungen.

Der innere Konflikt tritt dann bei den Zufalls- oder Symptomhandlungen immer mehr zurück. Diese vom Bewußtsein gering geschätzten oder ganz übersehenen motorischen Äußerungen dienen so manngfachen unbewußten oder zurückgehaltenen Regungen zum Ausdruck; sie stellen meist Phantasien oder Wünsche symbolisch dar.


Wir stehen nun vor der Beantwortung der zweiten Frage, welche psychologischen Bedingungen dafür gelten, daß ein Gedanke seinen Ausdruck nicht in voller Form, sondern in gleichsam
modification and disturbance of another thought [p. 272]. The most striking examples of parapraxes make it seem probable that these determinants must be looked for in a relation to admissibility to consciousness: in the question, that is, of the greater or less degree to which they bear the marked character of being 'repressed'. But if we follow this character through the series of examples, it dissolves into ever vaguer indications. The inclination to dismiss something as a waste of time, or the consideration that the thought in question is not properly relevant to the matter in hand, appear, as motives for pushing back a thought (which is then left to find expression by disturbing another thought), to play the same part as does the moral condemnation of an insubordinate emotional impulse or as does derivation from totally unconscious trains of thought. Insight into the general nature of how parapraxes and chance actions are determined cannot be gained along these lines. One single fact of significance emerges from these enquiries. The more innocent the motivation of a parapraxis, and the less objectionable—and therefore the less inadmissible to consciousness—the thought finding expression in it, the easier it is to explain the phenomenon, once one's attention has been turned to it. The slightest cases of slips of the tongue are noticed immediately, and spontaneously corrected. Where the motivation comes from really repressed impulses, the case has to be elucidated by careful analysis, which may itself at times come up against difficulties or prove unsuccessful.

We are therefore no doubt justified in taking the result of this last enquiry as evidence that the satisfactory explanation of the psychological determinants of parapraxes and chance actions is to be looked for along other lines and by a different approach. The indulgent reader may accordingly see in these discussions signs of the broken edges where this subject has been somewhat artificially detached from a wider context.

(G) A few words should be said to indicate at least in what direction this wider context lies. The mechanism of parapraxes and chance actions, as we have come to know it by our employment of analysis, can be seen to correspond in its most essential points with the mechanism of dream-formation which I have discussed in the chapter on the 'dream-work' in my

parasitical,
Interpretation of Dreams. In both cases we find condensations and compromise-formations (contaminations). We have the same situation: by unfamiliar paths, and by the way of external associations, unconscious thoughts find expression as modifications of other thoughts. The incongruities, absurdities and errors of the dream-content, which result in the dream being scarcely recognized as the product of psychical activity, originate in the same way, though it is true with a freer use of the means at hand, as our common mistakes in everyday life. In both cases the appearance of an incorrect function is explained by the peculiar mutual interference between two or several correct functions.

An important conclusion can be drawn from this conformity. The peculiar mode of working, whose most striking achievement we see in the content of dreams, cannot be attributed to the sleeping state of mental life if we possess such abundant evidence in the form of parapraxes that it operates during our waking life as well. The same connection also forbids our assuming that these psychical processes, which strike us as abnormal and strange, are determined by a deep-seated decay in mental activity or by pathological states of functioning.\(^1\)

We shall not be able to form a correct picture of the strange psychical work which brings about the occurrence of both parapraxes and dream images until we have learnt that psychoneurotic symptoms, and especially the psychical formations of hysteria and obsessional neurosis, repeat in their mechanism all the essential features of this mode of working. This is therefore the starting-point for the continuation of our researches. For us, however, there is yet another special interest in considering parapraxes, chance actions and symptomatic actions in the light of this last analogy. If we compare them to the products of the psychoneuroses, to neurotic symptoms, two frequently repeated statements—namely, that the borderline between the normal and the abnormal in nervous matters is a fluid one, and that we are all a little neurotic—acquire meaning and support. Without any medical experience we can construct various types of nervous illness of this kind which are merely hinted at—forms frustes\(^2\) of the neuroses: cases in which

\(^1\) See The Interpretation of Dreams (1900a), Standard Ed., 5, 607-8.

\(^2\) ['Blurred forms.' The French word 'fruste' applies primarily to coins or medals that are 'rubbed' or 'worn'.]
the symptoms are few, or occur rarely or not severely—in other words, cases whose comparative mildness is located in the number, intensity and duration of their pathological manifestations. But we might perhaps never arrive by conjecture at precisely the type that appears most frequently to form the transition between health and illness. For the type we are considering, whose pathological manifestations are parapraxes and symptomatic acts, is characterized by the fact that the symptoms are located in the least important psychical functions, while everything that can lay claim to higher psychical value remains free from disturbance. Where the symptoms are distributed in the reverse way—that is, where they make their appearance in the most important individual and social functions and are able to disturb nutrition, sexual intercourse, professional work and social life—this is the mark of severe cases of neurosis and is more characteristic of them than, for example, are the variety and vigour of their pathological manifestations.

But there is one thing which the severest and the mildest cases all have in common, and which is equally found in parapraxes and chance actions: the phenomena can be traced back to incompletely suppressed psychical material, which, although pushed away by consciousness, has nevertheless not been robbed of all capacity for expressing itself.

or diese selten oder nicht heftig auftreten, die Abschwächung also in die Zahl, in die Intensität, in die zeitliche Ausbreitung der krankhaften Erscheinungen verlegen; vielleicht würde man aber gerade den Typus nicht erraten, welcher als der häufigste den Übergang zwischen Gesundheit und Krankheit zu vermitteln scheint. Der uns vorliegende Typus, dessen Krankheitsäußerungen die Fehl- und Symptomhandlungen sind, zeichnet sich nämlich dadurch aus, daß die Symptome in die mindesten wichtigen psychischen Leistungen verlegt sind, während alles, was höheren psychischen Wert beanspruchen kann, frei von Störung vor sich geht. Die gegenteilige Unterbringung der Symptome, ihr Hervortreten an den wichtigsten individuellen und sozialen Leistungen, so daß sie Nahrungsaufnahme und Sexualverkehr, Berufstätigkeit und Geselligkeit zu stören vermögen, kommt den schweren Fällen von Neurose zu und charakterisiert diese besser als etwa die Mannigfaltigkeit oder die Lebhaftigkeit der Krankheitsäußerungen.

Der gemeinsame Charakter aber der leichtesten wie der schwersten Fälle, an dem auch die Fehl- und Zufallshandlungen Anteil haben, liegt in der Rückführbarkeit der Phänomene auf unvollkommen unterdrücktes psychisches Material, das, vom Bewußtsein abgedrängt, doch nicht jeder Fähigkeit, sich zu äußern, beraubt worden ist.
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INDEX OF PARAPRAXES

This list does not entirely follow Freud's grouping of parapraxes according to the titles of the various chapters. Items have been classified under the most appropriate headings, regardless of whereabouts they appear in the book. The source of each parapraxis is given in brackets where it is other than Freud himself.

Bungled Actions
ball misdirected (Fontane), 176-7
breaking Egyptian figure, 169-70
breaking flower vase (Jekels), 170-3
breaking hydraulic press, 174
breaking ink-pot cover, 187-8
breaking statuette of Venus, 169
breaking walking-stick, 170
child's head knocked against chandelier, 187-9
embrace combined with lifting chair, 175-6
handshake combined with unfastening lady's dress (Stekel), 176
keys, wrong use of (Freud; Maeder; Jones; Sachs), 163-4
lantern slides dropped (Størcke), 234-5
medicines interchanged, 177-8
milk boiling over (Andreas-Salomé), 168
Post Office Savings Bank, wrong withdrawal from, 119-20
self-injury
- carriage accident, 179-60
- cuticle cut, 192
- fall in street after abortion (Van Emden), 183-5
- horse-race, officer's fatal accident in, 181-2
- revolver, conscript's accident with (Ferenczi), 182-3
- scalded foot (Størcke), 183-6
- street accident, fatal, 186-7 n.
- suicide, child's attempt at, 180
- thumb pinched, 180
stairs, climbing too high up, 164-5
Tom and Teddy (Heijermana), 189-90
- tuning-fork taken instead of reflex hammer, 165-7

Errors
- Habenbergergasse or Hababurgergasse, 222-3
- child's name wrongly registered, 224
- committee meeting wrongly dated, 290
- Hannibal's father, 219-20
- husband and lover wrongly addressed, 225
- lady addressed by wrong name (Herr J. G.), 224-5
- lecture, date of, mistaken (Størcke), 228
- Lucerne, journey to, from Zurich (Maeder), 226
- maiden name wrongly used (Brill), 224
- Medici and Venice, 220-1
- Rijksmuseum clock misinterpreted (Størcke), 235-6

290
Forgetting Impressions and Intentions (see also Lost and Mislaid Objects)
bed-wetting of children, 145-6
bisexuality, Flies as originator of theory of, 143-4
book not sent (Welles), 231-2
Caesar and Cleopatra (Bernard Shaw), 154 n.
cheque unposted (Galworthy), 152-3
committee meetings, 230
conversational topics, 138-7
date of announcement of book by Rank, 150-1
Flusspapier (blotting-paper), 159
Joyeuse, Monsieur, in Daudet’s Le Nabab, 148-50
lantern slides, delays in making and despatching (Stärcke), 234-5
letter overlooked (Jones), 231
lung illnesses in family (Freud and Jones), 145 n.
paper not bought by art student (Stärcke), 236
Penelope Fischer, house containing, 138-9
postcard repeatedly not posted (Stärcke), 235
proofs of On Dreams, delay in returning, 159-61
roll-call, officer’s name passed over in (Lieut. T.), 155-6
safe-manufacturer’s address, 137-8
sarcoma in hysterical girl, 146 n.
tobacconist unpaid, 158
watch repeatedly left at home (Rank), 232-4
Wedekind and the actor, 236-7

Forgetting Names and Words
alpay, 9-14, 20, 59-60
animal nature of man (Ferenczi), 19-20
Ben Hur (Reik), 40-2
Bisenz, 33-4
‘Bride of Corinth, The’, 15-18, 20, 35
Castlevetranu, 30-2
disrupter of theory (1. von K.), 25
‘Fichtenbaum, Ein, steht einsam’ (Jung), 18-20
Gassendi, Pierre, 27
Gillhofer and Rauschburg (Hirschmann), 32
Gold (Sachs), 35-6
Hochwarter, 22-8
Jung (Ferenczi), 26-7
Kurs, Selma (Storfer), 94-5
Lederer, 25
Lindeman (Stärcke), 35-9
Monaco, 55
Nervi, 22, 33
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Errors (cont.)
Schiller’s birthplace, 217, 219
silver tea-service and unmarried daughter, 224
telephone call wrongly made, 222
train to Hook of Holland missed, 227-8
tram tickets, wrong number bought, 225-6
tram, wrong number taken (Tausk), 226-7
Zeus and Kronos, 218, 220

Lost and Mislaid Objects
book, present from wife, 140
catalogue, 139-40
collar, badly-cut, 140-1 (Stärcke)
gingerbread ( Müller), 142-3
honeymoon funds, 206
key to trunk (Brill), 142
keys, 141-2
paper, supply of (Sacha), 143
‘Penskala’ pencil, gift (Dattner), 207
pipe (Jones), 142
purse recovered through dream, 237
ring in letter-box (Reik), 204-5
ring recovered through dream, 238 n.
ring, token of friendship (Kardos), 203-6
Roman gold medal, 251
theatre ticket, 207-8

Misdresses
Across Europe in a Tub, 107-9, 269-70, 275-4
Agamemnon for agenomen (supposed) (Lichtenberg), 112
antiquities, 110
Bleuler for blood-corpuscles (Bleuler), 110-11
Bonn University for Brown University (Sachs), 116
Brokat(e) (bread-card) for Brokat(e) (brocade-s), 113
close-house for corset-house, 115-14
Druckeberger (shirker) for Druckeberger (printer’s proofs) (Sacha), 115
Frau Wilhelm M. for Dr. Wilhelm M., 109-10, 114
Gorizia, The Peace of, for The Enemy Before, 113
Heymann, Walter, War Poem by (Etingon), 114-15
iron constitution for iron construction (Sachs), 115-16
MCCL for MDCCCL (Lubitsch), 111-12
Ode for Oitti (Baltic), 106-7
Schuländler (shoddy leather) for Sechsendler (scalakin), 119
Sprachstrategie (language strategy) for Schachstrategie (chess strategy), 113
Stilfeinheit (pedantry) for Stilfeinheit (elegance of style) (Sacha), 111

Slips of the Pen and Misprints
achol for alcohol, 122
address wrong, 126
Albania and Epirus (misprint) (Storfer), 130
allies, ‘interrupted’ co-operation between (Kata Levy), 121
‘Anaklasis’ for Anaklasis (anecdote) (Ferenczi), 125
‘Buckhard’, 117-18, 183, 274
Bukovina and Rumania (misprint), 130
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INDEX OF PARAPRAXES

Slips of the Pen and Misprints (cont.)

Edith for Epithel (epithelium) (Wagner), 126-7
Ethyl for Ethel (Brill), 122
fog for wave (Brill), 125
Hartmann for Hirschmann (Storfer), 118-19
her son for your son, 125
insult for consult (Herr J. G.), 128
Knox, John, and Mary Queen of Scots (Fontane), 131
'Leichtwasser' for Leichtwasser (Hug-Heilmuth), 127
Lusitania for Mauritania, 121-2
October for September, 116-17
omission in treaty (Dattner), 128
overdose prescribed (Hirschmann), 122-5
Poland and Czecho-Slovakia (misprint), 130-1
Post Office Savings Bank, wrong withdrawal from, 119-20
self-seeking for unself-seeking (Stekel), 129-1
telegram, mistakes in, 129-30
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Slips of the Tongue
A-alexander (Abraham), 82
'alsbauer' for 'alsabuter' (Brantome), 79
'Alabasterbox' for Alabastertäfel (alabaster box), 82
angora for angina (Abraham), 82
'Apfels' (apple), 61-2, 125, 273
'apopis', 82
aere-midi for acant-midi (Stekel), 68-9
'Auf den' for 'An den' (bridegroom), 63, 273
Askoll and Peloni (names interchanged) (Stekel), 68-9
'aufgeputzt' for 'aufgepurtz't (trimmed) (Reik), 87
aufzutassen (hiccup) for anzutlassen (drink to) (Meringer and Mayer), 54, 82
Begleitsänger for Begleits (accompanist) (Rank), 68
'Bergländ' (hill-thigh) for Begleins (hill-side), 63
Bliss (blossom) for Blusn (flower) (English teacher) (Storfer), 79-80
Breuer and I, 86
Brißken for Brüdelkasten (letter-box) for Brüllkasten (incubator) (Meringer and Mayer), 54
Castor and Pollak (Stekel), 69
chemistry for medicine, pleasure in, lost, 78
däsbels (Stekel), 102
dahinschieden (dying) for ausgeblendet (retiring) (Storfer), 96
decollated' for decorated (Reik), 70
diastellung (fraternal position) for Dienststellung (service) (magistrate), 93
divorce, he got the (Brill), 89
doctor hopes patient will not leave bed (Stekel), 68
d Aunt' for daut (last) (Meringer and Mayer), 58
durable for curable (Brill), 102
diet and drink what I want, 70
dieb' (Meringer and Mayer), 57, 59
'Fechtsnotbuchen', 82
father for brother, of neurotic patient, 80
Ferenczi for Fétó (Ferenczi), 85
five straight limbs, 76-7

Freud-Breudian (Meringer and Mayer), 84
Geiz (greed) for Geist (spirit), 64
gegene (inclined) for gerne (preferred)
geschminkt (with make-up on) for geschminkt (quickly) (Ferenczi), 87 n.
'Gesichtskäs' for Gesichtskäse (pieces of luggage), 71-2
Good-bye, Sir (saved drooping husband) (Stekel), 69
Grave, sink in (Lieut. T.), 73-5
'Hinterkopf' (Meringer and Mayer), 54
‘Hornerbrannt', 86-9
Huss (drawers) for Haus (home) (ladies on walking-tour), 65-6
Idiot for patriot (Rank), 90
in flagranti for en passant, 63-4
jeugt' for juguet (far-fetched), 94
juden (Jews) for 'jungen' (youngsters) (Tausk), 92-3
Kissarav (Turgenev), 84
Kleopatra (rattlesnake) for Kleopatra, 65-7, 273
kötüteren' for kotzüteren (fart), 65-6
Kontant (ready money) for Kontakt (contact) (Stärcke), 91
Kropp (goitre) for Kopf (head) (Stekel), 69
Lieberwürzig (repellent) for liebenswürdig (lovable) (Reik), 91
'Lippchaf for Lippschaf (crew), 67
Lostkapital' for Lebteskapital (lions-flower capital), 82
Low shoes and house shoes (Sacha), 70-1
Matthäus, 65
Milo of Venus (Meringer and Mayer), 53
'Moche' for 'mote' (cloak) (Dr. L. Caeser), 72-3
Murders, The (Abraham), 74
'On one finger' for 'on the fingers of one hand' (Professorial vanity), 78
'ordinar' (Storfer), 78
Oxford for Whitford (George Meredith), 99-9
Parliament, sitting of, closed (Meringer), 59-60
Patient not leaving bed (Stekel), 68
Peloni and Askoll, names interchanged (Stekel), 69
Pons, les (Brantome), 71
Portia's slip (Shakespeare), 97-8
powder-puff (Rank), 77
'Protagoras' (Abraham), 82 n.
'prozente for 'procente' for 1000 Gulden (Rank), 92
'responsible' advisors (Ballow), 94-5
Ribera for Correggio, 67
rückgratlos (spineless) for rückhaltlos (unreserved) (Lattmann), 95-6
rude (quiet!) (signal from artillery post) (Lieut. Henrik Haiman), 71
'schocken' for 'schägen' (draw) (photographer's apprentice), 85
'Schreister' for Schlesinger, 62
'Schweil' for Brust (breast) (Meringer and Mayer), 53-4, 81
'secho', still more, for often, 87
'fser' for 'altser' for alter Sonnen (Meringer and Mayer), 83
'sink' in the grave (Lieut. T.), 73-5
'tsteller' (quarrel) for schreien (proceed) (Stekel), 69
'Taschenmesser' for Taschensmesser (pocket-knife), 62
ten past for ten to, 100
to her for 'to the Duke' (Schiller), 96-7
twelve fingers (Stekel), 102-5
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Slips of the Tongue (cont.)

unbringen (put an end to) for unterbringen (take in), 75-6
urinieren (urinate) for riunieren (rin), 82 n. 5
Versuchungen (temptations) for Versuche (experiments) (University Professor), 79-9
Virschow, 85-6
Vorstandsmitglieder (lending members) for Vorstandsmitglieder (officers) (Craf), 88
'tVorhersage' for Vorsieht (appearance) (Meringer and Mayer), 57, 58, 64, 80, 272
Vormittag (front hall) for Vormittag (morning), 87-8
Weds for days, 100
'Widmen' for widmen (devote) (Reik), 70

Symptomatic and Chance Actions (see also Bungled Actions)
albumen on trousers, 199-200
bank-note torn in half, 192-3
bread-crumbs figure, 197-9
cake, piece of, dropped from mouth (Dattner), 201-2
change scattered during treatment, 214
coins knocked on to floor, 200
consulting-room burst into, 214
girls undo beautiful hair, 214
husband unrecognised in street, 203
letter sent with no address (Jones), 231
lights left burning (Maeder), 215
losses at cards, 213-14
matchbox pocketed (Dattner), 202
money, five-mark note left in pension, 208
money, two-mark note found in street (Rank), 208-10
mother's initial used to decorate pamphlets, 214
mustard and mustard drops interchanged (Sachs), 207
opera glasses become unserviceable, 212-13
overcoat on chair, 210-11
pinc-nets broken, 212
postcard posted in wrong box (Stärcke), 235
Roman gold medal carried off, 231
stethoscope (Jones), 155-7
thoughts "shooting through head", 216
waiter and wrong change (Van Emden), 200
watch not wound up, 215
wedding dress forgotten (Maeder), 204
wedding ring and fortsis (Fontane), 205
wedding ring, playing with, by Eleonora Duse, 204
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Absence-mindedness, 156 and n. 2, 157, 163, 231, 257
Abundance of dreams, 278
Abramson, 112
Albert, Prince of Monaco, 55
Alexander the Great, 107-9
Ambiguity, verbal, 22, 109, 174-5, 222 n. 1
Ambition, suppressed as source of paraparaxes, 273
Ambitious phantasies, infantile, 264-5
Ambrose, St., 313
Amnesia, infantile (see also Forgetting), 46
Amsterdam, 227, 236
International Congress of 1907 at, 86
Analogies
hermit crab, 49 n. 2
King Solomon and the language of animals, 199
Andreas Hofer (by Julius Moser), xii n. 2
Andreas-Salome, Frau L., 160, 216 n. 2
Anti-semitism (see also Jews), 10, 14, 99, 223, 227
Antithetical ideas, 3-4, 13 n., 49 n. 2
Anthropology, 86 n. 3
Arris und Massolin (by Wilbrant), 66-7
Art Poétique (Boulanger), 101 n. 1
Arthurian legend, 197
Aschaffenburg, G., 86 n. 1
Babenberg dynasty, 222-3
Bassani (in The Merchant of Venice), 97-8
Bazaro (in Turgenev's Fathers and Sons), 84 n.
Bed-wetting, 146
Ben Hur (by L. Wallace), 41
Benedict, St., 10
Bergman (publisher), 160
Berlin, 186 n. 1
Beyond the Tides (by T. Fontane), 131 n. 3
Bidassoa Bridge, 252-3
Bills, forgetting to pay, 158
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Bleuler, E. (see also Bibliography), 22, 250 n. 2, 254 n.
Bollman, 101 n. 1
Boltzmann, 2-3, 5, 35
Book of Martyrs, 111
Borg, Erich (in Sirolmberg's Gothic Room), 212-13
Borrowing and forgetting to return, 157-8, 231-5
Bosnia and Herzegovina, customs of, 3-5
Bottlefield, 2-3, 5, 26, 55

Ben

functional disturbances of, 21
organic disturbances of, 53 n. 2
Breantaine, P. de, 79
Breast von Korinth, Die (Goethe), 15-16, 20, 36
Bresil, 29-0
Breuer, J. (see also Bibliography), 81, 86
Brill, A. A., 122, 125
Bronte, 197
Bruehl, 19
Budapest, 18, 100
Bilbao, France, 94-5

Bungled actions
as expressions of repressed material, 172-3, 175-6, 178-83, 196 n. 1, 188-91, 211, 235
as expressions of self-reproach, 165-8, 170-3, 179-83, 183-7
as sacrificial acts, 169-70, 173, 175, 185-6
by doctors, 177-8
comatose phantasies, 174-5
defined, 162-3
distinguished from symptomatic acts, 162, 191-2
equivalent to other forms of parapraxes, 119, 222, 239-40
in literature, 176-7, 189-90
symbolism of, 163-5, 172-4
Burckhardt, Max, 117, 138, 274
Buch, W., 169
Buzly, de, and Napoleon I, 84 n.
Cæsar and Cleopatra (by G. B. Shaw), 154 n. 1
Capua, 28-9
Case
of 'Dora', xii, 2, 240-2, 255 n. 2, 274 n. 1
of 'Rat Man', 258 n. 3, 259 n. 2, 264 n. 1, 274 n. 1
of Senatorpräsident Schrecker, 259 n. 2
Caseltrav, 31
Cassat and Pollux, 69
Castration, 198 n. 2, 218, 220
Castiglione, 31
Casanova, 181 n. 1
Chance
actions (see Symptomatic acts)
and determinism, 240-35, 254 n.
Freud's interpretation of, 257-8
the superstitious view of, 257-9
Character revealed in play, 158 n.
Charcot, J.-M., 47, 149, 161 n. 1
Charlatom, 223 n. 1
Cheating at cards, 159 n.
Childhood impressions (see also Infanctile), xii n. 2, 43-32, 63-4, 178
compared to racial tradition, 48, 148
Children, sexual researches of, 48-9, 196, 214
Cicero
the conspirator and Cicero the poet (in julius Caesar), 117 n. 3
Civilization, 158
Cleopatra, 66, 273
in Shaw's Caesar and Cleopatra, 154
Clumsiness (see Bungled actions)
Coincidence, 262-4
Gelert, S. T., 97
Goriano, 227-8
Complex
'family', 23-4, 40
'jung's use of term, 109 n. 1
'personal', 22 and n. 2, 24-5, 30, 40
'professional', 23, 40
Complexly preparedness', 265
Compromise-formations
in dreams, 278
parapraxes as, 4, 54, 57-9, 61-2, 72-5, 197, 234, 278
Condensation
in dreams, 58-9, 273, 278
in forgetting, 154 n. 2, 274 n. 2
in slips of tongue and pen, 58-9, 61-2, 67-8, 76-8, 105, 125, 275, 278
Conflicted, internal and speech disturbance, 101
Conflict, internal (cont.)
resolved by psycho-analysis, 187-189
Consciousness
amissibility of the, 140 n. 1
exclusion from, in repression, 4-5, 218-19, 279
repressed material brought to, by psycho-analysis, 61, 238
Contagiousness of forgetting, 30, 40, 62
of slips of the tongue, 62
'Contaminations' in slips of the tongue, 54, 57-9, 61, 76, 272
Contradiction, internal, as source of parapraxes, 14, 40, 59-60, 86, 156, 234, 272, 276
Conviction, sense of, 254-6
Convolutions as neurotic symptoms, 115
Correggio, 67
Counter-will, 154 and n. 2, 155, 158 n., 159, 234, 259, 275-6
Casseri, L., 72
Daniel (in Schiller's Die Räuber), 24
Darwin (see also Bibliography), 148
Datina, B. (see also Bibliography), 201-2, 207
Daudet, A., 149
Day-dreams (see also Phantasias), 149 and n. 2, 165, 266-8
Day's residues, 65-6
Death
and sexuality antithetically linked, 3-4, 13 n., 49 n. 2
thoughts of, 39, 260 n. 3
Death-wish
expressed in choice of numbers, 247-8
expressed in parapraxes, 103-5, 121-2, 188
expressed in phantasy, 257
Defensive mechanisms, 82 n. 5, 147, 159, 181
Delfin, 658
Déja vu, 24-5, 255 n. 2
Delphi, 211 n.
Delusion
of persecution, 255 n. 2
of reference, 110-11, 235 n. 1
paranoiac, 146, 255 n. 1 and 2, 256 n. 2
Dementia praecox, 102, 104-5
Derricourt, 213
'Depersonalization', 268 n. 1
Determinism, principle of, xiii-xiv, 46, 240-54
applied to names chosen 'at random', 240-2, 253
applied to numbers chosen 'at random', 240, 242-51, 253
applied to verbal associations, 251
Deutsches, (publisher), 160 and n. 1 and 2
Dido, 9, 14
Diogenes, 107
Disowning equated with forgetting
what is unpleasant, 144-6
Displacement
in childhood memories, 43-5, 47
in forgetting, 2-3, 30, 45
in paranoic delusions, 255, 259
of affect, 51-2
retro-active, 44
Distortion
in childhood memories, 47
in forgetting, 184 n. 2
in formation of parapraxes, 16, 20, 64-5, 81-4, 117, 129-30, 218-20, 229
of names, an insult to their owners, 83-4, 117-19, 138
Doctors
bungled actions by, 177-8
identification with, motive for adopting medical profession, 196-7
slips of the pen by, in writing prescriptions, 122-5, 127
Don Carlos (by Schiller), 100 n. 1
Don Quixote, 181 n. 1
'Dora', case of, xii n. 2, 240-2, 255 n. 2, 274 n. 1
'Dora' (Rosa Freud's nursemaid), 241
Double meaning (see Verbal ambiguity)
Double entendres, 79-80
Dream
content, manifest, 58-9, 237, 278
Freud's, of company at table d'hote, 120 and n. 1, 136 n. 2
psychical processes (see also mental apparatus; primary process; secondary process), 147, 270

psychanalysis: brings repressed material to consciousness, 61, 238
cures the analyst's absentmindedness, 156 n. 2
did not occur during, 268 findings of, xiii, 49, 176, 269 slips of the tongue during, 80, 94, 102 n. 1
symptomatic acts during, 191-200, 214 technical view of, 80, 239 therapeutic aspects of, 187-9
psychoneuroses (see neuroses)
psychosis (see Dementia praecox; paranoia)
Putnam, J. J. (see also bibliography), 81 and n.
Quetsenberg (in Schiller's Wallenstein), 96-7

Ragusa, 2
rank, o. (see also bibliography), 68, 90
'reat man,' case of the, 146 n. 2, 258 n. 3, 259 n. 2, 264 n. 1, 274 n. 1
Rambou (in Turgenev's Smolov), 84 n.
Räuber, die (by Schiller), 24
reading aloud (see also misreading), 132
reality, external distortion of in the mind of the perceiver, 229
projection of mental events into, in superstition and paranoia, 257-9
redcll universa library, 244-5, 246 n. 1
reference to, delusions of, 255 n. 1
reichenbähl, 23
reider, r., 87, 230 n. 2
religion, 19-20, 256 n. 2, 258, 259 n. 2
remilla, 227-8
remorse, 147
repressed material brought to consciousness by psycho-analysis, 61, 238

expressed in bungled actions, 172-3, 175-6, 178-83, 186 n. 1, 189-91, 211, 235
expressed in evasions, 218-20, 224-8
expressed in mislaying, 124-9
expressed in misreading, 269-70, 273-4
expressed in parapraxes, 12 n. 2, 254-5, 45
expressed in parapraxes (in general), 237-9, 254-6, 259-79
expressed in slips of the pen, 118, 120-1, 125-6, 271, 278
expressed in slips of the tongue, 61-4, 68-91, 102-5, 239, 271-4
repressed memory traces, 274 n. 2
repressed wish, 13-14, 265-6, 252, 260, 267

repression as exclusion from consciousness, 4-5, 215-19, 279
as motive for forgetting, 4-7, 8 n. 2, 12 n. 2, 15-19, 24-36, 39-45, 63, 134 n. 2, 148, 154-161, 231, 238
dreams and, 218-19, 237, 268, 278
superstition and, 260 and n. 3
rescue phantasies, 149 n. 2
resistance and formation of parapraxes, 31, 161, 231, 269
and formation of screen memories, 43-4

psycho-analysis, 141
revenge phantasies, 263-4
Ribera, t. (by 0., 67 and n. 2
ricketti (shakespeare), 100 n. 1
richelieu (by bulwer lytton), 197
riklin, f., 22
ring as symbol, 203-6, 213, 237 n. 2
robdish, a., 79
ronan, 231, 274 n. 2
rosenheim, 23
rotterdam, 227
rubel (in fontaine's l'adultere), 176-7

sachs, hans (see also bibliography), 32-3, 35-6, 70, 111, 132, 143, 164, 177, 201-3
sacrificial acts, parapraxes as, 169-70, 173, 175, 185-6, 207-8
Sadistic impulses in obsessive neurosis, 250 and n. 3
saduto Paunza, 181 n.
schiller, f., 24, 96-7, 100 n. 1, 182 n. 1, 217, 219, 245, 260
schmetter, louis, 63 n. 2
schopenhauer, a., 119
schreber, statues-president, case of, 259 n. 2
schulz, die (by a. müller), 245
screen associations, 13-20, 28-9, 43
screen memories, 43-53
secondary gain from illness, 115
secondary process, xiii
secondary revision in dreams, 130
self-betrayal as unconscious motive for slips of the tongue, 89-100
self-injury as neurotic symptom, 178-9
as self-punishment, 179-80, 184-85
as sign of mourning, 179 n. 1
as symptomatic act, 192
as unconscious suicidal impulse, 277-8
self-approach expressed in parapraxes (see also guilt, sense of), 68-8, 165-3, 170-3, 179-80, 183-7
sexus turquinius, 158-9
sexual aim, masked by naivete, 176
assault, 181 n. 1
impulses expressed in parapraxes, 276
phantasies, 174-5, 255 n. 2
researches of children, 48-9, 198, 214
sexuality and death antithetically linked, 3-4, 18 n. 9, 49 n. 2
shakespeare, 97-8, 102 n. 1, 117 n. 3, 201-2, 244-5
shame, 83
shane, g. b., 154 n. 1
shelley, richard (in galsworthy's island phares), 133

sign, forgetting to, 132-3
signori, xii, 2-6, 12 n. 2, 19, 26, 55-6
signorini, 157
similarity
of sound, and formation of parapraxes, 22, 27, 32-4, 41 n. 2, 57-8, 60-1, 63-8, 80-1, 88, 159, 271
of verbal image, as contribution to misreading, 113
simon of trent, 9-11
slipping as symbol, 174-5
slips of the pen as distortions of names, 117-19, 138
as expressions of repressed material, 118, 120-1, 125-8, 239, 271, 273
as wish-fulfilment, 118-17, 121-2, 126
conditions conducive to, 131-2
easier to make than slips of the tongue, 131-2
in doctors' prescriptions, 122-5, 127
not rigidly determined, 221-2
perseveration in, 128-9
slips of the tongue and jokes, 75, 77-8, 82 n. 5, 87
as expressions of repressed material, 64-9, 98-102, 239, 271-4
as neurotic symptoms, 82 n. 5
compared to paraphasias, 53
taboo, contagiousness of, 62
during psycho-analysis, 60, 94, 102 n. 1
during war-time, 71-5
in literature, 97-100
less easy to make than slips of the pen, 151-2
mechanism of, 55-61, 63, 221-2
redundancy to admit to, 83
substitution in, 59-67, 81
smoke (by turgenev), 84 n.
solomon, king, 195
somnambulism, 168
'somnambulistic certainty', 140
142, 168 n. 1, 250
sophocles, 178 n. 2
sound, similarity of (see similarity of sound)
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Wish-fulfilment (cont.)
in slips of the pen, 116-17, 121-2, 126
Wombat, E. (see also Bibliography), 81
Worms, Diet of, 253 n. 5
Wundt, G. (see also Bibliography), 81

Women
and doctors' bills, 158 n.
unconsciously welcome sexual assault, 181 n. 1

Words (see also Play on words; Verbal)
choice of, psychologically determined, 215-16
forgetting of (sets of), 15-21, 35
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